

Health and Illness in the Neoliberal Era in Europe

This page intentionally left blank

Health and Illness in the Neoliberal Era in Europe

EDITED BY

JONATHAN GABE

University of London, United Kingdom

MARIO CARDANO

University of Turin, Italy

ANGELA GENOVA

University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Italy



United Kingdom – North America – Japan – India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2021

Copyright © 2021 Emerald Publishing Limited

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-83909-120-9 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-83909-119-3 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-83909-121-6 (Epub)



ISOQAR certified
Management System,
awarded to Emerald
for adherence to
Environmental
standard
ISO 14001:2004.

Certificate Number 1985
ISO 14001



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

In memory of Gareth Williams, a greatly missed old friend and colleague.

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

List of Figures and Tables	<i>ix</i>
List of Contributors	<i>xi</i>
About the Editors	<i>xvii</i>
Acknowledgements	<i>xix</i>

Introduction

<i>Jonathan Gabe, Mario Cardano and Angela Genova</i>	<i>1</i>
---	----------

Inequities

Chapter One Neoliberal Epidemics: Etiology, A Bit of History, and a View From Ground Zero <i>Ted Schrecker</i>	<i>11</i>
Chapter Two Health Inequalities in Europe: Policy Matters in the Neoliberal Era <i>Angela Genova and Simone Lombardini</i>	<i>31</i>
Chapter Three Economic Crisis, Young Adults and Health in Spain <i>Marga Mari-Klose, Albert Julià and Pedro Gallo</i>	<i>47</i>

Self-responsibilisation

Chapter Four Citizenship, Neoliberalism and Healthcare <i>Dino Numerato, Karel Čada and Petra A. Honová</i>	<i>75</i>
Chapter Five Crowdsourcing in Medicine in the Neoliberal Era <i>Linda Lombi and Luca Mori</i>	<i>91</i>

Chapter Six Adjusting Life to Illness or Illness to Life? Reflections on Children's Competences in the Neoliberal Era <i>Anna Rosa Favretto and Francesca Zaltron</i>	107
Chapter Seven Neoliberalism and Illness Narratives: The Intertwined Logics of Choice and Care <i>Micol Bronzini and Benedetta Polini</i>	123
Cost Containment Processes	
Chapter Eight The Italian NHS Between Latent Paradoxes and Problematic Sustainability <i>Guido Giarelli</i>	143
Chapter Nine The Neoliberal Politics of Otherness in Italian Psychiatric Care: Notes on a Team Ethnography in Six Acute Psychiatric Wards <i>Mario Cardano and Luigi Gariglio</i>	161
Chapter Ten Some Symptoms of Neoliberalisation in the Institutional Arrangement of Maternity Services in Russia <i>Anastasia Novkunskaya</i>	177
Index	195

List of Figures and Tables

Fig. 1.1.	General Government Spending as % of GDP, Selected Countries, Actual and Anticipated.	16
Fig. 1.2.	Private Medical Insurance Advert (London Underground, 2011).	17
Fig. 1.3.	The Unequally Distributed Impacts of Post-2010 Austerity in the United Kingdom.	19
Fig. 1.4.	Bus Stop Information Stockton-on-Tees.	20
Fig. 1.5.	Daily Mirror Front Page, 16 June 2017.	22
Fig. 1.6.	Job Advert from HM Treasury Offering Private Medical Insurance.	24
Fig. 2.1.	HLY65+ Trend in the Worst Countries and the Best One, 2004–2017.	39
Fig. 2.2.	HLY65+ Average Growth vs. Gini Index Average Growth, 2004–2017.	41
Fig. 3.1.	Self-Perceived Health Status among Young People in Spain.	53
Fig. 3.2.	Probability of Suffering Limitations as a Result of a Health Problem According to the Number of Housing and Environment Problems.	57
Fig. 3.3.	Frequency of Young People at Risk of Psychological Distress (GHQ12 > = 3).	58
Fig. 3.4.	Probability of Having Psychological Distress According to the Level of Perceived Social and Emotional Support (2017).	60
Fig. 3.5.	Probability of Having Psychological Distress According to Social Inclusion/Exclusion Profiles (2017).	61
Fig. 3.6.	Cumulative Effects: Probability of Having Limitations (Poor Quality of Life) According to the Degree of Mental Health (2017).	61
Fig. 3.7.	Young People (%) Who Have a Diagnosed Depression, Anxiety or Other Mental Problems.	62
Fig. 3.8.	Young People Who Have Consumed Antidepressants or Stimulants in the Previous Two Weeks.	64
Fig. 3.9.	Probability of Having Consumed Antidepressants or Stimulants (Previous 2 Weeks) According to the Employment Situation of Young People (2017).	66
Fig. 3.10.	Probability of Having Consumed Antidepressants or Stimulants (Previous 2 Weeks) According to Social Inclusion/Exclusion Profiles (2017).	67

