

NEW NARRATIVES OF DISABILITY

RESEARCH IN SOCIAL SCIENCE AND DISABILITY

Series Editors: Sharon N. Barnartt and
Barbara M. Altman

Recent Volumes:

- Volume 1: Expanding the Scope of Social Science Research on Disability – Edited by Sharon N. Barnartt and Barbara M. Altman, 2000
- Volume 2: Exploring Theories and Expanding Methodologies: Where We Are and Where We Need to Go – Edited by Sharon N. Barnartt and Barbara M. Altman, 2001
- Volume 3: Using Survey Data to Study Disability: Results from the National Health Interview Survey on Disability – Edited by Barbara M. Altman, Sharon N. Barnartt, Gerry E. Hendershot and Sheryl A. Larson, 2003
- Volume 4: International Views on Disability Measures: Moving Toward Comparative Measurement – Edited by Barbara M. Altman, Sharon N. Barnartt, Gerry E. Hendershot and Sheryl Larson, 2006
- Volume 5: Disability as a Fluid State – Edited by Sharon N. Barnartt, 2010
- Volume 6: Disability and Community – Edited by Allison C. Carey and Richard K. Scotch
- Volume 7: Disability and Intersecting Statuses – Edited by Sharon N. Barnartt and Barbara M. Altman
- Volume 8: Environmental Contexts and Disability – Edited by Sharon N. Barnartt and Barbara M. Altman
- Volume 9: What Did We Know and When Did We Know It – Edited by Sara Green and Sharon N. Barnartt
- Volume 10: Factors in Studying Employment for Persons with Disability: How the Picture Can Change – Edited by Barbara M. Altman and Sharon N. Barnartt

RESEARCH IN SOCIAL SCIENCE AND DISABILITY
VOLUME 11

NEW NARRATIVES OF DISABILITY: CONSTRUCTIONS, CLASHES, AND CONTROVERSIES

EDITED BY

SARA E. GREEN

University of South Florida, USA

DONILEEN R. LOSEKE

University of South Florida, USA



United Kingdom – North America – Japan
India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2020

Copyright © 2020 Emerald Publishing Limited

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-83909-144-5 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-83909-143-8 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-83909-145-2 (EPub)

ISSN: 1479-3547 (Series)



ISOQAR certified
Management System,
awarded to Emerald
for adherence to
Environmental
standard
ISO 14001:2004.

Certificate Number 1985
ISO 14001



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

CONTENTS

<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>About the Contributors</i>	<i>xi</i>

Introduction: Exploring Narrative as a Social Science Framework on Disability and Disabled People <i>Donileen R. Loseke and Sara E. Green</i>	1
---	----------

PART I CULTURAL STORIES OF DISABILITY AND INDIVIDUAL LIVES

Chapter 1 Reframing the Story of Helen Keller and Anne Sullivan: Resisting (Dis)ability Stereotypes through an Analysis of Children's Literature <i>Cheryl Najarian Souza</i>	11
---	-----------

Chapter 2 "It's Not That Way You Know, She Has a Good Future": Women's Experiences of Disability and Community-based Rehabilitation in Sri Lanka <i>Carmen Rebecca Britton and Laura Mauldin</i>	27
--	-----------

Chapter 3 Test Anxiety: Participation and Exclusion beyond the Institution <i>M. Nickie Coomer and Kenzie Latham-Mintus</i>	43
---	-----------

Chapter 4 Narratives of Care and Citizenship: Mothering "Precariously Normal" Adult Sons and Daughters in an Age of Inequality <i>Linda M. Blum</i>	59
---	-----------

Chapter 5 "More than a Parent, You're a Caregiver": Narratives of Fatherhood in Families of Adult Sons and Daughters with Life-long Disabilities <i>Heidi Steinour and Sara E. Green</i>	75
--	-----------

PART II CULTURAL STORIES OF DISABILITY AND ORGANIZATIONS

- Chapter 6 “You Won’t Tell That You Have Schizophrenia, Right? You Should Say You Have a Small Depression”:
Organizational Narratives of “Adjusted” Workers with
Disabilities and the Rhetoric of Reassurance in France**
Lisa Buchter 93
- Chapter 7 “I Want to Go Places on My Own”: A Case-study
of Virginia Commonwealth University ACE-IT in College**
Stephanie J. Lau and Aliza H. Weiss 107
- Chapter 8 More than Therapy: Conformity and Resistance
in an Organizational Narrative of Disability and the
Performing Arts**
Melinda Leigh Maconi 123

