

RADICALIZATION AND COUNTER-RADICALIZATION

SOCIOLOGY OF CRIME, LAW AND DEVIANCE

Series Editors: Mathieu Deflem and Derek M. D. Silva

(Volumes 1–5: Jeffrey T. Ulmer, Volumes 6–23: Mathieu Deflem)

Previous Volumes:

- Volume 6: Ethnographies of Law and Social Control, edited by Stacey Lee Burns, 2005
- Volume 7: Sociological Theory and Criminological Research, Views from Europe and United States, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2006
- Volume 8: Police Occupational Culture: New Debates and Directions, edited by Megan O'Neill, Monique Marks and Anne- Marie Singh, 2007
- Volume 9: Crime and Human Rights, edited by Stephan Paramentier and Elmar Weitekamp, 2007
- Volume 10: Surveillance and Governance: Crime Control and Beyond, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2008
- Volume 11: Restorative Justice: From Theory to Practice, edited by Holly Ventura Miller, 2008
- Volume 12: Access to Justice, edited by Rebecca Sandefur, 2009
- Volume 13: Immigration, Crime and Justice, edited by William F. McDonald, 2009
- Volume 14: Popular Culture, Crime and Social Control, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2010
- Volume 15: Social Control: Informal, Legal and Medical, edited by James J. Chriss, 2010
- Volume 16: Economic Crisis and Crime, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2011
- Volume 17: Disasters, Hazards and Law, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2012
- Volume 18: Music and Law, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2013
- Volume 19: Punishment and Incarceration: A Global Perspective, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2013
- Volume 20: Terrorism and Counterterrorism Today, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2015
- Volume 21: The Politics of Policing: Between Force and Legitimacy, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2016
- Volume 22: Race, Ethnicity and Law, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2017
- Volume 23: Homicide and Violent Crime, edited by Mathieu Deflem, 2018
- Volume 24: Methods of Criminology and Criminal Justice Research, edited by Mathieu Deflem and Derek M.D. Silva, 2019

SOCIOLOGY OF CRIME, LAW AND DEVIANCE
VOLUME 25

RADICALIZATION AND COUNTER- RADICALIZATION

EDITED BY

DEREK M.D. SILVA

*King's University College, Canada
and*

MATHIEU DEFLEM

University of South Carolina, USA



United Kingdom – North America – Japan
India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2020

Copyright © 2020 Emerald Publishing Limited

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-83982-989-5 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-83982-988-8 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-83982-990-1 (Epub)

ISSN: 1521-6136 (Series)



ISOQAR certified
Management System,
awarded to Emerald
for adherence to
Environmental
standard
ISO 14001:2004.

Certificate Number 1985
ISO 14001



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

CONTENTS

List of Tables vii

About the Contributors ix

Introduction: Deciphering (Counter-)Radicalization
Derek M.D. Silva and Mathieu Deflem 1

PART I THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL ADVANCES

Chapter 1 Does Deradicalization Work?
John Horgan, Katharina Meredith and Katerina Papatheodorou 9

**Chapter 2 Navigating Radicalization Concepts:
A Role for the Harm Principle**
Keiran Hardy 21

**Chapter 3 Radicalization as Transformative Learning:
A Theoretical and Illustrative Exploration**
Alex Wilner and Claire-Jehanne Dubouloz 37

Chapter 4 Advances in Violent Extremist Risk Analysis
*Paul Gill, Zoe Marchment, Sanaz Zolghadriha,
Nadine Salman, Bettina Rottweiler, Caitlin Clemmow
and Isabelle Van Der Vejt* 55