Fig. 8.1.	Out-of-Pocket Per-Capita Expenditure in OECD Countries, Year 2016.	150
Panel 1.1.	Essential Historical Sources on Neoliberalism.	14
Table 2.1.	European Member States According to Welfare Regime, Presence of HLY65+ Trend, Linear Regression of HLY65+ Trend, Sex Aggregated and Disaggregated Data – 2004–2017.	37
Table 2.2.	Maximum and Minimum HLY65+, Sex Disaggregated Data, 2004 and 2017 and Delta.	39
Table 3.1.	Percentage of Young People Who Report Good or Very Good Health.	54
Table 3.2.	Young People (%) Who Claim to Have a Limitation (Serious or Not) Due To Health Problems.	56
Table 3.3.	Frequency of Young People at Risk of Psychological Distress (GHQ12 \geq 3).	59
Table 3.4.	Young People Who Have Diagnosed Depression, Anxiety or Other Mental Problems.	63
Table 3.5.	Young People Who Have Used Antidepressants or Stimulants (Previous 2 Weeks).	65
Table 8.1.	Health Expenditure in Italy 2000–2016.	148

List of Contributors

Micol Bronzini has a PhD in Economic Sociology from the University of Brescia. She is an Associate Professor in the Department of Economic and Social Sciences, Polytechnic University of Marche (Italy), where she teaches Sociology of Health and Medicine and Sociology of Organisations. As a member of the scientific committee of the Interdepartmental Research Centre on Health and Social Integration she coordinates the research stream on Narrative Medicine. Her current work focusses on healthcare and housing policies, with specific reference to their impact at the micro and meso levels.

Karel Čada works at University of Economics in Prague. He holds a PhD in Sociology from Charles University. Between 2013 and 2014, he was a Visiting Scholar at the Australian National University in Canberra. He is interested in the roles of narrative and discourse in public policies and the role of the future in legitimisation of such policies. His main interests are migration and social exclusion, healthcare and climate change policies. In his dissertation, he explored the relationship between discourses, narratives and institutional change in post-socialist healthcare.

Mario Cardano is a Full Professor of Sociology of Health and Qualitative Methods for Social Research at the University of Turin. His research has tackled two interwoven topics: the relationship between health and society and the methods and epistemologies of qualitative research. In the area of sociology of health, he has studied the issue of health inequalities, being involved in a series of international research projects carried out in multidisciplinary teams, composed of both epidemiologists and sociologists. More recently, he has focussed his research on mental health, through the study of illness narratives and the ethnographic study of coercion in mental health settings.

Anna Rosa Favretto is a Full Professor of Sociology at the University of Eastern Piedmont. Her main research focusses on child and adult health, the implementation of the right to health and family life. She has paid particular attention to the participation of children in the management of disease and to the recognition of children's agency and competences in the therapeutic relationship. Her other research topics include the relationship between expert and lay knowledge in the fight against zoonoses, and for the protection of public health. She has published numerous books, essays and articles for national and international journals.

Jonathan Gabe is an Emeritus Professor of Sociology at Royal Holloway, University of London. His research interests include pharmaceuticals, chronic illness, health professions and health policy. He has edited or written 14 books (and 2 second editions) and published his research in journals such as *Health, Health, Risk & Society*, *Health Sociology Review*, *Social Science and Medicine*, *Sociological Review*, *Sociology*, *Sociology Compass* and *Sociology of Health & Illness*. He is a past Editor of *Sociology of Health & Illness* and a past Chair of the European Sociological Association RN16, Sociology of Health and Illness. He is also a past President of the International Sociological Association RC15 Sociology of Health and a Fellow of the Academy of Social Sciences.

Pedro Gallo is an Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology, University of Barcelona. He gained his PhD in Social Science and Administration and his Masters in European Social Policy both from the London School of Economics. His previous professional experience includes working for the Catalan Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Research, the Catalan Institute of Health, the Seny Foundation for Research into Mental Health, the International University of Catalonia, and the Spanish Network for Research in Epidemiology and Public Health. His research interests and major publications focus on the sociology of health, health policy analysis, the sociology of organisations and research impact assessment. Currently, he is working on several projects and articles focussed on inequalities in health, the health of young people and the migrant population, European Health Technology Assessment policy and knowledge translation models.

Luigi Gariglio gained his PhD in Sociology from the University of Milan. He is a Lecturer in Sociology and qualitative methods in the Department of Cultures, Politics and Society at the University of Turin where he is the Deputy Director of the Qualitative Research Lab. His research interests include mental health, coercion and prisons. He published '*Doing Coercion*'. *An Ethnography of Italian Male Prison Officers using Force* (Routledge, 2018).

Angela Genova conducts research on welfare policies from a comparative regional, national and European perspective with particular attention to social policies and health policies in the Department of Economics, Society and Politics of the University of Urbino Carlo Bo. She has acquired skills in the evaluation of public policies and social care/health services by working with colleagues nationally and internationally and by participating in European research and training networks. She has held the position of Scientific Manager and Coordinator of several European projects (Progress, 7th FP, Daphne). She is a past Vice-chair of the European Sociological Association Sociology of Health and Illness research network.

