

# CROSSROADS — OF — RURAL CRIME

EDITED BY  
ALISTAIR HARKNESS  
ROB WHITE



# **Crossroads of Rural Crime**

This page intentionally left blank

# **Crossroads of Rural Crime: Representations and Realities of Transgression in the Australian Countryside**

EDITED BY

**ALISTAIR HARKNESS**

*University of New England, Australia*

**AND**

**ROB WHITE**

*University of Tasmania, Australia*



United Kingdom – North America – Japan – India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited  
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2021

Copyright © 2021 by Emerald Publishing Limited.  
All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

**Reprints and permissions service**

Contact: [permissions@emeraldinsight.com](mailto:permissions@emeraldinsight.com)

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

**British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-80043-645-9 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-80043-644-2 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-80043-646-6 (Epub)



ISOQAR certified  
Management System,  
awarded to Emerald  
for adherence to  
Environmental  
standard  
ISO 14001:2004.

Certificate Number 1985  
ISO 14001



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

# Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables	vii
About the Contributors	ix
Acknowledgements	xiii
 <b>Chapter 1 Rural Crime at the Crossroads</b>	 1
<i>Alistair Harkness and Rob White</i>	
 <b>Chapter 2 Dhany ‘Towards Here’</b>	 13
<i>Megan Williams</i>	
 <b>Chapter 3 Cartographies of Place</b>	 31
<i>Chris Cunneen</i>	
 <b>Chapter 4 Night Patrols</b>	 45
<i>John Scott, Margaret Sims, Trudi Cooper and Elaine Barclay</i>	
 <b>Chapter 5 On the Road to Roon?</b>	 61
<i>Katrina Clifford and Lisa Waller</i>	
 <b>Chapter 6 The Backroads of Australian Punishment</b>	 75
<i>Russell Hogg</i>	
 <b>Chapter 7 Dead Ends</b>	 95
<i>Belinda Morrissey and Kristen Davis</i>	
 <b>Chapter 8 From Victims to Companions</b>	 109
<i>Gillian Paxton</i>	

<b>Chapter 9 The Rhetoric of Rurality</b>	121
<i>Rebecca Strating</i>	
<b>Chapter 10 Kicking against the Majority</b>	135
<i>Nick Economou</i>	
<b>Chapter 11 Dynamics of Seachangers in Rural and Regional Townships</b>	149
<i>Nick Osbaldiston, Felicity Picken and Lisa Denny</i>	
<b>Chapter 12 Post-disaster Access to Justice</b>	167
<i>Rachel Hale, Melina Stewart-North and Alistair Harkness</i>	
<b>Index</b>	181

## List of Figures and Tables

Figure 2.1.	Stepping Stones for Walan-marra ‘Strong’ Australian Rural Criminology.	23
Figure 3.1.	Placing Country in the Centre.	36
Figure 10.1.	Spatial Clustering as Proportion of Total Seats in Victoria.	140
Figure 10.2.	Age Distribution of Selected Victorian Electorates.	141
Figure 10.3.	Socio-economic Demographics of Selected Victorian Seats.	142
Figure 10.4.	Percentage of Public Transport Usage in Selected Victorian Electorates.	142
Figure 10.5.	Victorian Legislative Council Voter Spectrum.	144
Figure 10.6.	Primary Vote, Victorian Legislative Council Eastern Region, 2006–2018.	144
Figure 10.7.	Primary Vote, Victorian Legislative Council Northern Region, 2006–2018.	145
Figure 10.8.	Primary Vote, Victorian Legislative Council Western Region, 2006–2018.	145
Figure 11.1.	Percentage of Population Who Were In-migrants from Capital Cities into Gippsland 2011–2016.	154
Figure 11.2.	Percentage of Local Population Who Left Melbourne 2011–2016 in Selected Victorian Local Government Areas.	155
Figure 11.3.	Percentage of Total Population of Melbourne Migrants 2011–2016 in Selected Victorian Suburbs.	156
Figure 11.4.	Percentage of In-migrants per Age Category of Melbourne Residents 2011–2016 into Gippsland.	157
Figure 11.5.	Percentage of In-migrants per Age Category of Melbourne Residents 2011–2016 into Specific Gippsland Local Government Areas.	158