PART III CULTURAL STORIES OF DISABILITY AND SOCIAL POLICIES

- Chapter 9 Narrative Productions of Problems and People in
the Americans with Disabilities Amendment Act**
Melissa Jane Welch 139
- Chapter 10 Institutional and Personal Narratives of Chronic
Pain Management: Interrogating the Medical and Social
Models of Disability**
Loren E. Wilbers 151
- Chapter 11 Stuck in Transition with You: Variable Pathways
to In(ter)dependence for Emerging Adult Men with Mobility
Impairments**
J. Dalton Stevens 169
- Chapter 12 Conflicting Narratives of Corporeal Citizenship:
Medicaid Personal Care Attendant (PCA) Policy and Program
Users’ Experiences of Cross-state Moves**
Brian R. Grossman 185

PART IV CULTURAL STORIES OF DISABILITY AND RESISTANCE

Chapter 13 Neither Victim nor Superhero: Reflections on Disability and Mental Health Counseling <i>Richard A. Chapman</i>	203
Chapter 14 Self-study of Intersectional and Emotional Narratives: Narrative Inquiry, Disability Studies in Education, and Praxis in Social Science Research <i>Lisa Boskovich, Mercedes Adell Cannon, David Isaac Hernández-Saca, Laurie Gutmann Kahn and Emily A. Nusbaum</i>	215
Chapter 15 Neoliberalism and the Fight for the Child: Narratives of Queer Mothering <i>Ahoo Tabatabai</i>	231
Chapter 16 Sick and Tired: Narratives of Contested Illness in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Blogs <i>Morgan V. Sanchez</i>	245
Chapter 17 “We Love Each Other Into Meaning”: Queer Disabled Tumblr Users Constructing Identity Narratives through Love and Anger <i>Justine E. Egner</i>	261
<i>Index</i>	277

This page intentionally left blank

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 3

Table 1. Sample Characteristics.. 48

Chapter 7

Table 1. Descriptive Codes and Sub-codes. 113

This page intentionally left blank

ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

Linda M. Blum, PhD, is a Professor of Sociology at Northeastern University, USA. She is a Past Chair of the American Sociological Association Sections on Sex and Gender and Body and Embodiment and received a book award from the Section on Disability and Society. She has served on the editorial boards of *Gender & Society* and *Signs*.

Lisa Boskovich is a Doctoral Candidate with an emphasis in Disability Studies in the Donna Ford Attallah College of Educational Studies and a Research Assistant at the Thompson Policy Institute on Disability and Autism at Chapman University, USA. Her dissertation research focuses on fathers of children on the Autism Spectrum.

Carmen Rebecca Britton is a Doctoral Candidate at the Department of Human Development and Family Sciences at the University of Connecticut, USA. Her areas of research include disability, and community-based rehabilitation programming, practice, and development in relation to socially marginalized groups, particularly in the Global South.

Lisa Buchter is an Assistant Professor at Emlyon Business School, Lyon, France, and a Doctoral Candidate in a joint program at Northwestern University and the Center for the Sociology of Organizations, Po Paris. Her areas of research include disability, the fight against discrimination, and social movements' strategies to improve inclusive laws and policies.

Mercedes Adell Cannon, PhD, is an Associate Director of Adaptive Educational Services, an Administrator in the Division of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion, and an Adjunct Faculty Member in the School of Education at Indiana University Purdue – University Indianapolis (IUPUI), USA. Cannon's research examines race, gender, and disability in the context of postsecondary education.

Richard A. Chapman is a Licensed Mental Health Counselor, a Certified Rehabilitation Counselor, and a Doctoral Candidate in the Department of Counseling Education at the University of South Florida, USA. His research focuses on mental health, developmental disabilities, the promotion of alternatives to guardianship, and the measurement of self-advocacy and self-determination for individuals with disabilities.