Guido Giarelli is a Full Professor of Sociology at the University 'Magna Græcia' of Catanzaro Italy. He was the Director of the Centro di Ricerca Interdipartimentale

sui Sistemi sanitari e le Politiche di welfare and is currently Director of a Masters in 'Medical Humanities'. He was a Founder and first President (2002–2005) of the Società Italiana di Sociologia della Salute; first Secretary (2005–2008) and then member (2008–2011) of the board of the Section of Sociologia della salute e della medicina of the Associazione Italiana di Sociologia; member of the board (2004–2006) and then elected President (2006–2010) of the European Society for Health and Medical Sociology; member of the board (2010–2014) and then vice-president (2014–2018) of the Research Committee 15 (Sociology of Health) of the International Sociological Association. Currently, he is a member of the board the European Sociological Association Research Network 16 on Sociology of Health and Illness and he is the Coordinator of the Section of Sociologia della salute e della medicina of the Associazione Italiana di Sociologia. His main research interests are in the sociology of health and medicine, particularly comparative health systems, self-help and civil society in health care reforms, non-conventional medicines and integrated medicine, illness narratives, person-centred medicine and aging and the life course.

Petra A. Honová is a PhD researcher in the Sociology Programme of the Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University, Prague. Her main research interests are civil society, political culture, participation and social movements, especially from the point of view of pragmatic and cultural sociology. Her forthcoming dissertation examines symbolic boundaries between political activism and formal politics in the Czech Republic. Currently, she also participates in 'Transnational Populism and European Democracy' and 'The Effect of Populism on Young Citizens' research projects.

Albert Julià is a Social Researcher at Barcelona City Council, and an Assistant Professor in the Department of Sociology, University of Barcelona. He was awarded his PhD in Sociology from the University of Barcelona and has a Masters degree in Research in Sociology and Demography from Universitat Pompeu Fabra and a postgraduate degree in Environmental Management: Person and Society from the University of Barcelona. His previous professional experiences include working for the Research and Expertise Centre for Survey Methodology and the Institute of Childhood and the Urban World. His research interests include the sociology of education, sociology of the family, poverty and social exclusion and research methods. He is currently working on several articles and projects focussed on intergenerational relations, the attitudes and behaviour of children and adolescents and the educational gender gap.

Simone Lombardini is a PhD student in the Department of Economics, University of Genoa. He has undertaken research in the Department of Economics at Oslo University, Norway, and in the Department of Economics at Warwick University, United Kingdom. His research is multifaceted involving: input–output analysis applied to the calculation of fiscal multipliers, input–output analysis of income distribution on inflation, ICT innovation and long-term technological unemployment, distributional effects of the new ICT, business cycle related to

income distribution and ICT innovation, financial crises and the bursting of financial bubbles. Currently he is involved in several research projects in his field.

Linda Lombi, PhD, is an Assistant Professor at the Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore (Milan). She teaches Sociology and Methods, Sociology of Sport and Digital Health. She is an expert in health sociology and methodology in social research, with a specific focus on digital methods. She is a member of ‘Engageminds Hub’ (Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore), a network research centre active in the study of patient engagement and participation in healthcare. Her research focusses on: health sociology, drug policies, participatory medicine, patient engagement, digital health, chronic disease, patient reported outcomes and health promotion.

Marga Mari-Klose is an Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology, University of Barcelona. She was awarded her PhD in Sociology by the University of Barcelona, and has a Master’s in Social Policy and Research from the London School of Economics and a Master’s in Gender and Development from the Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Her previous professional experience includes working for the Institute of Childhood and Urban World and acting as Research Coordinator of the Spanish Report on Social Inclusion (2008–2009). Her research interests include poverty and social exclusion, sociology of the family and intergenerational relations.

Luca Mori teaches Sociology at the University of Verona. His research focusses on social theory, sociology of health and illness and social imaginaries. His most recent publications include *Il flebile bisbiglio degli organi. Datificazione della salute e processi di costruzione identitaria* (*Rassegna Italiana di Sociologia*, 2019); *Le vie sociali dell’immaginario* (with P. L. Marzo, *Mimesis* 2019); *I numeri dell’io. Immaginario neoliberale e quantificazione del sé* (*Im@ago* 2018). *An ambiguous health education: The quantified self and the medicalisation of the mental sphere* (with A. Maturo and V. Moretti; *Italian Journal of Sociology of Education*, 2016).

Anastasia Novkunskaia is a Sociologist and Research Fellow in the Gender Studies Program, European University at Saint-Petersburg. In 2015, she was a Visiting Research Fellow at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. In 2019–2020, she was a Visiting Research Fellow at the Aleksanteri Institute, University of Helsinki and Oxford University Russia Fellow. She graduated from the doctoral program in Social Sciences and defended her PhD dissertation at the University of Helsinki in 2020. Her PhD thesis is devoted to the arrangement of maternity care services in small towns in Russia. Her key research fields are the sociology of health and medicine and sociology of professions.

Dino Numerato is an Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague (Czech Republic). He studied Sociology at the Masaryk University in Brno where he also obtained a PhD in Sociology. He was a Research Fellow at Loughborough University (United Kingdom), Bocconi University (Italy) and University La Sapienza (Italy). His

research has also been focussed on civic engagement related to healthcare, sport and migration. He is the author of *Football Fans, Activism and Social Change* (Routledge, 2018). His work has also been published in *Sociology of Health & Illness*, *Sociology*, *Current Sociology*, *Qualitative Research* and *Journal of Consumer Culture*.