PART II STATE AND CIVIL SOCIETY

Chapter 5 Counter-Radicalization as Civic Integration
Therese O'Toole 77

**Chapter 6 The Role of State Violence in the Adoption of
Terrorism**
Stephen Chicoine 95

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| Chapter 7 | The Securitization of Muslim Civil Society in Canada | |
| | <i>Fahad Ahmad</i> | 115 |
| Chapter 8 | Countering Violent Extremism, Safeguarding and the Law: A Practitioner’s Perspective on Protecting Young and Vulnerable People from Exploitation | |
| | <i>Carys Evans</i> | 135 |
| PART III | | |
| THE ONLINE SPACE AND RADICALIZATION | | |
| Chapter 9 | Clearing the Smoke and Breaking the Mirrors: Using Attitudinal Inoculation to Challenge Online Disinformation by Extremists | |
| | <i>Kurt Braddock</i> | 155 |
| Chapter 10 | Learning to Hate: Explaining Participation in Online Extremism | |
| | <i>James Hawdon and Matthew Costello</i> | 167 |
| Chapter 11 | Hatred She Wrote: A Comparative Topic Analysis of Extreme Right and Islamic State Women-Only Forums | |
| | <i>Ayse Lokmanoglu and Yannick Veilleux-Lepage</i> | 183 |
| PART IV | | |
| FORMER EXTREMISTS, PREVENTION, AND PUNISHMENT | | |
| Chapter 12 | Former Extremists in Radicalization and Counter-Radicalization Research | |
| | <i>Ryan Scrivens, Steven Windisch and Pete Simi</i> | 209 |
| Chapter 13 | Examining “Prevent” from a Former Combatant Perspective | |
| | <i>Tom Pettinger</i> | 225 |
| Chapter 14 | Engagement, Desistance, and Revolt: What Do We Know about Terrorists who Turn into Informants? | |
| | <i>Stefano Bonino</i> | 243 |
| Chapter 15 | “We Wouldn’t Let Known Terrorists Live Here”: Impediments to Radicalization in Western Canadian Prisons | |
| | <i>William J. Schultz, Sandra M. Bucerius and Kevin D. Haggerty</i> | 259 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 277 |

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 10

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 1. Univariate Statistics. | 175 |
| Table 2. Logistic Regression of Producing Online Hate on Social Structural Variables. | 175 |
| Table 3. Logistic Regression of Producing Online Hate on Social Structural Social Learning Variables. | 176 |

Chapter 11

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 1. Cluster Categories Comparison and Prominence. | 192 |
|--|-----|

Chapter 13

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 1. ERG 22 “Risk Factors” (NOMS, 2014, pp. 3–4). | 230 |
|---|-----|

This page intentionally left blank

ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

Fahad Ahmad, School of Public Policy and Administration, Carleton University (Canada), studies how the work of community organizations that provide social and economic support to immigrant and diaspora Muslim communities mitigates radicalization. He is a Pierre Elliot Trudeau Foundation Scholar who also works as a Consultant, Researcher, and Program Evaluator for community-based initiatives.

Stefano Bonino, Independent Researcher, specializes in security, migration, and counter-terrorism. He is the author of *Muslims in Scotland: The Making of Community in a Post-9/11 World* (Edinburgh University Press, 2016), which was shortlisted for the 2017 Saltire Society Research Book of the Year Award. He received his Ph.D. at the University of Edinburgh and previously worked at the Universities of Birmingham, Durham, Northumbria, and Trento.

Kurt Braddock, School of Communication, American University (USA), specializes in the effects of specific types of communication in the processes surrounding the use of terrorism. His work evaluates the persuasive mechanisms of terrorist recruitment and radicalization practices and how those practices can be challenged. He is the author of the forthcoming *Weaponized Words: The Strategic Role of Persuasion in Violent Radicalization and Counter-Radicalization* (Cambridge University Press, 2020).

Sandra M. Bucurius, Department of Sociology, University of Alberta (Canada), specializes in prisons, police organizations, and marginalized street and newcomer communities. She conducts research designed to understand criminal justice institutions through the perspectives of both those who work in them and those who encounter them, particularly those marginalized by factors related to race, gender, social class, and addictions, and has published widely on those topics.

Stephen Chicoine, Department of Sociology, University of South Carolina (USA), specializes in the spread and diffusion of terrorism across time and space and the role of state violence in terrorism. His work can be found in *Sociological Forum*, *Development and Society*, *The Handbook of Social Control* (Wiley), and the *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice* (Springer).

Caitlin Clemmow, Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London (UK), researcher lone-actor terrorism. She is a first year Ph.D. student at UCL's Department of Security and Crime Science completing a research project aiming to develop a comprehensive typology of lone-actor terrorists.

Matthew Costello, Department of Sociology, Clemson University (USA), specializes in online crime and deviance and violent extremism. His current research explores online extremism in domestic and cross-national settings. It explores factors related to exposure to, targeting by, and production of online extremist material. His research has been published in respected journals in the field of sociology and criminology.