Figure 11.6.	Percentage of In-migrants per Age Category of Melbourne Residents 2011–2016 into Selected Western Victorian Local Government Areas.	158
Figure 11.7.	Percentage of Personal Income Brackets (Weekly) of In-migrants from Melbourne into Gippsland Local Government Areas.	159
Figure 11.8.	Percentage of Personal Income Brackets (Weekly) of In-migrants from Melbourne into Selected Victorian Local Government Areas.	160
Figure 11.9.	Percentage of Migrant’s Highest Level of Education Status from Melbourne 2011–2016 into Selected Victorian Local Government Areas.	161
Table 11.1.	SEIFA Ranks of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage for Local Government Areas in Gippsland.	153
Table 11.2.	Median Household and Personal Income of Local Government Areas in Gippsland.	154

## About the Contributors

**Elaine Barclay** was an Associate Professor in Criminology at the University of New England, Australia, until retirement in 2019. Her career included 25 years of research and teaching in rural criminology with a specific focus on farm crime.

**Katrina Clifford** is a Senior Lecturer in Communication at Deakin University, Australia, and a specialist in media criminology and media framing analysis. Prior to joining academia, she worked as a journalist, magazine editor and strategic communications consultant.

**Trudi Cooper** is an Australian Learning and Teaching Fellow and Associate Professor of Youth Work at Edith Cowan University, Australia, where she leads the Social Programme Innovation Research and Evaluation group. Her research includes higher education policy, youth work education, theory, policy and programme evaluation.

**Chris Cunneen** is a Professor of Criminology at the Jumbunna Institute for Indigenous Education and Research, University of Technology Sydney, Australia. He is a Fellow of the Academy of Social Sciences in Australia and has published widely on Indigenous legal issues, abolitionism, youth justice, penalty and restorative justice.

**Kristen Davis** is a Lecturer in Criminology at Monash University, Australia. She has publications in a number of research areas including the Bondi ‘gay gang murders’, disappearance cases in Australia, and also in the areas of homelessness, housing and family violence.

**Lisa Denny** is a Workforce Demographer and Adjunct Associate Professor with the Institute for Social Change, University of Tasmania, Australia. Lisa’s areas of interest and expertise centre on the interrelated nature of the population, education and training, industry and work with a view to achieving economic renewal in the context of population change and other socio-economic scenarios.

**Nick Economou** is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Social Sciences at Monash University, Australia. His research interests include Australian national and state governance, federal, state and local elections and electoral systems, and the role and behaviour of Australia’s political parties. He has published extensively on federal and state politics.

**Rachel Hale** is a Lecturer in Criminology and Criminal Justice at Federation University Australia. She previously worked in the oversight of corrections and disability services in Victoria, Australia. Her research interests focus on girls and women's experiences of the Criminal Justice System and desistance from crime, where she advocates for decarceration and prevention using a critical, feminist approach.

**Alistair Harkness** is a Senior Lecturer in Criminology at the University of New England, Australia. He is co-director of the Centre for Rural Criminology and the secretary of the International Society for the Study of Rural Crime. His primary research interests are in rural acquisitive crime, with a particular emphasis on crime prevention, policing responses and community partnerships.

**Russell Hogg** is an Adjunct Professor at the Queensland University of Technology, Australia. He is a co-author of *Rethinking Law and Order* (1998) and *Policing the Rural Crisis* (2006), and the author of numerous articles and book chapters. His principal research interests relate to punishment, law and order politics, criminological theory and southern criminology.

**Belinda Morrissey** is a Lecturer in Literature at Federation University Australia. She is the author of *When Women Kill* (Routledge, 2003) and has chapters published in *Millennial Cinema* (Columbia University Press, 2012) and *Geography and Memory* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2012). Her current research considers the impact of trauma on memory, place and space.