Benedetta Polini has a PhD in the Sociology of Cultural Phenomena and Legal Processes from the University of Urbino Carlo Bo. She is a Research Fellow at the Department of Economics and Social Sciences, Polytechnic University of Marche (Italy). She is a member of the Research Centre on Health and Social Integration. Her current work focusses on family relations, care and social impact evaluation.

Ted Schrecker, a Canadian political scientist who moved to the United Kingdom in 2013, is a Professor of Global Health Policy at the Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University. His research focusses on the political economy of health on multiple scales; issues at the interface of science, ethics, public policy and law; and global health justice. He is the Co-author of *Fatal Indifference: The G8, Africa and Global Health* (University of Cape Town Press, 2004) and *How Politics Makes Us Sick: Neoliberal Epidemics* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2015) and the Editor of *the Research Companion to the Globalization of Health* (Ashgate, 2012). His research has been published in journals including *Critical Public Health*, *Global Public Health*, *Globalization and Health*, *Health & Place*, *Review of International Political Economy*, *Social Science & Medicine* and *Sociology Compass*. From 2014 to 2019, he served as the Co-editor of the *Journal of Public Health*.

Francesca Zaltron is a Research Fellow in the Department of Law and Economics, Political and Social Sciences of the University of Eastern Piedmont. Her main research interests concern childhood, health and parenting. In particular, she has carried out research on agency and the recognition of childhood competence in the management of illness experiences and therapeutic relationships. Her other topics of research include the relationship between experiential knowledge and expert knowledge in the fight against zoonoses. She has published several books and articles on these research topics.

This page intentionally left blank

About the Editors

Mario Cardano is a Full Professor of Sociology of Health and Qualitative Methods for Social Research at the University of Turin. His research has tackled two interwoven topics: the relationship between health and society and the methods and epistemologies of qualitative research. In the area of sociology of health, Mario has studied the issue of health inequalities, being involved in a series of international research projects carried out in multidisciplinary teams, composed of both epidemiologists and sociologists. More recently, he has focussed his research on mental health, through the study of illness narratives and the ethnographic study of coercion in mental health settings.

Jonathan Gabe is an Emeritus Professor of Sociology at Royal Holloway, University of London. His research interests include pharmaceuticals, chronic illness, health professions and health policy. He has edited or written 14 books (and 2 second editions) and published his research in journals such as *Health, Health, Risk & Society*, *Health Sociology Review*, *Social Science and Medicine*, *Sociological Review*, *Sociology*, *Sociology Compass* and *Sociology of Health & Illness*. He is a past editor of *Sociology of Health & Illness* and a past chair of the European Sociological Association RN16, Sociology of Health and Illness. He is also a past President of the International Sociological Association RC15 Sociology of Health and a Fellow of the Academy of Social Sciences.

Angela Genova conducts research on welfare policies from a comparative regional, national and European perspective with a particular attention to social policies and health policies in the Department of Economics, Society and Politics of the University of Urbino Carlo Bo. She has acquired skills in the evaluation of public policies and social care/health services by working with colleagues nationally and internationally and by participating in European research and training networks. She has held the position of scientific manager and coordinator of several European projects (Progress, 7th FP, Daphne). She is a past vice-chair of the European Sociological Association Sociology of Health and Illness research network.

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the support of the European Sociological Association (Research Network 16 Sociology of Health and Illness) and the Italian Association of Sociology (AIS) (Sociology of Health and Medicine Section) in organising the conference in Turin in April 2018, on which this book is based. We are particularly grateful to the AIS for supporting the cost of proofreading for the chapters of the Italian authors.

This page intentionally left blank

Introduction

Jonathan Gabe, Mario Cardano and Angela Genova

This book is based on papers originally presented at a conference to discuss the configuration of health and illness in the neoliberal era in Europe, organised by the European Sociological Association (Research Network 16 Sociology of Health and Illness) and the Italian Association of Sociology (Sociology of Health and Medicine Section) in Turin in April 2018.

Neoliberalism has had a considerable impact on healthcare policy and practice and on the everyday experience of health and illness throughout Europe and thus deserves serious attention. By focusing on case studies from different European countries, the book offers an overview of the impact of neoliberalism across Europe, highlighting different aspects of a common European policy discourse. National and local case studies from a variety of European countries bring to light the changes and challenges in the social construction of health and illness and therefore in policy, promoting the development of a critical European analytical perspective on neoliberalism.