Mathieu Deflem, Department of Sociology, University of South Carolina (USA), specializes in the sociology of social control, terrorism, policing, sociology of law, and sociological theory. He is the author of four books, including *The Policing of Terrorism* (Routledge, 2010) and *Sociology of Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2008).

Dr. Dubouloz, has been a Professor of Occupational Therapy at the School of Rehabilitation Sciences at the University of Ottawa (Canada) for more than 30 years. Previously, she enjoyed a 10-year career as a clinical therapist at the Montreal Gingras-Lindsay Rehabilitation Institute. She has been a key player in the development of Rehabilitation Sciences education in Canada. Currently she is Professor Emeritus. Her latest book, *Transformative Physical Rehabilitation: Thriving After a Major Health Event*, will be published by the University of Ottawa Press in 2020.

Carys Evans, Director of Programmes and Impact, ConnectFutures (UK), specializes in youth engagement, social integration, and community-led development. Currently, completing her Master's degree in Terrorism and Political Violence at the University of Birmingham. She is focusing on a range of topics including Far-Right extremism in the UK, gender in terrorism and CVE.

Paul Gill, Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London (UK), is an expert in the causes and patterns of terrorism. His published research demonstrates the heterogeneous profiles of terrorists, their developmental pathways into terrorism, the behaviors that precede and underpin a terrorist attack, how terrorists fit into a wider structure and how particular group influences condition individuals to engage in acts of violence.

Kevin D. Haggerty, Department of Sociology, University of Alberta (Canada), specializes in surveillance, governance, policing, and risk. He is a Killam Research Laureate and Editor of the *Canadian Journal of Sociology*. He has published widely on the topics of prisons, surveillance, research ethics, policing, risk, and governance.

Keiran Hardy, Griffith Criminology Institute, Griffith University (Australia), specializes in counter-terrorism law, countering violent extremism, radicalization, intelligence whistleblowing, and cyber-terrorism. He has published extensively on counter-terrorism law and policy and comments regularly for Australian media.

He is the author of *Law in Australian Society: An Introduction to Principles and Process*.

James Hawdon, Department of Sociology, Virginia Tech (USA), investigates the role of communities in promoting, deterring, or reacting to crime and violence. His most recent work focuses on online communities and how pattern exposure to and participation in online extremism. He has published seven books or edited books and over 100 articles, book chapters, or research reports in the areas of terrorism and violent extremism, criminology, and sociology.

John Horgan, Department of Psychology, Georgia State University (USA), specializes in psychological issues in terrorism and political violence. He is a Distinguished University Professor and Director of the Violent Extremism Research Group. His work is widely published, and his books include *The Psychology of Terrorism*.

Ayse Lokmanoglu, Transcultural Conflict and Violence Initiative, Georgia State University (USA), specializes in media of violent extremist groups. She earned her M.A. in Middle Eastern Studies from Harvard University and her B.A. in Economics and Near Eastern Studies from Cornell University.

Zoe Marchment, Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London (UK), specializes in the spatial arrangement of terrorist targets. She is a Post-doctoral research associate with UCL's Grievance Project. Her research examines the spatial decision-making of terrorist target selection, with a focus on lone-actors and Violent Dissident Republican activity.

Katharina Meredith, Department of Psychology, Georgia State University (USA) is a Ph.D. researcher and a Research Associate in Georgia State University's Violent Extremism Research Group. Her research interests are inter-group and intra-group violence, online extremist propaganda and radicalization, group processes and group-exit, identity of current and former members, program development, and program evaluation.

Therese O'Toole, School of Sociology, Politics and International Studies and the Centre for the Study of Ethnicity and Citizenship, University of Bristol, researches Muslims and governance and the impact of the Prevent and Counter Extremism agendas on Muslim civil society in the UK. Her recent book (with John Holmwood) analyses allegations of a plot by Muslim extremists to infiltrate and Islamicise state-funded schools in Britain: *Countering Extremism in British Schools? The Truth about the Birmingham Trojan Horse Affair* (Policy Press 2017).

Katerina Papatheodorou, Department of Psychology, Georgia State University (USA), is a psychology Ph.D. researcher and Graduate Research Assistant in the Violent Extremism Research Group. Her research focuses on the psychology of

terrorism. Specifically, she is interested in understanding how and why people disengage from violent extremist groups.

