

UNDERSTANDING THE INVESTOR

This page intentionally left blank

UNDERSTANDING THE INVESTOR: A MALTESE STUDY OF RISK AND BEHAVIOR IN FINANCIAL INVESTMENT DECISIONS

BY ANTONIETTA BONELLO

**EDITED BY
SIMON GRIMA &
JONATHAN SPITERI**



United Kingdom – North America – Japan
India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2019

Copyright © 2019 Emerald Publishing Limited

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-78973-706-6 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-78973-705-9 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-78973-707-3 (Epub)



Certificate Number 1985
ISO 14001

ISOQAR certified
Management System,
awarded to Emerald
for adherence to
Environmental
standard
ISO 14001:2004.



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Dedicated to the individual investor.

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

List of figures	xi
List of tables	xiii
List of abbreviations	xix
Preface	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxiii
1 An Introduction	1
<i>Background to the book</i>	1
<i>The reasons behind researching the Maltese investor trading on the Malta Stock Exchange</i>	3
<i>Statement of the problem</i>	4
<i>Aim and objectives of the book</i>	5
<i>The research questions</i>	5
<i>Propositions</i>	5
<i>Conceptual framework</i>	6
<i>Significance of the study</i>	7
<i>Contents</i>	8
2. Literature Review	9
<i>Introduction</i>	9
<i>The market</i>	10
<i>Investment</i>	11
<i>Financial investment in Malta</i>	12
<i>The investor</i>	15
<i>Risk, risk tolerance, and risk appetite</i>	17
<i>Expectations and performance</i>	20
<i>Trading and investor behavior</i>	21
<i>Disposition and risk aversion</i>	23
<i>Behavioral bias</i>	24
<i>Overconfidence</i>	25
<i>Information</i>	28

<i>Financial literacy</i>	29
<i>The financial advisor</i>	29
<i>Other factors</i>	31
3. Methodology	43
<i>Research design</i>	43
<i>Participant selection</i>	44
<i>Data collection methods</i>	47
<i>Instrumentation</i>	48
<i>Logistics of data collection</i>	52
<i>Ethics</i>	54
<i>Data analysis</i>	54
<i>Summarizing the tests</i>	58
<i>Limitations of the methodology</i>	60
4. Analysis	67
<i>Demographics</i>	67
<i>Proposition 1: Initially Maltese investors have high expectations in terms of financial returns while returns are lower than what was expected</i>	79
<i>Proposition 2A: Investors sell winners and retain losers</i>	86
<i>Proposition 2B: Investors are risk averse in cases of gain but risk takers in cases of loss</i>	117
<i>Proposition 2C: Overconfident investors trade more and earn less</i>	133
<i>Proposition 3A: Information at the hand of investors is mostly promotional</i>	147
<i>Proposition 3B: Investors do not know their investments</i>	152
<i>Proposition 3C: Knowledge is positively related to maturity and confidence</i>	162
<i>Other factors – Advice received</i>	170
<i>Other factors – Familiarity</i>	181
<i>Other factors – Herding</i>	185
<i>Other factors – Investor's fear</i>	189
<i>Other factors – Past experiences</i>	193
<i>Other factors – Costs</i>	199
5. Conclusion	205
<i>Summary of the salient findings</i>	205
<i>Answers to research questions</i>	207
<i>Conclusion on propositions</i>	209
<i>Discussion</i>	213
<i>Limitations of the study and mitigation</i>	217
<i>Further research</i>	218
<i>Significance and originality of findings</i>	219
<i>Recommendations</i>	219
<i>Overall conclusion</i>	221

Appendices	223
<i>Appendix 1: Other findings: By descriptives</i>	223
<i>Appendix 2: Questionnaire</i>	234
<i>Appendix 3: Layout of analysis</i>	255
<i>Appendix 4: Interview questions</i>	262
<i>Appendix 5: Interview questionnaire results</i>	264
<i>Appendix 6: Annex to proposition 2C – Exploring the traits to the composite of overconfidence</i>	269
References	279
Index	295