In the social sciences, neoliberalism is a contested term and has been defined in a variety of ways. It has been viewed as a political economic philosophy (Schmidt, 2018), an ideology (Harvey, 2007) and a transnational process (neoliberalisation) (Springer, Birch, & MacLeavy, 2016) in a class-divided society (Harvey, 2007). Some have sought to combine these elements and more by characterising it as simultaneously an ideology, a set of policies and programmes, a set of distinctive institutional forms and a complex array of normative conceptions of agency and responsibility (Schrecker, 2016; Ward & England, 2007). Given its eclectic application there is a need to avoid using it deterministically and where possible to offer a nuanced account which takes into consideration the context in which it is applied and recognises that it is ‘always a partial and incomplete process’ (Bell & Green, 2016, p. 242), with an uneven geographical spread (Harvey, 2007). In other words, the concept of neoliberalism used here is meant as a ‘sensitising concept’ (Blumer, 1969, p. 148) that guides our gaze towards a complex – but not unintelligible – syndrome.

Neoliberalism has perhaps been most enthusiastically applied in Anglophone countries such as the United States and United Kingdom, where policy has been shaped by economists such as Friedrich von Hayek and Milton Friedman and

by Robert Nozick's provocative philosophy. In the 1980s the political leaders of these two countries, Ronald Reagan and Margaret Thatcher, endorsed neoliberalism as the only solution to the economic crises and stagflation of the 1970s and it has since become so embedded that it has been accepted as 'common sense' in these countries (Monaghan, Bombak, & Rich, 2018). Versions of neoliberalism – sometimes in vernacular form – have also been adopted by European countries such as Germany, Italy and France, with Germany in particular taking the lead in advocating austerity and structural reform in response to the Eurozone crisis and blaming this crisis on excessive public spending (Schmidt, 2018). How these policies reflect neoliberalism will be explained in the following.

For the sake of simplicity, we can identify three overarching tenets of neoliberalism, free markets, individualism and decentralisation (McGregor, 2001). The first of these, the free market, emphasises the primacy of the market as the most efficient form of economic and political organisation. The role of the state is to set the conditions for the market to function efficiently while limiting interference in the market as much as possible and alongside this reducing government bureaucracy, in Nozick's words, acting as a 'night-watchman State' (Nozick, 1974). As Schrecker (2016) and others have noted, however, this does not necessarily mean rolling back all the functions of the state. While neoliberal principles require a 'highly limited state, neoliberal practice requires a strong state that is able to impose neoliberal reform' (Schmidt, 2018). This emphasis on the market, together with the goal of controlling inflation whatever the social costs and balancing the budget have been endorsed by international bodies such as the World Bank and International Monetary Fund who have only agreed loans to governments with struggling economies in Europe and elsewhere if these governments have agreed to implement market-based policies (De Vogli, 2011). Other policies required by these international bodies include deregulating the financial sector (Harvey, 2000; Schrecker, 2016) and the privatisation of state-owned assets such as healthcare (McGregor, 2001). The former is said to have a lot to do with the global financial crisis in 2008 which in turn led to post-crisis austerity policies to reduce government debt and prevent inflation (Schmidt, 2018), with severe cuts to welfare policies in many European countries (Monaghan et al., 2018).

The second tenet of neoliberalism is that of individualism. It is assumed that people act independently of each other and rationally pursue narrow self-interest over any mutual interest (Schmidt, 2018). Self-interest is in turn linked to the idea of individuals rationally choosing between options on the basis of informed knowledge to maximise their utility and minimise loss (Gabe, Harley, & Calnan, 2015). When applied to healthcare the ideal patient is seen as responsible and informed and wanting to choose; failure to act responsibly becomes the patient's responsibility alone (Newman & Clark, 2009; Smart, 2010). Choice is also linked to the policy of creating a market in healthcare. Choice is believed to fuel competition between healthcare providers, thereby enhancing quality and maximising efficiency, even in healthcare systems which are predominantly publically funded such as Sweden, England and Italy (Fotaki, 2007; Peckham & Sanderson, 2012). As Gabe et al. (2015, p. 625) state, 'From this standpoint health and health services are viewed as commodities to be purchased by consumers in the market like any other good'.

The neoliberal emphasis on individual responsibility also chimes with Foucault's notion of governmentality. [Bell and Green \(2016, p. 240\)](#) refer to this as governing at a distance; the emphasis being on 'calculability; and the promotion of self-activating, disciplined, individuated subjects'. Here the focus is on specific forms of government where people wilfully regulate themselves, for example, by taking responsibility for their own health and wellbeing, rather than being overtly coerced into doing so. It has been described as a kind of 'engineering of souls' which involves individuals being governed indirectly through the creation of structures of incentives rather than directly through state intervention ([Schmidt, 2018](#)). Paradoxically, this incentivisation of apparently 'untrustworthy' public servants such as doctors, through New Public Management, has arguably undermined the altruism and trust that healthcare systems have long depended on. The response of European governments to the corona virus pandemic by asking people to maintain social distance as a form of self-regulation, incentivised by the promise of an earlier end to the 'lock down', is perhaps a current example of neoliberal governmentality, although some governments have been overtly coercive where people have ignored social distancing rules.

The third and final tenet is that of decentralisation, where power arrangements and accountability are transferred from one level of government to another ([McGregor, 2001](#)). The claim is that such decentralisation will improve efficiency and quality of healthcare by containing costs as a result of streamlining, hopefully enhancing coordination of services and better integrating provision across the public and private sectors. These decentralised services are also meant to be more accountable to citizens' needs as it is believed that local representatives will be 'closer to the people and more responsive to regional and local contexts and conditions' ([McGregor, 2001, p. 86](#)). The recent Italian health crisis due to coronavirus shows clearly the limits of a strong decentralisation policy (see Giarelli, this volume).