**Nick Osbaldiston** is a Senior Lecturer in Sociology at James Cook University. His main research interests are in lifestyle migration. He is the author of the monograph *Seeking Authenticity in Place, Culture and Self* (Palgrave, 2012). Nick is currently researching internal migration to regional areas such as Tasmania.

**Gillian Paxton** is an Environmental Anthropologist based in Queensland, Australia, with a particular interest in the more-than-human politics of wildlife management. She works as a research consultant on a variety of projects ranging from urban reptile relocation, climate adaptation in the grazing industry and managing water quality in the Great Barrier Reef catchments.

**Felicity Picken** is a Lecturer in Heritage and Tourism at the University of Western Sydney, Australia. She researches the changing relations between humans and nature including the emergence of the 'blue planet' as a significant social actor and how rural and coastal environments influence amenity and lifestyle. She enjoys creating strife for human-centric ontologies in pursuing a more symmetrical understanding of life.

**John Scott** is a Professor and Head of the School of Justice at Queensland University of Technology, Australia. Trained as a sociologist, John has published widely on a range of themes, including crime in remote places and is passionate about the promotion of social research from the Global South.

**Margaret Sims** is an Honorary Professor of Early Childhood at Macquarie University, Australia. Her research in recent years has focussed around the way

neoliberalism and managerialism are impacting on education and on the path towards professionalisation in early childhood.

**Melina Stewart-North** is a Doctoral Candidate at Federation University Australia. Her research focusses on digital vigilantism in Australia, considering the nature, causes and impacts of ‘netilantism’. She has a background in psychology and criminal justice. Her research interests include issues situated around the rural frontier and barriers to accessing justice.

**Rebecca Strating** is the Executive Director of La Trobe Asia and a Senior Lecturer in Politics and International Relations at La Trobe University, Australia. She teaches and researches in Australian politics and foreign policy.

**Lisa Waller** is a Professor of Digital Communication in the School of Media and Communication, RMIT University, Australia. Her research investigates how the news media shapes society, from Indigenous Affairs to its roles in rural and regional communities and the justice system.

**Rob White** is a Distinguished Professor of Criminology in the School of Social Sciences at the University of Tasmania, Australia. He has published widely in the areas of youth studies, criminology and eco-justice, including on topics such as rural folk crime and rural water theft.

**Megan Williams** is a Wiradjuri yinaa woman and Justice Health Researcher. She focusses on cultural responsiveness of workforces, based at the University of Sydney’s National Centre for Cultural Competence and Sydney Institute of Criminology. Megan is a miimi sister of Mibbinbah community organisation and contributing editor of *Croakey.org*.

This page intentionally left blank

# Acknowledgements

An inter-disciplinary social sciences workshop – *Understanding Crime and Rural Communities: Theory, Policy and Practice* – was hosted by Federation University on 7 and 8 February 2019. Held at Churchill in the Gippsland region of Victoria, the event included 21 scholars from 12 different universities across four Australian states and one international rural criminologist.

We gratefully acknowledge the generous sponsorship of the *Academy of the Social Sciences in Australia* for fostering this ‘meeting of the minds’ through their workshop grants scheme. The workshop also generated the present volume.

Accepting the Academy’s challenge to intellectually exchange ideas, explore connections between research and policy, support early career researchers and establish and develop ongoing collaborations for research, the workshop convenors – Alistair Harkness, Naomi Smith, Bec Strating and Rob White – crafted a workshop programme to unpack an array of issues around rural crime, communities and criminology in a non-urban Australian context.

One participant, Lisa Waller, observed in the closing session of the workshop the emergence of the notion of ‘roads’ and ‘crossroads’ which was, as it inadvertently happened, woven throughout each panel session. This was an astute and welcome observation, as ‘crossroads’ serve as a metaphor for networks and intersections, overlaps and trajectories: this is outlined in Chapter 1 and drawn upon throughout the book.