This page intentionally left blank

List of figures

Fig. 2.1.	The different players in the financial market.	9
Fig. 2.2.	Narrowing down the unit of study.	10
Fig. 2.3.	The efficient frontier.	11
Fig. 2.4.	Asymmetrical value function.	23
Fig. 3.1.	Mean score for composite of overconfidence.	57
Fig. 3.2.	Coefficient correlation between composite of overconfidence and that of overtrading.	58
Fig. 4.1.	Composition of sample size by age and gender.	70
Fig. 4.2.	Mean score for the composite of risk aversion.	125
Fig. 4.3.	Mean score for the composite of loss aversion.	130
Fig. 4.4.	Mean score for composite of overconfidence.	137
Fig. 4.5.	Mean score for the composite of overtrading.	142
Fig. 4.6.	Correlation between overconfidence and that of overtrading.	144
Fig. 4.7.	Mean score for the composite “not keeping track of own investments.”	158
Fig. 4.8.	Mean score for the composite of maturity.	163
Fig. 4.9.	Correlation between the composite of overconfidence and that of maturity.	164
Fig. 4.10.	Mean score for the composite of confidence.	166
Fig. 4.11.	Pearson’s correlation for the composite of overconfidence and that of confidence.	167
Fig. 4.12.	Mean score for the composite of advice.	171
Fig. 4.13.	Histogram for the composite of familiarity.	183
Fig. 4.14.	Mean score for the composite of herding.	186
Fig. 4.15.	Mean score for the composite of fear.	191
Fig. 4.16.	Mean score for the composite of learning from the past experiences.	196
Fig. 4.17.	Mean score for the composite of costs.	200
Fig. 5.1.	Every investment is an opportunity.	208
Fig. 5.2.	An insight into the different considerations behind the investor’s decision.	208
Fig. 5.3.	A simplified version of the investment decision process.	208

This page intentionally left blank

List of tables

Table 2.1.	Funds uncovered by various investment registration schemes.	14
Table 2.2.	Deposits kept at Maltese banks for the years 2000–2017 (october).	15
Table 2.3.	Market share for Maltese residents (individual investors).	16
Table 2.4.	Value investing versus growth investing.	17
Table 2.5.	Differences and similarities of marital status and gender vis-à-vis investment trading.	33
Table 3.1.	Description of statistical tests used depending on the type of variable.	59
Table 4.1.	Response breakdown for each phase in data collection from the survey.	67
Table 4.2.	Breakdown of the 990 database responses.	68
Table 4.3.	Percentage of age composition using the entrop et al. (2016) Model.	69
Table 4.4.	Age by gender using a wider range of age groups.	69
Table 4.5.	Age composition sample versus NSO data.	71
Table 4.6.	Gender composition.	72
Table 4.7.	Employment by gender.	73
Table 4.8.	Occupation by original classification.	73
Table 4.9.	Main occupation by survey and by NSO statistics.	75
Table 4.10.	Occupation reclassified.	76
Table 4.11.	Marital status by gender.	76
Table 4.12.	Cross-tabulation of dependents by gender.	77
Table 4.13.	Frequency of home ownership.	77
Table 4.14.	Frequency of income.	78
Table 4.15.	Cross-tabulation of income by gender.	79
Table 4.16.	Frequency of investors' expected rates of returns.	79
Table 4.17.	Cross-tabulation of the expected rate of return and age.	80
Table 4.18.	Frequency of perceived earnings for the last 12 months.	81
Table 4.19.	Cross-tabulation of perceived earnings from trade (last 12 months).	81
Table 4.20.	Breakdown of monetary earnings in relation to perceived outcome	82
Table 4.21.	Cross-tabulation of satisfaction of perceived earnings by trade in the last 12 months.	83
Table 4.22.	Advisors' replies on the investor's expectations of returns.	84