M. Nickie Coomer is a Doctoral Candidate in Urban Education Studies at Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), USA. Her areas of research include special education, disability studies in education, teacher

identity and agency, critical pedagogies, and praxis. Her dissertation examines the informal processes of eligibility determination in special education.

Justine E. Egner, PhD, is an Assistant Professor of Sociology at the University of Wisconsin – La Crosse, USA, a Program Chair for American Sociological Association Section on Disability & Society and a Co-Chair of the Society for the Study of Social Problems, Disability Division. Egner's research focuses on disability/illness, autism/neurodiversity, sexuality, LGBTQ+ identities, and virtual communities.

Sara E. Green, PhD, is a Director of the Interdisciplinary Social Sciences Program and a Professor of Sociology at the University of South Florida, USA, a Past Chair and career award recipient of the American Sociological Association (ASA) Section on Disability & Society, and a Past Co-Chair of the ASA Committee on the Status of Persons with Disabilities.

Brian R. Grossman, PhD, is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Disability and Human Development at the University of Illinois at Chicago, USA, a Faculty Affiliate with the Illinois Leadership Education in Neurodevelopmental and other related Disabilities (LEND), and a Past Co-Chair of the Disability Division of the Society for the Study of Social Problems.

David Isaac Hernández-Saca, PhD, is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Special Education at the University of Northern Iowa, USA. Hernández-Saca's research focuses on historical equity issues in general education and special education, and current movements for inclusive education from a Disability Studies in Education paradigm.

Laurie Gutmann Kahn, PhD, is an Assistant Professor of Education at Moravian College, USA. Kahn's dissertation won the American Educational Research Association Disability Studies in Education Special Interest Group (DSE SIG) Outstanding Dissertation Award. Kahn's research and practice can be located at the intersection of identity, culture, and disability within educational contexts.

Kenzie Latham-Mintus, PhD, is an Associate Professor of Sociology at Indiana University – Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), USA. Latham-Mintus' research interests include health and aging with an emphasis on disability, chronic illness, and health disparities across the life course. She is a Fellow of The Gerontological Society of America and serves on several journal editorial boards.

Stephanie J. Lau is a Doctoral Candidate in the L. Douglas Wilder School of Government and Public Affairs and Transition Training Associate at Center for Transition Innovations at Rehabilitation Research and Training Center at Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU), USA. Lau's research focuses on disability, postsecondary education and employment, health access, and institutional organization.

Donileen R. Loseke, PhD, is a Professor of Sociology at the University of South Florida, USA and a Past President of both the Society for the Study of Symbolic Interaction and the Society for the Study of Social Problems. Loseke received the Mead, Cooley, and Mentor Awards from the Society for the Study of Symbolic Interaction.

Melinda Leigh Maconi is a Doctoral Candidate in the Sociology Department at the University of South Florida, USA. Maconi's areas of research include disability, health and illness, education, the arts, and identity. She received Honourable Mention in the Society for the Study of Social Problems Disability Division Graduate Paper Award Competition.

Laura Mauldin, PhD, is an Assistant Professor in Human Development and Family Sciences and Women's, Gender and Sexuality Studies and an Affiliate in Sociology at the University of Connecticut, USA; a Past Co-Chair of the Disability Division, Society for the Study of Social Problems, and a recipient of American Sociological Association Disability & Society Section book award.

Cheryl Najarian Souza, PhD, is an Associate Professor of Sociology at the University of Massachusetts, Lowell, USA. Souza's research and teaching interests include examining disability and ability from intersectional, interdisciplinary, and feminist perspectives. She has written extensively about the deaf community and the challenges deaf women face.

Emily A. Nussbaum, PhD, is an Assistant Professor at University of San Francisco, USA. Nussbaum's research focuses on critical qualitative research, disability and disabled researchers, the ideology of inclusive education, and experiences of post-secondary students who are identified as disabled. Nussbaum is a recipient of the AERA Disability Studies in Education Special Interest Group Outstanding Dissertation award.

Morgan V. Sanchez, PhD, is an Assistant Professor of Sociology at California State University, East Bay, USA. Her research interests include contested illnesses, illness narratives, and familial experiences of disability. She is currently working on a project using blogs to explore variations in contested illness narratives depending on the degree of medicalization.