Seven of the papers presented at the Workshop were selected for inclusion in a special edition of the *International Journal of Rural Criminology* (Volume 5, Issue 1) published in November 2019. The remaining Workshop papers have been carefully themed and curated for this edited collection.

We would very much like to thank all the participants in the workshop: David Baker; Marg Camilleri; Chris Cunneen; Nick Economou; Erik Eklund; Andrew Groves; Bridget Harris; Russell Hogg; Rob Hulls; Belinda Morrissey; Kyle Mulrooney; Nick Osbaldiston; Gillian Paxton; John Scott; Naomi Smith; Lisa Waller and Megan Williams. Particular thanks to Joseph F. Donnermeyer from the Ohio State University for his visit to Australia to join the workshop and for sharing his considerable rural criminology expertise. Special thanks, too, goes to Melina Stewart-North for her organisational skills and sheer hard work.

**xiv**    *Acknowledgements*

Finally, many thanks to Jules Willan for commissioning this volume and to Hazel Goodes for ensuring its smooth production. We are grateful for their ongoing support and guidance throughout.

*Alistair Harkness and Rob White*

## Chapter 1

# Rural Crime at the Crossroads

*Alistair Harkness and Rob White*

### Abstract

‘Crossroads’ serves as a metaphor for networks and intersections, overlaps and trajectories, and is used throughout this book to denote how criminal transgressions and the representations of crime circulate in and out of rural spaces in the Australian countryside. This chapter provides an introduction and overview of key concepts and approaches to rural criminology informed by a ‘crossroads’ metaphor. It discusses the complexities of rurality and how these, in turn, point to significant turning points and strategic directions – not only for research and scholarship but also for understanding, communicating and responding to rural crime and deviance as it presently manifests in countries such as Australia. Along this journey, a number of issues are identified, and practical concerns signalled.

*Keywords:* Rural crime; rural criminology; mobility; social change; transgression; representation

### Introduction

‘Crossroads’ serves as a metaphor for networks and intersections, overlaps and trajectories, and is used throughout this book to denote how criminal transgressions and the representations of crime circulate in and out of rural spaces in the Australian countryside. This chapter provides an introduction and overview of key concepts and approaches to rural criminology informed by this metaphor. It discusses the complexities of rurality and how these, in turn, point to significant turning points and strategic directions – not only for research and scholarship but also for understanding, communicating and responding to rural crime and deviance as it presently manifests in countries such as Australia. Along this journey, a number of issues are identified, and practical concerns signalled.



## Setting the Scene

The notion of ‘crossroads’ provides a unique lens through which to examine and interpret the images and realities of rural crime. It implies a dynamic understanding and appreciation of the nature and complexities of rural life and how transgression manifests itself in the context of a presumed countryside–city divide. This book challenges common myths and assumptions regarding rural crime by exploring its diverse and multiple dimensions. It does this from a central conceptual focal point – the many roads that lead into and out of rural spaces, literal, virtual and figurative.

Rural-oriented scholarship worldwide is growing as a sub-discipline of criminology and criminal justice studies (see for instance, Donnermeyer’s edited *Routledge Handbook of Rural Criminology*, 2016). In large part, this boom has been motivated by governmental, community and academic recognition that, despite stereotypes and images of the ‘rural idyll’ (Bell, 2006), crime is an evident and significant problem in the rural landscape. Myths about peaceful, crime-free areas beyond the cityscape persist, but there is increasing recognition that rural crime is, in fact, multi-faceted and has consequences well beyond the countryside (Harris & Harkness, 2016).

There is also a ‘deviant’ side to the rural, which likewise is evident in the phenomenon of ‘dark tourism’ (for example, sites of massacre and penal institutions) through to cinematic portrayals of abnormal people engaging in strange rituals, rites and abysmal activities in isolated places and/or with ‘backward’ locals (for example, the film ‘Deliverance’ has a lot to answer for, as does the Australian horror movie ‘Wolf Creek’). Again, such portrayals distort and pervert the realities of life in the outback and the bush, the coastal retreat and the highland getaway.