Table 4.23.	Frequency and mean for survey question 19.	87
Table 4.24.	Significant associations for survey question 19 with demographic variables.	87
Table 4.25.	Cross-tabulation for survey question 19a with gender.	88
Table 4.26.	Cross-tabulation for question 19b with age.	89
Table 4.27.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19c with gender.	90
Table 4.28.	Cross-tabulation for survey question 19c with age.	91
Table 4.29.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19c with income.	92
Table 4.30.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19c with occupation.	93
Table 4.31.	Frequency for survey questions 19e and 19f.	94
Table 4.32.	Cross-tabulation for survey question 19e with age.	94
Table 4.33.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19f with age.	95
Table 4.34.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19c with survey question 19a.	96
Table 4.35.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19c with survey question 19b.	97
Table 4.36.	Cross-tabulation with survey question 19c with survey question 19e.	98
Table 4.37.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19g with gender.	99
Table 4.38.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 19g with income.	100
Table 4.39.	Frequency for survey question 20.	102
Table 4.40.	Significant association of statements in Q20 with demographic variables.	102
Table 4.41.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20a with age.	103
Table 4.42.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20b with age.	104
Table 4.43.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20c with age.	105
Table 4.44.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20c with income.	106
Table 4.45.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20d with age.	107
Table 4.46.	Cross-tabulation with survey question 20d with income.	108
Table 4.47.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20e with gender.	109
Table 4.48.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20e with occupation.	110
Table 4.49.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20e with 20a.	111
Table 4.50.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20f with gender.	112
Table 4.51.	Cross-tabulation of survey question 20f with income.	113
Table 4.52.	Advisors' replies: disposition effect in case of gains.	114
Table 4.53.	Advisors' replies: disposition effect in case of losses.	115
Table 4.54.	Probability of gain.	118
Table 4.55.	Probability of loss.	118
Table 4.56.	Cross-tabulation of probability of gain with age.	119
Table 4.57.	Cross-tabulation of probability of gain with perceived earnings.	119
Table 4.58.	Cross-tabulation of probability of loss with age.	120
Table 4.59.	Cross-tabulation of probability of loss with income.	120
Table 4.60.	Frequency of survey question 33b.	121
Table 4.61.	Frequency of survey question 33c.	121
Table 4.62.	Cross-tabulation of risk with gender.	122

Table 4.63.	Cross-tabulation of risk with income.	122
Table 4.64.	Cross-tabulation of loss with gender.	123
Table 4.65.	Cross-tabulation of loss with age.	124
Table 4.66.	Frequency for composite of risk aversion.	125
Table 4.67.	Mean score of risk aversion by gender.	126
Table 4.68.	Mean score of risk aversion by income.	127
Table 4.69.	Advisors' replies: investors' risk pattern by income.	127
Table 4.70.	Mean score of risk aversion by age.	128
Table 4.71.	Frequency for the composite of loss aversion.	129
Table 4.72.	Mean score for loss aversion by gender.	130
Table 4.73.	Mean score for loss aversion by occupation.	131
Table 4.74.	Mean score for loss aversion by income.	131
Table 4.75.	Advisors' replies on investors' risk tolerance.	132
Table 4.76.	Advisors' replies on investors' greatest risk.	132
Table 4.77.	Advisors' replies on investors' biggest fear.	132
Table 4.78.	Traits for the composite of overconfidence.	134
Table 4.79.	Frequency for composite of overconfidence.	135
Table 4.80.	Associations of the composite of overconfidence with demographic variables.	136
Table 4.81.	Mean score for the composite of overconfidence by gender.	138
Table 4.82.	Mean score for the composite of overconfidence by occupation.	138
Table 4.83.	Mean score for the composite of overconfidence by income.	139
Table 4.84.	Frequency for survey question 17.	139
Table 4.85.	Cross-tabulation of trading frequency by gender.	140
Table 4.86.	Mean for overconfidence and frequency of trades.	140
Table 4.87.	Traits for the composite for overtrading.	141
Table 4.88.	Frequency for the composite of overtrading.	141
Table 4.89.	Mean score for the composite of overtrading by income.	142
Table 4.90.	Mean score for the composite of overtrading by earnings.	143
Table 4.91.	Mean score for the composite of overtrading with perceived earnings.	143
Table 4.92.	Mean score of the composite of overconfidence by perceived earnings.	144
Table 4.93.	Advisors' replies of overconfidence and overtrading by investors.	145
Table 4.94.	Frequency for indicator variables of promotional sources (0.00).	147
Table 4.95.	Frequency of exposure to non-promotional sources of information (1.00).	148
Table 4.96.	Frequency to survey question 35.	148
Table 4.97.	Cross-tabulation of promotional sources by gender.	149
Table 4.98.	Advisors' replies on information at the hands of investors.	149
Table 4.99.	Advisors' replies on the influence of media.	150
Table 4.100.	Frequency of investors' knowledge on investments.	152
Table 4.101.	Advisors' replies on investors' knowledge on investments.	153