Heidi Steinour, PhD, is a Visiting Instructor at The University of South Florida. She is also a Learning Experience Designer with Ellucian focusing on integrating educational technology into online learning. Her research areas focus on family, gender, and education with interests in pedagogy and curriculum design.

J. Dalton Stevens is a sociology Doctoral Student at Syracuse University, USA. His scholarship focuses on disability, aging and the life course, family, sex and gender, mortality, welfare policy, and qualitative/mixed methods. Stevens received graduate student paper awards from the American Sociological Association and the Society for the Study of Social Problems.

Ahoo Tabatabai, PhD, is an Associate Professor of Sociology and the Chair of the Department of Psychology and Sociology at Columbia College in Columbia Missouri, USA, and currently serves on the editorial board of *Sociological Focus*. Tabatabai's scholarship and teaching interests include the study of disability, narratives, and identity.

Aliza H. Weiss is a Doctoral Student in the School of Education Counselor Education and Supervision and a Career Support Specialist at the Center for Transition Innovations at Rehabilitation Research and Training Center at Virginia Commonwealth University, USA. Weiss' research interests include disability, postsecondary education/employment, and siblings of individuals with neurodevelopmental disabilities.

Melissa Jane Welch, PhD, is an Advocacy Specialist with The Arc of Larimer County, where she works to help disabled individuals and their families navigate systems of support in their communities. Welch's research centers on various aspects of health, illness, and disability, including community engagement and participation, education, social movements, and collective identity.

Loren E. Wilbers, PhD, is an Assistant Professor of Sociology and Disability Studies Certificate Program Coordinator at the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, USA, where she received the Chancellor's Award on Disability Concerns. Wilbers' research and teaching interests include disability, health and illness, and social psychology, with particular emphasis on chronic pain and family experiences with disability.

INTRODUCTION

EXPLORING NARRATIVE AS A SOCIAL SCIENCE FRAMEWORK ON DISABILITY AND DISABLED PEOPLE

Donileen R. Loseke and Sara E. Green

This eleventh volume of *Research in Social Science and Disability* explores questions about the use and value of narrative theoretical and methodological frameworks in disability research: What do we learn about disability and disabled people¹ from looking through the lens of narrative? How do narratives shape both the personal experience and the social organization of disability? How are narratives implicated in the inequalities and injustices associated with disability? How can narratives be a tool for social change?

Ancient philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle talked about the importance of narratives (an academic term for what are called “stories” in daily life), and narratives long have been of interest to literary critics as well as to scholars of religion (see, for example, [Frye, 1957](#); [Polkinghorne, 1991](#)). Yet, social scientists all but ignored how narratives work and the work narratives do until the 1980s. Until this time, there were perceived irreconcilable differences between social science and narrative. While social scientists were focused on understanding the objective world by methods that reduced complexity, narratives are about subjective meaning and emphasize complexity. While social scientists typically were interested in discovering truths that were objective and measurable, narrative truth is experiential, emotional, and moral. Multiple antecedents led social scientists in a variety of academic disciplines to challenge typical beliefs that narratives were not worthy of their attention and then to discover the importance and value of narrative as a subject of social scientific inquiry. Within academia, for

example, there were increasing criticisms that “objectivity” was an ideological mask for social science complicity in supporting inequalities; outside academia rapid change, globalization, mass migrations, urbanization, and technology were creating social environments where people shared little in the way of personal characteristics, experiences, life chances, or ways of understanding and morally evaluating the world. This raised new questions, an important sub-set of which centered on *meaning*: How can people make sense of self, others, and experiences when there is so much disagreement and so little shared meaning? How is it possible to know how to navigate a rapidly changing and increasingly complex social world when so little of it can be known through practical experience? Simply stated, characteristics of the current era encourage a multitude of problems surrounding meaning (see, for example, [Alexander, 2017](#); [McCarthy, 2017](#)) that cannot be adequately addressed with traditional social science methods. Together, these various trends produced what is called the “narrative turn” throughout the social sciences as well as within the professions of law, education, medicine, and social work (see [Loseke, 2019](#) for a review).