And, so, there does exist, then, a clash between depictions and mythologies of the rural. On one hand, the ‘rural’ is perceived as home to paradisaic peacefulness; a place for metropolitan people to escape from the city to. For others, there is the notion of the rural and its inhabitants as simple, hard and intransigent, a place to avoid. In an American context, Haydon (2020) explores these contrasting portrayals of the rural heartland – this manufactured dichotomy of peaceful rustic and rural primitive (Haydon, 2020).

Existing monographs and collections on rural crime in Australia and elsewhere (Barclay, Donnermeyer, Scott, & Hogg, 2007; Donnermeyer, 2016; Harkness, Harris, & Baker, 2016) are primarily written by and for criminologists. *Crossroads of Rural Crime* brings together a themed collection of chapters with a distinct cross-disciplinary approach: in addition to criminology and criminal justice, the book encompasses contributions from politics and political sciences, sociology, Indigenous studies, literature and writing, journalism and anthropology. This multi-disciplinary collection is the first substantive edited collection to focus on notions of the mobility of crime within, to and from rural spaces. It stands at the crossroads of disciplines in order to better explore the nature of transgression and representation.

One intention of the book is to demonstrate how the notions of both static place and increased mobility can assist with our understandings of rurality, rural

society and crime using the metaphor of ‘roads’ as a unifying central theme. These roads crisscross and complicate simple understandings of crime in a rural context. While based primarily upon Australian scholarship, the ideas and approaches have universal application, and, as such, we hope that it will resonate with readers across the globe.

## **The Rural**

The concept ‘rural’ is multilayered, contested and ambiguous (Baker, 2016; Hodgkinson & Harkness, 2020). It is used to describe the ‘non-urban’, and in this sense, refers to smaller communities on the periphery of larger urban conglomerations and small communities in remote areas of the planet. The key criteria here is usually population size, density and distance from larger metropolitan centres. Yet, this is likewise variable in nature. For instance, Australia’s rural areas are characterised by a low density of population that makes them very different from the rural communities of Europe, North America or almost any industrialised country. This feature also differentiates Australia from other, less industrialised but highly populated countries (White, Wyn, & Robards, 2017).

Today, the population of Australia is overwhelmingly concentrated into its coastal capital cities – Adelaide, Brisbane, Darwin, Hobart, Melbourne, Perth and Sydney (and, particularly, the eastern seaboard). Given this, it has been suggested that regional areas also need to be included in a definition of rural (White et al., 2017). That is, a more useful definition of ‘rural’, at least in the Australian context, is to consider rural and regional areas, which are those areas in which people are living outside of the major cities.

The term rural is also used to describe an ‘imagined’ space, a place where certain cultures, values, communal relationships and pastimes dominate that are somehow different to what occurs in the ‘urban’. Yet, with this definition, there are also variations and contestations. For example, what for the non-Indigenous may be construed as inhospitable due to remoteness and harshness of environment (e.g., deserts, tundra) may be experienced as ‘country’ by Indigenous peoples who may have thrived in these particular landscapes for thousands of years. Moreover, it is not ‘remote’, but at the very ‘centre’ of life, in this conception and experience of place. What is wilderness to some is home to others.

Reflecting on an observation from Chris Cunneen at the Academy of the Social Sciences in Australia’s rural crime workshop held in February 2019, Joe Donnermeyer (2019) noted that:

...from the perspective of people living in localities far from the shadows of skyscrapers, the word ‘remote’ is the city itself; yet, we assume without thinking about it, that the opposite is true and that the city is the point of reference for all things criminological.

Perspective, therefore, lies as much as anything in the location of the beholder.