Table 4.102.	Ranking of investor's considerations prior to investing.	153
Table 4.103.	Investors' considerations prior to investing by percentages.	154
Table 4.104.	Cross-tabulation of considerations prior to investing by income.	154
Table 4.105.	Associations for information on issuing companies by demographics.	155
Table 4.106.	Cross-tabulation of considerations of management policies by age.	155
Table 4.107.	Frequency for the composite "not keeping track of own investments."	157
Table 4.108.	Mean score for the composite "not keeping track of own investments" by gender.	158
Table 4.109.	Mean score for the composite "not keeping track of own investments" by occupation.	159
Table 4.110.	Advisors' replies: investor's keeping track of own investments.	159
Table 4.111.	Frequency for the composite of maturity.	162
Table 4.112.	Mean score for the composite of maturity by status.	163
Table 4.113.	Pearson correlation coefficient of the composite of overconfidence and that of maturity.	164
Table 4.114.	Means score for the composite of maturity by seeking advice.	165
Table 4.115.	Frequency for the composite of confidence.	165
Table 4.116.	Pearson's correlation for the composite of overconfidence and that of confidence.	167
Table 4.117.	Mean score of the composite of confidence by income.	168
Table 4.118.	Mean score for the composite of confidence by knowledge.	168
Table 4.119.	Mean score for the composite of maturity by knowledge.	169
Table 4.120.	Advisors' replies: investor's confidence by gender.	169
Table 4.121.	Advisors' replies: effect of knowledge on investor's maturity on investments.	169
Table 4.122.	Traits for the composite of how investors relate to advice received.	171
Table 4.123.	Mean score for the composite of advice by gender.	172
Table 4.124.	Mean score for the composite of advice by occupation.	172
Table 4.125.	Mean score for the composite of advice by income.	172
Table 4.126.	Expectations of investors in relation to financial advisors.	173
Table 4.127.	Ranking of the expectations of investors in relation to financial advisors.	174
Table 4.128.	Traits of financial advisors as perceived by investors.	174
Table 4.129.	Ranking of the traits of financial advisors as perceived by investors.	175
Table 4.130.	Advisors' replies of investors' preference to seek advice prior to investing.	175
Table 4.131.	Advisors' replies on how they perceive investors' view the advice given.	176
Table 4.132.	Effect of advice on behavioral traits.	178