Narratives are omnipresent in all levels of social life because narrative is a meaning-producing communication form and relatively shared meaning is necessary for individual well-being and for social organization. Narratives produce *cognitive* meanings when plots transform what otherwise might seem random events into patterns; they produce *emotional* meanings when plots and characters encourage feeling; they produce *moral* meanings when plots and characters encourage reflections on what is right and wrong. Narrative meaning also is both *cultural* and *personal*. Meaning is cultural because narratives can be evaluated as important and believable by more than a few people only when story contents reflect culturally circulating systems of meaning; narrative meaning simultaneously is personal because the unique experiences of individuals influence what meaning is meaningful to them.

Scholarly discourses are systems of meaning that can also be viewed as narratives entering the public imagination through social policy and activism. Three genres of narratives of disability are the most common. The *medical narrative* of disability is a story of disability as an individual tragedy best ameliorated through the application of professional interventions (medical, social service, education, etc.); the *social narrative of disability* equates disability with oppressive structural barriers and attitudinal constraints that are part and parcel of a capitalist world organized around ableism; emerging narratives of disability including *crip* and *critical realism* problematize and destabilize the very idea of “normality” or portray disability as a complex and nuanced intersection of bodily, social, and cultural disadvantages. While these narratives tend to prevail in separate areas of social science scholarship and are often framed in opposition to one another, less well understood is how these seemingly disparate views of disability may simultaneously be enacted in the lives of individuals and the social systems with which they interact.

Public understandings of disability tend to follow the medical narrative of disability story line. This story imagines disability as a physical, visible, constant feature of experience; it imagines disabled people as inadequate actors. Within

this narrative, disability is a personal tragedy and disabled people deserve the emotional response of pity. This story reflects and perpetuates a range of cultural values reflecting a gendered culture prizing independence and productivity as traditionally conceptualized and measured (self-sufficiency, employment). This story is powerful in its consequences because it shapes public policy, organizations, perceptions of self and others, as well as influences which stories are encouraged and which are discouraged. Yet because this story is a woefully inadequate image of the complexity of the experiences/characteristics of disability and disabled people, it is routinely and strongly challenged/resisted by disabled people and their supporters (family, organizations, and academics).

Scholars in disability studies and sociology have tended to argue against the medical narrative of disability and in favor of the story line of the social narrative of disability portraying social structures, social forces, and social attitudes as the problem to be resolved. Yet a growing chorus of voices has been raising concern that a strict adherence to the social narrative of disability may neglect, or even repress, the telling of disability stories that do not neatly conform to the primacy of social oppression central to the social narrative plot (Darling, 2013; Shakespeare, 2014; Siebers, 2006). In seeking to emphasize social, economic, and cultural barriers, social narratives of disability may underemphasize or even repress stories of pain and bodily suffering. Additionally, wholesale rejection of the medical narrative of disability may lead to overlooking, harshly criticizing, or actively silencing stories about the search for medical intervention or cure. Still further, the voices of family members, caregivers, and allies may also be neglected by strict adherence to a social narrative that, justifiably, privileges voices of disabled people themselves. Such critiques call for new and more complex narratives that include voices and experiences missing from the social narrative of disability.

This volume seeks to answer this call by exploring how a focus on narratives might lead to richer and more diverse understandings of disability. While the interdisciplinary field of disability studies has embraced narrative approaches such as literary criticism, biography, and memoir generally associated with the humanities, social scientists interested in disability as a topic of scholarly concern have been slower to embrace narrative as a theoretical and methodological tool. In this volume, we explore the work that disability narratives do in the social world, as well as the potential of narratives to encourage and support social change. Chapters in this volume explore relationships between narrative and a diverse array of questions about the social organization and personal experiences of disability. Chapters are about how narratives create and ideologically support disability-related meanings and social structure, as well as how they encourage particular cognitive and emotional responses to disability and disabled people.