Tom Pettinger, Politics and International Studies, University of Warwick (UK), specializes in critical terrorism studies, risk, pre-emption, and radicalization. His primary focus is the distinction between the sorts of behaviors associated with risk through contemporary terrorism pre-emption, and the sorts of behaviors demonstrated by those convicted of terrorism offences in Northern Ireland. He has conducted over 50 research interviews with Prevent officials, Channel mentors, and Northern Irish former combatants.

Bettina Rottweiler, Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London (UK), researches violent extremism, radicalization, and terrorism. Her current project explores the social ecology of radicalization in Germany.

Nadine Salman, Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London (UK), researches the application, validity, and reliability of terrorism risk assessment tools used to estimate and prioritize the risks posed by potential violent extremists. Her previous academic research has focused on the impact of handcuffing suspects on deception detection in police interviews, and on the relationship between terrorism and drug trafficking.

William J. Schultz, Department of Sociology, University of Alberta (Canada), specializes in studying the life experiences of prisoners and correctional officers. His research focuses on Canadian jails, interviewing prisoners and staff about how fentanyl and major security concerns impact everyday life experiences in the prison setting. He is a Vanier Canada and Pierre Elliott Trudeau Foundation doctoral scholar.

Ryan Scrivens, School of Criminal Justice, Michigan State University (USA), specializes in terrorists' and extremists' use of the Internet, right-wing extremism, and computational social science. He is a Research Fellow at the VOX-Pol Network of Excellence and a Research Associate at the International CyberCrime Research Centre.

Derek M. D. Silva, Department of Sociology, King's University College at Western University (Canada), specializes in radicalization and violent extremism, policing, sport, and social control. His most recent work can be found in peer-reviewed journals *Crime, Media, Culture, Punishment & Society*, *Sociological Forum*, *Race & Class*, and the *Sociology of Sport Journal*.

Pete Simi, Department of Sociology, Chapman University (USA), specializes in extremist groups, violence, and radicalization. He has published more than 50 peer reviewed manuscripts and book chapters and is co-author of an award-winning book manuscript, *American Swastika: Inside the White Power Movement's Hidden Spaces of Hate*.

Isabelle Van Der Vegt, Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London (UK), researches crime-solving problems, verbal deception detection, and counter-terrorism. She is currently pursuing a Ph.D. at the UCL Department of Security and Crime Science, with a focus on understanding and predicting targeted violence through linguistic threat assessment.

Yannick Veilleux-Lepage, Institute of Security and Global Affairs, Leiden University (the Netherlands). He previously worked as a Senior Researcher in the Transcultural Conflict and Violence Initiative at Georgia State University. He holds a Ph.D. from the University of St Andrews.

Dr. Alex Wilner, Associate Professor, Norman Patterson School of International Affairs, Carleton University (Canada), specializes in the application of deterrence theory to contemporary security issues like terrorism, radicalization, organized crime, cybersecurity threats, and proliferation, and Artificial Intelligence. His books and volumes include *Deterrence by Denial: Theory and Practice* (Cambria Press, 2020), *Deterring Rational Fanatics* (University of Pennsylvania Press, 2015), and *Deterring Terrorism: Theory and Practice* (Stanford University Press, 2012).

Steven Windisch, Department of Criminal Justice, Temple University (USA), focuses on developmental and life-course criminology and symbolic interactionist perspective to examine the overlap between conventional criminal offending and violent extremism. His interests are primarily at the individual-level and focus on how the negative consequences of physical/psychological trauma, identity formation, and interpersonal violence intersect with political extremism.

Sanaz Zolghadriha, Department of Security and Crime Science, University College London (UK), specializes in transnational organized crime networks, offending behavior, and law enforcement investigative practices. In 2014, she founded the UCL Organised Crime Research Network, with which she is currently heavily involved.

This page intentionally left blank

INTRODUCTION: DECIPHERING (COUNTER-)RADICALIZATION

Derek M.D. Silva and Mathieu Deflem

Over the past two decades there has been a notable increase in studies related to terrorism and counter-terrorism within the fields of sociology, criminology, law, and criminal justice. Scholars working in this area have laid the foundation for a rich understanding of the complex social relations that contextualize terrorism and, perhaps even more relevant in the context of sociology and criminology, have documented the rise in social control measures seeking to prevent various forms of terrorist activity. While social-scientific studies of terrorism have historically been primarily concerned with understanding the cognitive characteristics, actions, and behaviors of terrorists, sociological work aiming to conceptualize, theorize, and measure behaviors and activities that precede and follow terrorism and political violence have recently gained traction within the field.