Table 4.133.	Frequency for the investors preference between local and foreign investments.	182
Table 4.134.	Traits for the composite of familiarity.	182
Table 4.135.	Mean score for the composite of familiarity by gender.	183
Table 4.136.	Mean score for the composite of familiarity by income.	184
Table 4.137.	Mean score for the composite of familiarity by age.	184
Table 4.138.	Mean score for the composite of familiarity by status.	184
Table 4.139.	Mean score for the composite of familiarity in relation to issuing companies.	185
Table 4.140.	Traits for the composite of herding.	186
Table 4.141.	Mean score for the composite of herding by gender.	187
Table 4.142.	Mean score for the composite of herding by occupation.	187
Table 4.143.	Mean score for the composite of herding by income.	187
Table 4.144.	Mean score of the composite of herding by investors fear of making a bad decision.	188
Table 4.145.	Advisors' replies: influence by family and friends.	188
Table 4.146.	Frequency for the fears experienced by investors.	190
Table 4.147.	Ranking for the fears experienced by investors.	190
Table 4.148.	Mean score for the composite of fear by gender.	191
Table 4.149.	Mean score for the composite of fear by income.	192
Table 4.150.	Mean score of the composite of fear by perceived earnings.	192
Table 4.151.	Advisors' replies: investor's fear.	192
Table 4.152.	Frequency for lessons learnt by investors.	194
Table 4.153.	Advisors' replies on investors' risk tolerance.	194
Table 4.154.	Ranking for the frequency for lessons learnt by investors.	195
Table 4.155.	Number of lessons learnt from past experiences.	197
Table 4.156.	Mean score for the composite of learning from past experiences by gender.	197
Table 4.157.	Associations of the composite of learning from past experiences with other composites.	198
Table 4.158.	Advisors' replies in relation to the importance of past experiences for the investor.	198
Table 4.159.	Frequency to statements related to the composite of transaction costs and commissions.	200
Table 4.160.	Advisors' replies on how they perceive investors consider costs.	201
Table 5.1.	Summary of results of propositions.	209
Table 5.2.	Acceptance or refusal of propositions.	215
Table A1.	Breakdown of investors' expenditure.	223
Table A2.	Investors' preference for form of payment received.	223
Table A3.	Frequency showing the investors' preferred source of finance.	224
Table A4.	Ranking showing investor's preferred source of finance	225
Table A5.	Investor's reasons to invest.	225
Table A6.	Ranking for the investors' reasons to invest.	226
Table A7.	Frequency of investors' concerns in relation to different risks	226

Table A8.	Ranking for the frequency of investors' concerns in relation to different risks.	227
Table A9.	Composition of portfolio of respondents' investments.	228
Table A10.	Investors' considerations prior to investing.	229
Table A11.	Range of amounts invested.	230
Table A12.	Frequency for follow-up actions after trading.	231
Table A13.	Ranking for the frequency for follow-up actions after trading.	232
Table A14.	Investor's considerations on the MSE.	233
Table A15.	Ranking of the investors' considerations on the MSE.	233

List of abbreviations

AGH	Attention Grabbing Hypothesis
BOV	Bank of Valletta
CAPM	Capital Asset Price Model
CBM	Central Bank of Malta
DWDTI	Different ways to disseminate information
FA	Financial Advisor
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IDH	Information Dissemination Hypothesis
ISO	International Standard Organisation
MIFID	Markets in Financial Instruments Directive
MFSA	Malta Financial Services Authority
MGS	Malta Government Stock
MMA	Mixed Method Approach
MRS	Mean Rating Score
MSE	Malta Stock Exchange
NSO	National Office of Statistics
p.	Page
PPH	Price Pressure Hypothesis
Q.	Question
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SR	Standard Residual

This page intentionally left blank

Preface

Dear Reader,

I would like to thank you for taking the interest and the time to read through this book. Writing it was a most exciting and inspiring experience. What started as a requirement for a Masters by Research degree, turned into a much needed deep and compelling investigation.

If you are an investor, interested in financial investment or come in contact with investors on a regular basis, then, this book should be an interesting read to you. This is because it delves into the realm of stocks and shares, exploring different scenarios, and analyzing alternative possibilities and outcomes: all embedded in the ever present element of risk.

The biggest impediment of this study was brought about by unexpected financial and political scandals which led to all gatekeepers closing ranks in an effort to safeguard customer data protection. This limitation was circumvented by the use of social media and the help of newly made connections with financial institutions.

Replies from online and paper surveys were compared to those from semi-structured interviews with financial advisors, to extract the realities of the Maltese financial market. The book sheds light onto the fears, concerns, habits, and choices of the Maltese financial investor with the purpose of understanding the investor better. Unfortunately, it also uncovers some harsh unspoken truths, for which I make no apologies, as I believe that it is important to face a shortcoming in order to improve.

Despite the structure of the study, each chapter can be read in isolation. However, reading it in its entirety will give you a better understanding of the profile of the Maltese investor. A glimpse into the appendix is also encouraged as it offers a databank of information most useful to those interested in the field.