In multiple ways, these manuscripts demonstrate the ability of narrative theoretical and methodological frameworks to speak across what often are boundaries limiting social science deliberations. These authors approach their studies from multiple disciplinary perspectives including anthropology, disability studies, education, gerontology, government and public affairs, mental health counseling,

sociology, and women's and gender studies; they rely on a variety of data including interviews, blogs, websites, children's books, and social policy documents. Their particular interests range from questions about individuals' understanding of their own disabilities and the disabilities of others, to questions about the organizational processing of disabled people, to questions about relationships between socially circulating stories of disability and culturally central systems of meaning such as individualism, gender, race, and class. Finally, these manuscripts demonstrate how narrative frameworks can be useful in exploring questions about disability experiences that are often ignored such as those associated with cognitive or emotional impairments, chronic pain, or contested illnesses.

Despite significant differences in topic, question, and data, each of these chapters explores relationships between culture and disability as both social organization and personal experience. Conceptualizing culture as socially circulating systems of ideas (see Gertz, 1973; Swidler, 1986), authors engage various questions about cultural narratives of disability, which are stories known by relatively large segments of the population and used by social members to make sense of experience as well as to justify cognitive, emotional, and moral reactions to disability and disabled people. Manuscripts in this volume support six general conclusions about such cultural narratives of disability.

First, typical contents of cultural narratives of disability reflect – and thereby perpetuate – other socially circulating systems of meaning. Carmen Britton and Laura Mauldin, for example, demonstrate how expectations surrounding disability and the disabled person in Sri Lanka reflect ideas about both gender and ethnicity. Heidi Steinour and Sara Green show how fathers of adult sons and daughters with life-long needs for care and assistance draw on cultural narratives of fatherhood, even as they engage in caregiving tasks that are at odds with this narrative. Several chapters pertain specifically to the Western world where the primary value of *individualism* promotes the importance of self-reliance and labor productivity as well as encourages assuming individual responsibility for problem resolution. The cultural value system of individualism is particularly important for questions about disability because it is the foundation for the medical narrative which features disability as tragedy and the disabled person as deficient (Oliver & Barnes, 2012; Shakespeare, 2014). So, Nickie Coomer and Kenzie Latham-Mintus demonstrate the ways in which medical models of disability as individual deficiency underlie higher education settings; Stephanie Lau and Aliza Weiss examine the consequences of deficiency narratives on postsecondary education; Melinda Maconi argues that, despite the fact that there are many talented artists who are disabled, deficiency narratives lead to assumptions that art programs for disabled people are valuable primarily for their “therapeutic” value rather than for developing artistic talent.

Second, typical contents of the cultural narrative of disability shape understandings of what disability *is*. Most often, this story imagines disability as a physical, visible, and constant feature of experience, which discourages thinking of disability as cognitive, emotional, invisible, or fluid. Questions about what should “count” as disability can become a formal topic of debate in social policy hearings (Melissa Welch). As demonstrated by chapters in this volume, this

matters because those whose disability experiences do not conform to the typical story can find their problems ignored and their needs denied. Chapters in this volume present such evidence for those with intellectual/developmental disabilities (Stephanie Lau and Aliza Weiss), disabilities that are social-behavioral-emotional (Linda Blum), or involve the contested problems of chronic fatigue (Morgan Sanchez) or chronic pain (Loren Wilbers).

Third, the primary character in cultural stories of disability is the disabled person and this story *character* patterns expectations about *real* people who are disabled. Disability scholars have explored the characteristics of disabled characters in fiction, fairytales, and film (see Berger, 2013, and Oliver & Barnes, 2012, for reviews), yet while there has been a “proliferation of publicly circulating representations of disability” (Rapp & Ginsburg, 2001), the most commonly circulating stories remain those depicting the disabled person as either deficient because of disability or heroic in motivations and attempts to overcome disability. In this volume, Linda Blum demonstrates how this matters as she found mothers sometimes evaluate their own adult sons and daughters with social-behavioral-emotional disorders using the yardstick of cultural stories of “normal” adults as independent and economically productive. Cheryl Najarian Souza argues that the contents of children’s books about Helen Keller and Anne Sullivan reflect – and therefore perpetuate – cultural stories about disability and disabled people.