Contemporary scholarly discourse surrounding terrorism and counter-terrorism has been influenced by the rapid upsurge in focus on the pre-terrorism space most often labeled under the heading of a “radicalization” process. Typically understood as an individual or group transitioning away from legitimate or lawful political, religious, or otherwise ideological belief toward unlawful violence, radicalization has become an increasingly studied topic in sociological and criminological research on terrorism. Dovetailing this trend has been an augmented focus on not only theorizing radicalization, but also on the development, uptake, and diffusion of social control strategies and practices aiming to intervene in the radicalization process, most of labeled as counter-radicalization, countering violent extremism (CVE) or preventing violent extremism (PVE) strategies. Scholarly questions related to terrorism and counter-terrorism have thus shifted away from seeking to explain terrorism reactively to *preemptive* interventions that aim to understand and intervene in a *process* toward terrorist activity. As such, the epistemological orientation of social-scientific research on terrorism has moved significantly toward the pre-terrorism space, the study of which is largely occupied by scholars interested in radicalization and counter-radicalization.

Not only have radicalization and counter-radicalization recently begun to enter the gaze of mainstream sociology, criminology, and criminal justice as objects of investigation, the concept of radicalization also provides a growing governmental framework for understanding modern forms of terrorism and the life-course trajectories of those engaging in terrorist activities. Furthermore, as agents of social control across Western jurisdictions have increasingly been focusing on counter-radicalization as part of their professional repertoire (Silva & Deflem, 2020), scholars must challenge the dynamics of practices associated with modern counter-radicalization, CVE, and PVE strategies. Indeed, the emergence of radicalization presents an opportunity for social scientists interested in both terrorism and social control, but questions remain about how to develop such a research agenda (Silva, 2019). In this context, the present volume seeks to illuminate some of the contemporary advances in the field and possibilities for sociologists, criminologists, and other scholars interested in issues of criminal and social justice who want to pursue knowledge claims about new forms of social control in the context of contemporary terrorism.

Paralleling the growing interest in (counter-)radicalization has been a sustained critique of the very idea of labeling a complex process toward political violence under the general marker of radicalization (Coolsaet, 2019; Kundnani, 2012; Neumann, 2013; Sedgwick, 2010; Silva, 2018). From this perspective, the focal point of social-scientific research on processes of radicalization should be, first and foremost, on the ways in which knowledge about transitions toward terrorism informs a myriad of public policies, intelligence and law enforcement strategies, and community interventions that impact certain individuals and groups preemptive defined as risky (Silva & Deflem, 2020). Bridging the gap between scholarship on radicalization with studies on counter-radicalization, this body of work highlights the complex interconnectivity between the discourses and knowledges that shape our understanding of the radicalization process with the evolving ways that politicians and governments, law enforcement officials, community leaders, civil society organizations, and other key stakeholders legitimize new policies, strategies, and practices seeking to counter it. It is thus important that this volume contributes to the deciphering of (counter-)radicalization within the field to better understand the complex dynamics involved in the transition toward terrorism and the efforts deployed by various authorities to prevent it.

This volume seeks to offer a useful contribution to the budding field of radicalization studies that intersects within the fields of sociology, law, criminology, and criminal justice today. This effort is certainly not meant as a condemnation or critique of contemporary or historical social-scientific research on terrorism in general, but as an attempt to at once demonstrate the value of empirical research that gives primacy to the radicalization process and attempts to control it and, perhaps equally important, illuminate the rich, sophisticated, and rigorous scholarship that is being conducted in this area.

The interdisciplinary nature of scholarship on radicalization that lies at the nexus of crime, deviance, and social control is reflective of the development of contemporary scholarship on terrorism in general. The scope of this volume of *Sociology of Crime, Law, and Deviance* to focus on radicalization

and counter-radicalization, as such, complements two volumes on the study of (counter-)terrorism published in this series over the past 15 years (Deflem, 2004, 2015), bringing with it unique contributions toward the study of radicalization as a legitimate unit of analysis. What the assembled authors hope to show is that empirical studies of the so-called radicalization process and the myriad of social control strategies developed to intervene in it are valid, robust, and useful areas of scholarly concern. To this end, our volume should nicely complement other relevant works in this area, such as the edited collections (Baker-Beall, Heath-Kelly, & Jarvis, 2014; Jackson, 2016), books (Alimi, Demetriou, & Bosi, 2015; Horgan, 2009; Khosrokhavar, 2017; McDonald, 2018), and the numerous empirical articles (Kundnani, 2012; Neumann, 2013; Schmid, 2013; Sedgwick, 2010) the field of radicalization studies now relies on.