I appeal to you, managing authorities and financial advisers to use this book as a tool by which to enhance the services offered, and to you, investors, to learn from it and improve your trading performance. Whatever the use you make of this book, I sincerely hope it appeals to you and instigates more research on financial trading and financial investors.

Antonietta Bonello.
20-09-2018

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgments

No work is done alone, and I certainly required the contribution of hundreds in the completion of this book.

I, therefore, would like to take the opportunity to reflect on those kind-hearted people who have somehow shown support, all those who reached out to help me, and/or contributed in some way to this study. To all those individual investors who took the time to patiently and selflessly, answer the questionnaire.

I would also thank all those who contributed to the distribution of the questionnaire and to all those talented financial advisors who have accepted to sit for an interview and shared their experiences. Thank you all, your help is greatly appreciated.

My gratitude accompanied by many hugs goes to my husband and children for always being there for me. Thank you for making do, and for the many and much needed cups of tea that came my way.

Appreciation also goes to my tutor and co-editor, Dr Simon Grima, for his valuable advice, constant support, and unwavering encouragement. Sincere thanks to you, Dr Grima.

Lastly, my thanks goes to my publisher and her team for their attention to detail and publishing advice.

A renewed “thank you” to all, as without you this book would not have been possible.

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 1

An Introduction

Background to the book

Determining factors and traits in decision-making is a subjective matter, and researchers differ in their views as to what constitutes a “factor” in the investor’s decision-making, especially with issues concerning the investor’s behavior (Klement, 2015). Understanding the profile of an investor involves more than just studying his/her portfolio. It requires a deeper analysis of his/her needs, expectations, knowledge, fears, and perceptions both in terms of return on investment and in terms of risk. This is because emotions are said to be linked with trading performance (Lo, Repin, & Steenbarger, 2005) and concepts of volatility, tolerance, and risk take a new dimension when dealing with human emotions, fears, and personal experiences (Mooreland, 2014).

Investors’ profiling thus becomes a very important aspect of retail wealth management. For the retail investor, every financial decision carries an element of risk (Riffin & Ahmad, 2012) which can bring high profits or equally high losses, hence, the need to gauge and evaluate each decision. Through risk profiling, one can obtain the optimal level of investment risk acceptable to the investor, taking into account all the factors affecting the asset risk, the investor’s risk appetite, and the investor’s risk capacity (Klement, 2015).

Traditional theory has indicated that the investor is (1) “completely rational,” (2) able to deal with complex choices, (3) is risk averse, and (4) wants to maximize his/her own wealth (Fama, 1970; Baker, Hargrove, & Haslem, 1977; Christie & Huang, 1995; Devenow & Welch, 1996; Von Neumann & Morgenstern, 1944). Rational investors are known to assess the risks and returns of available investments to obtain the investment portfolio that best suits their objectives (Barber & Odean, 2011; Rabin, 1998). Yet investors are not always rational as evidenced by the studies of Kahneman and Tversky, (1982), Tversky and Kahneman (1992), and Shleifer & Summers (1990).

The most common rules of the theory (of rational choice) are commonly violated by decision makers. (Tversky & Kahneman, 1986, p. 252)

Instead of the ideological investor following normative behavior, modern theory sees investment decision-making as a “maximization process” (Tversky & Kahneman, 1986, p. S251), a process based on options, preferences, expectations, and evaluation.

Every person is faced with different amounts and kinds of risks (Lunt, 1996) and every investor ascribes a weight or value or level of risk to each variable effecting a decision, with the aim of either maximizing gains or minimizing losses (Tversky & Kahneman, 1992). Similarly, every individual absorbs the same given risk or prospect differently depending on how one “represents” the problem, limitations, and objectives and lastly on the context in which one evaluates the risk (Tversky & Kahneman, 1992).

Thus, financial choices would depend on one’s objectives and perception of risk and on one’s evaluation of the possible outcomes or prospects. Concurrently, the possibility of a loss looms over the prospect of a gain (Rabin, 1998), and investors seek refuge in practices of their own making in an attempt to safeguard themselves from loss (e.g., cutting losses when an investment underperforms, being risk averse in probabilities of gain and becoming risk takers when faced with a possible loss) (Rabin, 1998; Toma, 2015; Tversky & Kahneman, 1992).