These three neoliberal tenets of markets, individualism and decentralisation in turn relate to the overarching themes of this book; namely health inequities, self-responsibilisation and cost containment. As we will see, neoliberal policies are closely associated with a growth in health inequalities across European societies ([Bambra, 2019; De Vogli, 2011](#)) and with the internalisation of ideas about individual responsibility, with all its burdens also in the area of mental health ([Ehrenberg, 2010](#)). They are also linked to the emphasis on cost containment as part of a drive to maximise productivity and efficiency in healthcare organisations and systems.

The book also fosters discussion of the impact of neoliberalism on the social construction of health and illness at different societal levels: at a macro level in terms of European or national health policy, healthcare organisations and systems; at a meso level considering sub-national policy and the institutional level (regional or local health policy and practice); and at a micro level regarding the impact on the individual from the point of view of patients and their family as well as the healthcare professions.

The first section on *Inequities* begins with a chapter by Ted Schrecker on 'Neoliberal epidemics'. The chapter opens by challenging the deconstructivist claim that 'there is no such thing as neoliberalism', and notes the complexity

of the social phenomena pointed to by this conceptual tool. Schrecker offers a simple and effectual definition of neoliberalism which underlines two aspects: the identification of the market as the preferable way of organising most forms of human interaction, which is viewed – despite the convincing argument of [Karl Polanyi \(2001\)](#) – as natural, and the definition of the state’s role as that of a night-watchman, that guarantees the order which the invisible hand requires to govern society. The chapter pinpoints the reaction of states to the 2008 economic crisis through austerity policies. Austerity – the calling card of neoliberalism – had dramatic consequences which generated insecurity in the job market, in housing but also in the more basic area of food consumption. The consequences of the harshest expression of the neoliberal philosophy, austerity, have been dramatic, exacerbating existing health inequalities. Schrecker observes the consequences of this large-scale social experiment through what he calls the obesity pandemic, a paradoxical expression of inequalities. As we are writing this Introduction, Europe is facing a different pandemic, that of coronavirus. The critical reflections developed in Ted Schrecker’s chapter seem appropriate for thinking about the way in which we can tackle the economic and health crisis due to the new unexpected guest, Covid 19.

In Chapter Two, Angela Genova and Simone Lombardini extend and update some of the main outcomes of health inequalities in Europe as a consequence of neoliberal policies, focusing on those aged 65 and over. They take a compositional and contextual approach to geographical health heterogeneities and consider how neoliberalism at a political (macro) level affects individual (micro) and area (meso) health deprivation since the 2008 financial crisis. Drawing on the Eurostat database to gather data on Healthy Life Years (HLY) 65+ (Healthy Life Index over 65 years of age) and the Standardised World Income Inequality Database to obtain Gini index data, they estimate the HLY65+ long-term trend and then associate this outcome with the welfare regime of each country. They report a great variability not only among countries but also among welfare regimes. Moreover, they confirm the negative link already found in the literature between the Gini index and HLY65+ and provide further evidence that inequalities, a product of neoliberal policies, worsen health and are associated with place and welfare regime.

The third and final chapter of this section by Marga Mari-Klose and colleagues focuses on the impact of the economic crisis and austerity policies on young people’s mental health in Spain. Despite increasing evidence of the effects of this crisis on the health of the population overall, we lack knowledge of how young people are being affected. High unemployment rates, labour instability, high housing costs and cuts in public services have placed the young in a vulnerable situation. The authors explore changes in the both physical and mental health of young people in Spain between 2006 and 2017 using a variety of health indicators. In doing so, they draw the reader’s attention to three elements with a close relationship to neoliberalism: the prominence of social determinants of health, the importance of inequalities and the accumulation of multiple sources of disadvantage in certain groups and individuals, which ultimately condition the course of their lives. In turn, medicalisation is used as a common and legitimised response to these young people’s poor mental health.

In Section Two, attention turns to the emphasis given by neoliberalism to *self-responsibilisation*. Chapter Four, by Dino Numerato and colleagues, considers the complexities and ambiguities of health-related citizenship in the neoliberal era, taking the Czech Republic as a case study. Their starting point is a recognition that scholarly investigation of the impact of neoliberalism on health and healthcare has primarily focused on the power of the neoliberal system while paying relatively little attention to the capacity of patients and citizens to act against neoliberal principles. Against this backdrop, they explore the ways in which civically engaged Czech patients and citizens cope with neoliberal governance. The Czech Republic provides an interesting context, as it is not narrowly dominated by market-driven neoliberal logic but blurs the distinction between marketisation and social protection. More specifically, they address the following two questions: What are the reactions of citizens and patients to the imperatives of neoliberalism? What are the implications for our understanding of health-related citizenship in the neoliberal era? Their analysis is underpinned by interviews and observations of public and patient involvement in the Czech Republic. Furthermore, the data gathered from interviews were enriched through a review of available documents, including media articles, policy briefings, political statements and websites. The authors conclude that the neoliberal era is not only connected with the emergence of individualised citizens whose health is governed at a distance, but also with the occurrence of collectively organised, healthcare conscious citizens who problematise the nature of contemporary healthcare governance. They explain how neoliberal ideology is both imprinted on the behaviour of patients and citizens, as well as how these patients and citizens resist and strategically appropriate neoliberal imperatives.