Across both these definitional parameters, it is the contrast between ‘rural’ and ‘urban’ that counts, and these, too, may be real or imagined. One thing that we do know for certain is that

[t]he reality is that there is no such thing as a single rural sector within a country anywhere in the world, but rather a wide and varied collection of localities with smaller populations and population densities. (Donnermeyer, 2020, p. 19)

These localities are differentiated in a number of ways. Life in provincial towns is very different from life in remote or isolated areas, and each region of Australia has its own unique characteristics. For example, it has been noted (White et al., 2017, pp. 137–138) that rural Australia includes:

...mining towns in the centre of Australia, landlocked and dominated by a single industry; coastal towns based on fishing that service a local farming region; regional centres that were based on a once-viable wool industry and are now struggling to find a sustainable economic base; and Indigenous communities that are engaged in a process of self-determination. Immigration to some rural areas has increased the proportions of the population who were born overseas, thereby increasing the ethnic heterogeneity of rural populations.

Rural and regional communities are not static but, rather, are continually changing as the economy shifts, communications improve (via satellite connections) or erode (such as when train links are severed), and as populations shrink, grow and transform (due to phenomena such as ‘tree change’ and ‘sea change’ involving the drift of people away from the metropolitan centres). The creation of a boomtown brought about by rapid growth and industrialisation of a rural community (such as with the oil and gas industries) can lead to a spike in offending and victimisation rates brought about by a lack of community cohesiveness and identification with the locality. Equally negative social effects can be experienced when the population rapidly decreases and a bust-town is created (see, for example, Ruddell, 2017; Ruddell & Donnelly, 2020).

Rural communities are also dynamic from the point of view of movements of people on a day-to-day basis. Farmers experiencing drought may work as nurses or labourers in nearby towns and cities; miners living in major cities hop on planes and buses and undertake their jobs on a fly-in, fly-out (FIFO) basis. They work remotely but live permanently in cities. And where they work may be outside of the local rural community of which they will never really be a part (Carrington, Hogg, & McIntosh, 2011).

For others, transience is experienced as episodic. There is annual migration from rural areas to regional towns and metropolitan areas by young people for study and work. The same happens in reverse for seasonal harvesting and sheep shearing. There is considerable mobility and interpenetration of the rural and

urban across many lived dimensions. Both communities and people are constantly changing and on the move in one respect or another.

## **Rural Life and Social Change**

The single most significant theme to emerge from discussion of the social, economic and cultural characteristics of Australia's rural, regional and remote populations is that of diversity. It is a diversity marked by significant differences in occupations and industries, land uses, river and water accessibility, distributions of older and younger populations, Indigenous and multicultural demographics, access to essential services, experiences of drought and flood, and the dynamics of victimisation, vulnerability and resilience.

Around Australia, there are clear pockets of heightened social advantage as well as evidence of quite extreme disadvantage. Sometimes, this is due to the normal ebbs and flows of industry (such as the mining and resource sector) and sometimes contingent upon climatic conditions and weather patterns (such as agriculture and the pastoral industries). Whether a region is 'up' or 'down' is frequently reliant upon factors internal to the economic lifeblood of the community and/or ecologically extrinsic to human purposes as such. The transfer of wealth to the countryside is evident in some high-income coastal areas, as the economically well off move to greener pastures and quieter suburbs. For other regions, the state of play depends upon the intersection of highly fluctuating economic and social factors and geophysical forces such as drought and flood.

Sometimes, disadvantage is more structural in nature and degree, particularly with regards to Indigenous communities due to the legacies and ongoing harms of colonialism. It is entrenched and manifest over many generations. Not all are affected the same way, but all are affected in some way. Government decision-making and policy setting can be highly impactful, as Cunneen (2016, p. 63) observes:

The very fabric of rural and urban life in Australia has been spatially patterned through the processes of colonising strategies, policies and practices... Place and community for Indigenous people have also been affected by colonial policies of removing and concentrating different tribal and language groups.