Fourth – and predictably – chapters in this volume show how the actual experiences of disability are far more multi-dimensional than imagined in cultural stories and ways in which this matters. J. Dalton Stevens argues that while social services for transitioning to adulthood for disabled people are embedded within a social policy assuming “independence” is the preferred goal, actual experiences and desires of disabled people transitioning to adulthood are far more diverse; Brian Grossman shows how the state-by-state organization of Medicaid community-based services reflects a notion that disabled people are place-bound rather than wanting to explore opportunities in other states; scenes from Richard Chapman’s life show how he is neither the tragic character featured in the cultural story of disability nor the socially prized superhero overcoming problems associated with his impairments.

Fifth, regardless of multiple failures of cultural stories of disability to adequately describe real people and real experiences, manuscripts in this volume show the power of stories to shape the content of public policy and social service organizations. Melissa Welch, as well as Loren Wilbers, directly examine how stories of disability and disabled people shape social policy; Lisa Buchter demonstrates how cultural stories of disability as understood within the French cultural importance of “indifference to difference” resulted in particular kinds of worker recruitment practices in ongoing organizations.

Finally, but absolutely critically, chapters in this volume demonstrate that while narratives can reflect and perpetuate negative constructions of disability, they also can be used as strategies of resistance. Several chapters explore instances of *active resistance*, both to widely circulating narratives of disability as tragedy and to narrowly constructed academic and activist discourses on

disability that fail to take into account the complexity of disability experiences and their intersection with other categories of social exclusion. Justine Egner analyzes how LGBTQ+ disabled people of color construct narratives of care in ways that counteract their experiences of exclusion, invisibility, and stigmatization; Morgan Sanchez studies how people with the contested illness of chronic fatigue syndrome use public blogs in ways resisting cultural stories of illness that diminish or even dismiss their suffering; Ahoo Tabatabai shows how mothers raising children with disabilities can actively question ideals of “normalcy” and resist definitions of “success” that are limited to independence and labor productivity.

Such are examples of the myriad relationships between cultural stories, social structures, and personal experiences of disability. We have organized the chapters in this volume into four basic categories representing the intersection of cultural stories of disability with individual lives, organizations, and social policy and resistance to such cultural narratives. The chapters included in each part address themes that are inter-related and reflexive; the arguments and supporting evidence in many chapters easily demonstrate two or more of the general themes described above. Each part of the book, then, addresses several interrelated themes related to the complex interplay of disability and narrative.

Part I, *Cultural Stories of Disability and Individual Lives*, focuses on relationships between cultural stories and individual experience. We begin with Cheryl Najarian Souza who explores how themes in children’s books about Helen Keller and her teacher, Anne Sullivan, reflect and therefore perpetuate the cultural images of disability and, in so doing, ignore real-life complexity and misrepresent the diverse characteristics of real people. Next, using a feminist disability studies approach, Carmen Britton and Laura Mauldin examine physical and social barriers related to accessibility for disabled women in Sri Lanka and uncover intersections among disability, gender, and ethnicity. We continue with a chapter by Nickie Coomer and Kenzie Latham-Mintus who examine the reflexive interplay of cultural, institutional, organizational, and personal narratives of disability in the lives of college students experiencing immobilizing test anxiety. This is followed by Linda Blum who offers insight into how mothers of children with social/behavioral/emotional disorders sometimes accept, and sometimes challenge, cultural stories. We conclude with stories about fathering in families of adults with life-long care needs by Heidi Steinour and Sara Green.

Part II, *Cultural Stories of Disability and Organizations*, turns to the power of disability narratives in ongoing organizations. Lisa Buchter’s analysis of employee recruitment programs for disabled people in France is a concrete example of how multiple cultural narratives – of disability, workers, and cultural value systems – can be translated into specific forms of policies and organizational practices. The second chapter in this section by Stephanie Lau and

Aliza Weiss offers a program evaluation of an inclusive postsecondary education program for students with intellectual/developmental disabilities and shows the potential of stories to identify needs for program improvement. This is followed by Melinda Maconi's examination of how an organization dedicated to producing disabled people as artists navigates the competing demands of fundraising which requires promoting the value of arts education as "therapeutic," and disability advocacy requiring promoting the intrinsic value of disabled artists.