In Chapter Five, Linda Lombi and Luca Mori focus on ‘Crowdsourcing in medicine in the Neoliberal Era’. The authors suggest that there are two lines along which this phenomenon is developing: bottom-up projects initiated by non-institutional actors such as patients, their families or ordinary citizens; and top-down initiatives promoted by an institutional matrix composed of government agencies, universities, for-profit organisations or private social services. While the former is basically directed to the improvement of care and the sharing of experiences and information, the latter focuses on scientific research. They argue that each of these manifestations of crowdsourcing in medicine can be read as an indicator of the impact that the neoliberal ethos has had and keeps on having on the therapeutic relationship and the practice of scientific research. On the one hand, the bottom-up version of the crowdsourcing approach can be read as a consequence of the lack of trust in expert knowledge which is implied in the neoliberal dogma of competition. On the other, the top-down trend reveals the secret desire of neoliberal powers to surveil social dynamics through objective data.

Chapter Six by Anna Rosa Favretto and Francesca Zaltron considers how children and their parents reflect on the former’s competence to manage illness in the neoliberal era. As has been noted, one of the aspects that characterises neoliberal societies is an increasing attribution of individual responsibility. Citizens are required to commit themselves to adopting ‘appropriate’ lifestyles and self-manage their health. This individual responsibility translates into forms of knowledge and techniques of self-governance, through which individuals learn

and are expected to act in an increasingly autonomous way in order to prevent or mitigate the health risks. The fostering of self-governance and individual responsibility also affects children and their parents, and in a broader sense, all adults, with parents, called on to transmit a sort of model of ‘pedagogy of responsibility’, through which children learn to acquire self-management of their health. This scenario becomes complicated if we take into consideration the two usual and contrasting representations of childhood in Western societies: children as active or vulnerable subjects. Their work explores these contrasting representations through which adults’ and children’s points of view are related to their experience of diabetes type 1 in Italy. By using an innovative methodology, the mosaic approach, which combines visual and verbal instruments, such as focus groups, body mapping and in-depth interviews, the authors depict the light and shade of children’s agency in relation to health.

In Chapter Seven, Micol Bronzini and Benedetta Polini focus on illness narrative as a useful lens for analysing neoliberal citizenship at a micro level, from the point of view of patients, family caregivers and healthcare professionals. Indeed, they reveal how people think about and act on their health and disease; they also tell us something about the social context in which illness is experienced, thereby illuminating dominant discourses. According to Mol (2008), health and illness can be thought about and acted on in relation to two logics: the logic of choice and the logic of care. The logic of choice entails the neoliberal principle that people should be allowed to make their own autonomous choices. The logic of care implies an interpersonal process of co-responsibility over one’s health and illness. Drawing on Mol’s work, the chapter presents a thematic content analysis of 20 illness narratives of patients with multiple sclerosis and their caregivers in Italy, questioning whether these two logics conflict with each other or whether they are intertwined.

In Section Three, we switch our attention to *Cost containment processes* as part of a drive to maximise productivity and efficiency in healthcare organisations and systems. In the first chapter in this section Guido Giarelli considers the Italian National Health Service (NHS) as an interesting example of the kind of problems that neoliberal health policies face when applied to actual historical contexts: the resulting paradoxes are the inevitable consequence of the contradictory ways in which they are applied in the face of real social and institutional forces in the health arena. Through an historical reconstruction of the evolution of health policies in Italy since the foundation of the NHS in 1978, the chapter identifies three main phases in this more than 40 years process during which it is possible to delineate the roots of the subsequent problems and paradoxes affecting it: particularly, in the second phase of these reforms that was mainly inspired by the neoliberal health policy of the internal market. It then examines what can be considered the four main paradoxes of the Italian National Health System: the public de-financing of health expenditures along with a creeping privatisation over time; a corporatisation process which fails to establish a ‘quasi-market’ and the patchy managerialisation of Local Health Authorities; a schizophrenic regional decentralisation of the NHS between actual devolution and re-centralisation; and achieving a level of performance in terms of health outcomes of the population that is among the highest in the world, despite everything. Lastly, it argues that

these contradictions make the sustainability of the system rather precarious and problematic for the foreseeable future.