Social structure and social change are manifest in other ways as well. Out-migration of young people and children has seen considerable demographic changes in some rural and remote communities. Going to school and finding paid employment has necessitated, for some, the movement to the city. For others, such as the elderly, out-migration may be necessitated by the requirements of adequate health care, hospitals and leisure outlets. Or simply, exposure to weather that is kinder to old bones. A critical mass of people is needed to sustain local amenities and service infrastructure. When people leave, services leave. When

services leave, people leave. This is the trap from which some rural communities are presently finding it hard to extricate.

Yet, some communities are rebuilding themselves, including their notions of community and inclusion, through the intentional addition of migrants – not just from the cities but from countries offshore near and far, familiar and foreign. Asylum seekers and refugees who suffer disadvantage elsewhere may well find security and belonging in their new abodes and, for them, what might be novel physical settings. The rural in this instance constitutes a location for opportunity.

Nonetheless, according to various social indicators, people living in rural areas generally tend to be less well off than their urban counterparts (White et al., 2017). For example, they tend to have lower levels of household income and greater difficulties in obtaining paid work. In most country regions, unemployment levels are higher than in the capital cities, although there are regional differences in unemployment rates.

In many respects, young people growing up in rural communities face these issues more sharply than their urban counterparts because structural changes to the rural economy have dramatically affected the very fabric of their communities (White et al., 2017). Young Indigenous people continue to struggle to come to terms with the effects of the destruction of their traditional ways of life, and the struggle for political and cultural recognition continues apace. Non-Indigenous youth in many rural areas are growing up in a time of dramatic changes to traditional rural lifestyles, as evidenced by the drift of the young to the cities in pursuit of education and employment.

The nature of crime and deviancy in the countryside has been changing as well. Traditionally problematic activities such as domestic violence and suicide still feature highly in rural communities, particularly those under economic stress and those subjected to interventionist colonialist rule. But new crimes, harms and challenges are also emerging. The production of ‘meth’ has moved to small town Australia, where production costs are lower, detection rates are negligible compared to larger cities (which have great numbers of police and access to new technologies) and a ready market of disadvantaged clients are at hand. Environmental crimes, such as water theft and wildlife trafficking, are increasingly coming to the notice of relevant authorities. In many cases, these are ‘old’ crimes re-emerging to meet demand in new ways and for different constituencies.

Yet, continuities remain as well. Perceptions of right and wrong are influenced by local history and community tradition, and also by city-based news and Internet facility. The rural setting, like the urban setting, is also a site where blatant harms can be disguised or ignored due to immediate self-interest and denial. In regions where jobs are tight and money hard to come by, it is easier to ignore the ravages posed by fracking to land and water, to forsake the hurt and suffering of a few from lead poisoning in favour of the smelter or mining operation, and to dispute the potential harms of climate change when coal provides income in the here and now. Techniques of neutralisation have their own specific genesis, character and resonance in the rural and remote setting. This is especially

so under conditions where state support is constrained by tyranny of distance or tightness of the fiscal wallet. Availability of services, jobs and welfare support frame the possible as well as the impossible.

Who we are is typically shaped by with those with whom we share our company. A peculiar sort of differential association is that which is constructed around 'folk crime'. This refers to activities that may be illegal or even criminal, but which are nonetheless considered legitimate in the eyes of local folk. Hunting and fishing, for example, may be guided by local informal cultural norms not formal laws. This can incorporate unspoken 'rules' that also have communal ramifications – such as 'take only what you need' and 'don't hunt in mating season' (see for example, [Jacoby, 2001](#)).

Less reliance on formal criminal justice institutions is a noteworthy factor in rural setting, not only because of sparser resources available and the tyranny of distance (such as to a police station or court) but also because of the close-knit nature of many communities and a tendency towards rural stoicism and self-sufficiency (see, for example, [Harkness & Larkins, 2019](#)).