The chapters in this book are divided into four parts, each representing cutting-edge scholarship being done in different areas of radicalization research. Part I brings together four chapters that in very different ways discuss theoretical and conceptual advances and trends in the social-scientific study of (counter-)radicalization. John Horgan, Katharina Meredith, and Katerina Papatheodorou first address perhaps the most obvious question currently facing the field: does deradicalization even work? Based on a descriptive account of findings within the field, they find that although scientific research on deradicalization is relatively new, there remains significant promise in emerging findings that support a case for the effectiveness of deradicalization programs. Next, Keiran Hardy evaluates the merit of using John Stuart Mill's harm principle to understand debates surrounding the definitions of radicalization and deradicalization. He argues that Mill's harm principle is best applied when researchers and policy-makers focus on the social aspects of radicalization over the psychological ones. Alex Wilner and Claire-Jehanne Dubouloz draw on Transformative Learning theory to suggest a new and novel approach to the study of violent radicalization they call Transformative Radicalization. They argue that there remains some efficacy in understanding the cognitive and emotional process of change that prepares and motivates an individual to pursue violent behavior, and that the Transformative Radicalization framework might provide a more nuanced understanding of the cognitive aspects of radicalization. Paul Gill, Zoe Marchment, Sanaz Zolghadriha, Nadine Salman, Bettina Rottweiler, Caitlin Clemmow, and Isabelle Van Der Vegt synthesize recent advances in violent extremist risk analysis. They find that the next generation of violent extremist risk assessment will necessitate a focus upon process, barriers that challenge effective implementation, and a return to the human element of decision-making.

Part II focuses on the role of the state and civil society in (counter-)radicalization. Therese O'Toole first explores the ever-evolving counter-radicalization strategy in the UK, called Prevent, and its increasing alignment with a much larger counter extremism agenda. O'Toole finds that this trend is evidence of a broader "civic turn" toward a narrow and increasingly restrictive conception of both integration and citizenship. Concentrating on the role of state violence in the adoption of terrorism, Stephen Chicoine's comparative-historical study of anarchist, anti-colonial, New Left groups, and the Islamic State of Iraq and the

Levant demonstrates the symbolic importance of state violence which is thought to provide a moral justification for numerous forms of terrorist activity. Next, Fahad Ahmad illuminates how Muslim communities and their representatives in civil society experience and negotiate the pressures from counter-radicalization policies and practices. The author finds that while counter-radicalization policies are legitimized by general references to risk governance and community-based intervention, security discourses and practices continue to cast Muslim communities as suspect communities by constructing radicalization as a problem predominantly within Muslim communities. To end this part, Carys Evans presents the often-overlooked perspective of counter-radicalization practitioners within the field. She argues that practitioners are perhaps most equipped understand the contextual factors leading individuals toward violent extremism and can thus provide much more holistic tools to help prevent radicalization and, importantly, exploitation that might fuel extremism.

In Part III, the focus shifts to how the online space operates as an increasingly important arena for (counter-)radicalization research. Kurt Braddock studies the use of attitudinal inoculation to challenge online disinformation propagated by extremists. He shows that despite the demonstrated efficacy of disinformation inoculation in general, its utility as a means of preventing the adoption of extremist beliefs and attitudes has yet to be sufficiently used in terrorism research. Next, James Hawdon and Matthew Costello argue that Ronald Aker's Social Structure Social Learning theory can help explain who is involved in the production of online materials considered hateful or extremist in nature. Concentrating on activity in women-only online forums, Ayse Lokmanoglu and Yannick Veilleux-Lepage use structural topic modeling to uncover the most salient topics between White Nationalist and Islamic State women-only forums. They find that the safety of online spaces enables women to be more active and serves as an echo-chamber of support for like-minded individuals.