Chapter Nine by Mario Cardano and Luigi Gariglio aims to shed light on the ‘Neo-liberal politics of otherness in Italian psychiatric care’. Grounded in a team ethnography in six Italian psychiatric wards, the authors consider how one of the most radical forms of otherness, severe mental illness, is tackled in a European country with an advanced system of mental health services. Although Italy began dismantling mental hospitals in the 1970s under Basaglia’s Law, recent decades have seen the Italian NHS cutting expenditure, as well as re-organising mental health services, with the goal of increasing economic efficiency and productivity, in line with the logic of neoliberalism. Focusing on the outcome of this extant neoliberal credo, this chapter sheds light empirically on two neoliberal politics of otherness observed in the wards: (i) improper hospitalisation and (ii) extreme body restraint. The first form of otherness involves coercive medicalisation emerging from local responses to global demographic trends such as an aging population, international migration and the consumption of new synthetic drugs by which different types of people are medicalised coercively. The second form, the use of extreme body restraint, on the other hand, is the outcome of the extant neoliberal market rationality which has progressively infected the Italian NHS. In their conclusion, they suggest that the philosophy that informed Basaglia’s Law, which centred health policies on the suffering subject rather than on a neoliberal imperative, is being at least partially put to one side in order to achieve new economic targets. Although newer forms of managerialism have come of age and the two politics of otherness have spread all over the country, minority groups of psychiatrists are trying to resist these neoliberal trends by refocusing on patients’ needs rather than simply adapting to extant neoliberal economic goals.

In the final chapter, Anastasia Novkunskaia addresses the arrangement of facility-based and state-funded childbirth in Russia, and its change in recent decades, shaped by neoliberal reforms. The state measures introduced in this field have resulted in considerable institutional change, affecting both medical practitioners’ working routines and patients’ strategies to obtain necessary medical care. Drawing on the framework of neo-institutionalism, the author shows how this change involves the strengthening of both managerial and market regulatory logics. In the maternity field, the model of professional care has become more fragmented, while both geographical and institutional unevenness of maternity services across different regions has exacerbated this, causing new forms of social inequality in terms of accessibility of maternity services.

In sum, the authors of this edited collection have provided a series of case studies across or within different European countries, which illustrate the impact of neoliberalism on the social construction of health and illness at a macro-, meso- or micro level. They have shown that the process of neoliberalisation is often incomplete with an uneven geographical spread and that the policies inspired by this ideology are not necessarily accepted uncritically. As Europe and the rest of the world struggle to deal with coronavirus pandemic at the time of writing this Introduction, the power and influence of neoliberalism is being put to its greatest test to date. Only time will tell if its hegemony survives intact.

References

- Bambra, C. (2019). Local health inequalities in an age of austerity. In Bambra, C. (Ed.), *Health and hard times. Austerity and health inequalities* (pp. 1–34). Bristol: Policy Press.
- Bell, K., & Green, J. (2016). On the perils of invoking neoliberalism in public health critique. *Critical Public Health, 26*(3), 239–243.
- Blumer, H. (1969). *Symbolic interactionism*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- De Vogli, R. (2011). Neoliberal globalisation and health at a time of economic crisis. *Social Theory and Health, 9*, 311–325.
- Ehrenberg, A. (2010). *The weariness of the self. Diagnosing the history of depression in the contemporary age*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Fotaki, M. (2007). Patient choice in healthcare in the UK and Sweden: From quasi-market and back to market? A comparative analysis of failure in unlearning. *Public Administration, 85*(4), 1059–1075.
- Gabe, J., Harley, K., & Calnan, M. (2015). Healthcare choice: Discourses, perceptions, experiences and practices. *Current Sociology, 63*(5), 623–635.
- Harvey, D. (2000). *The condition of postmodernity*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Harvey, D. (2007). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McGregor, S. (2001). Neoliberalism and health care. *International Journal of Consumer Studies, 25*(2), 82–89.
- Mol, A. (2008). *The logic of care. Health and the problem of patient choice*. London: Routledge.
- Monaghan, L., Bombak, A., & Rich, E. (2018). Obesity, neoliberalism and epidemic psychology: critical commentary and alternative approaches to public health. *Critical Public Health, 28*(5), 498–508.
- Newman, J., & Clark, J. (2009). *Publics, politics and power*. London: Sage.
- Nozick, R. (1974). *Anarchy, state and utopia*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Peckham, S., & Sanderson, M. (2012). Patient choice: A contemporary policy story. In M. Exworthy, S. Peckham, M. Powell, & A. Hann (Eds.), *Shaping health policy* (pp. 219–232). Bristol: Policy Press.
- Polanyi, K. (2001) *The great transformation: The political and economic origins of our time*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Schmidt, V. A. (2018). Ideas and the rise of neoliberalism in Europe. In D. Cahill, M. Cooper, M. Konings, & D. Primrose (Eds.), *The sage handbook of neoliberalism* (pp. 69–84). London: Sage.
- Schrecker, T. (2016). Neoliberalism and health: the linkages and the dangers. *Sociology Compass, 10*(10), 952–971.
- Smart, B. (2010). *Consumer society: Critical issues, environmental consequences*. London: Sage.
- Springer, S., Birch, K., & MacLeavy, J. (Eds.). (2016). *The Routledge handbook of neoliberalism*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Ward, K., & England, K. (2007). Introduction: Reading neoliberalization. In K. England & K. Ward (Eds.) *Neoliberalization: States, networks, people* (pp. 1–22). Oxford: Blackwell.