Rurality is, thus, not only about physical place but also about culturally and socially constructed spaces. Behaviour deemed appropriate and inappropriate is not only that which is subject to the law of the land. The changing composition of communities due to wider social changes can introduce uncertainty and ambiguity into formerly stable relationships and understandings. Not everyone may be quite on 'the same page' when those doing the writing are changing in number and influence.

Meanwhile, on another front, city-based vegan activists are travelling to farms outside of cities in order to protest against the harvesting of animals for food. This is facilitated by new endeavours in cyberspace, such as the mapping of farming operations across many different sectors and the posting of these on the Internet ([Barnes & White, 2020](#)). Physical distances are collapsing under the gaze of new surveillance and communication technologies (that point you to where you want to go), and the building of road infrastructures that ensure quick passage from city to country to city again (thus allowing you go to where you wish to go with relative ease).

City dwellers are travelling to the country for other reasons as well. The clean air, lack of traffic congestion, perceived friendliness and just the chance to 'get away' are attractive and definitely pull-factors. In conditions of Covid-19, the rural is increasingly seen as providing a number of healthy advantages, regardless of the actual realities. But the truck driver and the caravan puller may both transmit the very thing that they strive most to avoid. Relief and release for some may add burden, unintended or otherwise, to the other. Tourism can be a double-sided sword.

There is a lot going on in rural and regional areas, just as there is in our larger cities and towns. And much of this is impacting upon what happens in local communities, neighbourhoods and suburbs regardless of location. Yet, specificity is essential as we are to capture and acknowledge the distinctiveness of the 'rural', including as this applies to the study of crime, harm, deviancy and criminal justice.

## At the Crossroads

Much of the canon of rural criminological scholarship (and indeed criminology more generally) considers crime in specific, static places – such as farms, villages, forests and so on. This book focuses on the *mobility* of crime in, and to, and from rural spaces.

Scholarship on mobility has hitherto focused predominantly on the crossing of international borders, with a paucity of attention given to internal mobility within countries and within regions. This is notwithstanding the increasing occurrence of internal mobility brought about by faster transport connections (improved road infrastructure and public transport options) and changing lifestyle practices (such as ‘seachangers’ and ‘treechangers’ who opt for a rural lifestyle while deriving income from urban-based employment).

The central theme of crossroads accentuates the notion of internal criminal mobility and the rapid circulation of images and ideas surrounding transgression. Roads can be considered literally – but, importantly, also and figuratively. For example, they serve as networks of mobility, with crime occurring in and out of spaces rather than solely occurring in one place.

Crossroads present as a political issue: literally as to their necessity, funding and location; and figuratively as a metaphor for political decision-making. Roads, in general, serve as facilitators of crime (allowing ease of access to and egress from rural communities); as confining (roads or the lack of them prevent escape for victims of violence); and as sites of offending (road rage). Where to site roads, how to join them and how to ensure they are safe are all ongoing public issues of concern.

The notion of crossroads denotes both static and dynamic conceptions of place and movement. For instance, a crossroad is an ‘intersection’, a place that points in four directions. In this sense, it is where disparate elements or spaces join up and come together in one specific location. A crossroad is also a point of and for ‘choice’. It provides potential options for movement – backward and forward, or sideways to the left and right. In this sense, it is an indicator of possible futures.

Crossroads can also exist in the real world or in the imaginary, in physical space or cyberspace. They exist as cultural representations and as materially bounded geographical markers. They are made up of both intersections and interconnections.

From a scholastic viewpoint, crossroads also provide a meeting point for disciplines, fields and topics. An illustration of this is the interdisciplinary of the present book. In these pages are incorporated contributions from criminology, criminal justice, sociology, politics and political science, Indigenous studies, literature and writing, and journalism. This interdisciplinary approach allows for various perspectives to coalesce around the central theme of rural crime.

The methods applied in each chapter – whether qualitative or quantitative, using thematic analysis, a case study-based approach, and so on – are all well-established approaches across the social sciences disciplines collectively. They are applied by scholars from a range of backgrounds, interests and fields, offering cross-disciplinary perspectives and insights on crime and place and providing