In Part IV, finally, four chapters explore the complex role that current and former extremists play in contemporary (counter-)radicalization strategies. Ryan Scrivens, Steven Windisch, and Pete Simi begin by exploring the ways in which former extremists have and have not be used in radicalization and counter-radicalization research. They find that although former extremists have indeed informed public understanding of an array of issues related to radicalization and counter-radicalization, empirical research in this space has largely ignored their voices. As such, they urge researchers, practitioners, and policy-makers to engage with former extremists to get a much richer and nuanced understanding of the complex trajectory toward political violence. Examining the UK's Prevent strategy from the perspective of a former combatant, Tom Pettinger investigates how those engaged in political violence in the UK understand the country's preemptive rationality. He shows that despite the assumptions made by Prevent about risk and radicalization, the strategy completely misses an opportunity to learn from former combatants who have a very different understanding of the radicalization process. Studying the transition from terrorist to informant, Stefano Bonino shows that it is possible to prove theories on radicalization and deradicalization by drawing on the unique experiences of terrorist who have left their

movement to work with law enforcement to prevent terrorism. Finally, co-authors William Schultz, Sandra M. Bucerius, and Kevin D. Haggerty focus on the question of whether prisons might serve as an incubator, or breeding ground, for radicalization. Relying on interview data with 587 incarcerated men and women as well as 131 correctional officers in four prisons in Western Canada, they show that, unlike other jurisdictions, radicalization was not common in these institutions due, at least in part, to a number of social and structural barriers to radicalization.

Taken as a whole, the authors of this volume represent a broad range of scholarly disciplines interested in the dynamics of radicalization and counter-radicalization from various theoretical, conceptual, and methodological perspectives. The common thread among all chapters is the deliberate focus on situating radicalization and counter-radicalization within a social context and each apply their own theoretical and methodological approach to uncovering important and useful answers to the problem of political violence and terrorism. Collectively and through their respective individual chapters, the contributions in this book should make for a meaningful addition to the (counter-)radicalization studies literature that will be of interest to scholars and students interested in terrorism, counter-terrorism, and related concerns.

REFERENCES

- Alimi, E., Demetriou, C., & Bosi, L. (2015). *The dynamics of radicalization: A relational and comparative perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baker-Beall, C., Heath-Kelly, C., & Jarvis, L. (Eds.). (2014). *Counter-radicalisation: Critical perspectives*. London: Routledge.
- Coolsaet, R. (2019). Radicalization: The origins and limits of a contested concept. In R. Fadil, F. Ragazzi, & M. de Koning (Eds.), *Radicalisation in Belgium and the Netherlands: Critical perspectives on violence and security* (pp. 29–51). London: I.B. Tauris.
- Deflem, M. (Ed.). (2004). *Terrorism and counterterrorism*. *Sociology of Crime, Law and Deviance* (Vol. 5). Amsterdam: Elsevier/JAI Press.
- Deflem, M. (Ed.). (2015). *Terrorism and counterterrorism today*. *Sociology of Crime, Law and Deviance* (Vol. 20). Bingley: Emerald.
- Horgan, J. (2009). *Walking away from terrorism*. London: Routledge.
- Jackson, R. (Ed.). (2016). *Routledge handbook of critical terrorism studies*. London: Routledge.
- Khosrokhavar, F. (2017). *Radicalization: Why some people choose the path of violence*. New York, NY: The New Press.
- Kundnani, A. (2012). Radicalization: The journey of a concept. *Race & Class*, 54(2), 3–25.
- McDonald, K. (2018). *Radicalization*. Medford, MA: Polity Press.
- Neumann, P. (2013). The trouble with radicalization. *International Affairs*, 89(4), 873–893.
- Schmid, A.P. (2013). Radicalization, de-radicalization, counter-radicalization: A conceptual discussion and literature review. *ICCT Research Paper*, 97, 22.
- Sedgwick, M. (2010). The concept of radicalization as a source of confusion. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 22(4), 479–494.
- Silva, D. M. D. (2018). Radicalization: The journey of a concept, revisited. *Race & Class*, 59(4), 34–53.
- Silva, D. M. D. (2019). Police and radicalization. In M. Deflem (Ed.), *The handbook of social control* (pp. 249–262). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Silva, D. M. D., & Deflem, M. (2020). Exporting preemption: The transnational diffusion of counter-radicalization policing strategies. In J. L. M. McDaniel, K. E. Stonard, & D. J. Cox (Eds.), *The development of transnational policing: Past present and future* (pp. 179–200). Albingdon: Routledge.

This page intentionally left blank

PART I

THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL
ADVANCES