Part III, *Cultural Stories of Disability and Social Policies*, takes up the topics of relationships between cultural stories and social policy. The first two chapters in this section examine how stories shape the process of social policy development: Melissa Welch focuses on debates about what specific impairments should be included as "disability" in town hall meetings for the ADA Amendments Act; Loren Wilbers looks at how stories told by individuals in policy hearings shaped policy surrounding the contested illness of chronic pain. The second two chapters in this section center on practical problems resulting from the shape of policy: J. Dalton Stevens looks at problems created by transition to adulthood social policy that assumes disabled people desire – and are capable of – living on their own; Brian Grossman challenges the organization of Medicaid services that make it all but impossible for program participants to move from one state to another.

Part IV, *Cultural Stories of Disability and Resistance*, examines the ways in which disabled people and their supporters actively resist stories that have so many harmful consequences. We begin with scenes from Richard Chapman's life that highlight the complexity of navigating life as a counselor who has a disability and the ways in which he resists cultural understandings of both disability and counseling. Next, the writing group, Lisa Boskovich, Mercedes Cannon, David Hernández-Saca, Laurie Kahn, and Emily Nusbaum, offers a multi-layered, multi-authored story of the importance of critical friendships in an ablest world. This is followed by Ahoo Tabatabai who examines how mothers of disabled children sometimes use – and sometimes challenge – cultural stories of success when evaluating the characteristics of their own children. Finally, two authors explore how disabled people create online communities that resist toxic stories of disability: Morgan Sanchez directs attention to stories of resistance created by people with the contested illness of chronic fatigue syndrome; Justine Egner's focus is on communities of LGBTQ + disabled people of color.

Together, these chapters offer significant evidence about the importance of narrative in understanding the intersections of bodily difference with cultural environments, social structures, and personal experiences. These chapters variously illustrate that attention to how stories work and the work stories do can uncover and bring to the table voices missing in traditional scholarship, illuminate complex socio-cultural processes, and suggest the potential use of stories about the complexity of disability experiences as tools for resistance and social change.

NOTE

1. Following the social model of disability, we differentiate between impairment and disability. We associate “impairment” with bodily differences currently conceived to be outside of the range of “normal” variation, recognizing that “normal” is also a social construct that varies with social, cultural, and historical context. We associate “disability” with social, cultural, and physical environments that impose layers of disadvantage on people whose bodies do not conform to current concepts of normality. We use “impairment” when we are speaking strictly of bodily differences and “disability” when we are speaking of more complex interactions between bodies and environments. Further, we find value in both the UK convention of speaking of “disabled people” in order to emphasize the ways in which environments act upon people with impairments to disable them and the US convention of using “people with disabilities” in order to emphasize that disability is only one piece of an individual’s identity. We alternate between these two conventions in this introduction and have encouraged chapter authors to use the convention with which they are most comfortable, except within direct quotes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In addition to the chapter authors who served as blind reviewers for each other’s work, we wish to thank the following external reviewers: Sharon Barnartt, Michael Dellwing, Douglas Engelman, Carley Geiss, Martin Harbusch, and Kate Scorgie.

REFERENCES

- Alexander, J. C. (2017). *The drama of social life*. Malden, MA: Polity Press.
- Berger, R. J. (2013). *Introducing disability studies*. Boulder, CO: Lynn Rienner.
- Darling, R. B. (2013). *Disability and identity: Negotiating self in a changing society*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner.
- Frye, N. (1957). *Anatomy of criticism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Gertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Loseke, D. R. (2019). *Narrative productions of meanings: Exploring the work of stories in social life*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- McCarthy, E. D. (2017). *Emotional lives: Dramas of identity in an age of mass media*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oliver, M., & Barnes, C. (2012). *The new politics of disablement*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Polkinghorne, D. E. (1991). Narrative and self-concept. *Journal of Narrative and Life History*, 1, 135–153.
- Rapp, R., & Ginsburg, F. (2001). Enabling disability: Rewriting kinship, reimagining citizenship. *Public Culture*, 13, 533–556.
- Shakespeare, T. (2014). *Disability rights and wrongs revisited* (2nd ed.). Cornwall: Routledge.
- Siebers, T. (2006). Disability in theory: From social constructionism to the new realism of the body. In L. Davis (Ed.), *The disability studies reader*. (pp. 173–184). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Swidler, A. (1986). Culture in action: Symbols and strategies. *American Sociological Review*, 51, 273–286.