In pursuing their objective, investors adopt different strategies. Some use models to explain and predict asset prices (Kraus & Stoll, 1972). Other investors focus on risk, and investment performance (Modigliani & Modigliani, 1997), while others still, try to predict outcomes (Black, Jensen, & Shleifer, 1972; Sharpe, 1964). In the process, some aspects are common to a multitude of investors. For example, many investors are motivated by portfolio diversification, generation of new cash, and/or straight-forward speculation (Entrop, Fischer, McKenzie, & Wilkens, 2016). Other common considerations include dividends, expected returns, and the firm’s financial stability (Baker & Haslem, 1974; Entrop et al., 2016).

Similarly, some factors such as return on investment, available income, time horizon, and benchmarks affect the investor’s risk tolerance (Barberis, 2000; Entrop et al., 2016; Rabin, 1998; Tversky & Kahneman, 1979), while past experiences, perceptions, and expectations determine the investor’s behavior to risk (risk appetite) (Barberis, 2000; Cervellati, Ferretti, & Pattitoni, 2014; Furtenberg, 1990).

In turn, both risk tolerance and risk appetite are influenced by other factors, such as information at hand, marketing, advice received, and the country’s culture (Barber & Odean, 2001; Black, 1986; La Blanc & Rachlinski, 2005; Shleifer & Summers, 1990). These last factors are external to the financial and personal aspects of the investor, yet still have a significant weight on the outcome of the investor’s decisions. Ultimately, the outcome of one decision is likely to have an impact on the investor and, by consequence on his/her future decisions, thus creating a vicious circle of experiences, decisions, and outcomes (Grima, Románova, Bezzina, Chetcuti Dimech, 2017; Raab, 2003).

Thus, it can be seen that investor behavior need not be rational nor predictable. Nonetheless, behavior reflects the sentiment of the investor at that point in time and, consequently, has an impact on the investor’s decision-making, also leaving ripple repercussions on market liquidity and asset pricing (Amihud, 2002; Liu, 2015; Shleifer & Summers, 1990). Taking the right decision is very important in investment as it would reduce possible future losses and increase future returns (Alaf & Sarwar, 2016; Baker & Haslem, 1974; Entrop et al., 2016; Raab, 2003; Tversky & Kahneman, 1979; Veld & Veld-Merkoulova, 2008).

All decisions involve risk: the greater the risk, the greater the probability of gains or losses (Barber & Odean, 2008; Merton, 1969; Okuyama & Francis, 2006; Tversky & Kahneman, 1979). Moreover, the perception of what constitutes a risk and the gravity

of the risk differ by gender (Barber & Odean, 2001; Croson & Gneezy, 2009; Hallahan, Faff, & McKenzie, 2004; Kasilingam, 2013; Merkle, Egan, & Davies, 2015), race (Finucane, Alhakami, Iovic, & Johnson, 2000; Montalto, Gutter, & Fox, 1999; Praba, 2011), age (Pálsson, 1996; Purkayastha, 2008; Reyna, 2004; Viceira, 1999), and status (Baker & Haslem, 1974; Barber & Odean, 2001; Praba, 2011; Purkayastha, 2008).

In summary, the financial sector offers multiple opportunities but when faced with choices and risk, matters become more complicated and different people tend to make different decisions (Paulsen, Platt, Huettel, & Brannon, 2012; Reyna, 2004; Warren, 1990). The risks taken up by investors need to be understood and managed in the best interest of the same investor and of society as a whole (Pompian, 2016). There are various factors or issues that can influence an investor's ability and willingness to risk. Modern theories show that such factors can be economical as well as behavioral (Nevins, 2004).

The reasons behind researching the Maltese investor trading on the Malta Stock Exchange

This book will be analyzing aspects of decision-making and risk management practices adopted by the Maltese investor trading on the Malta Stock Exchange (MSE).

Malta, a small island state situated in the heart of the Mediterranean, has a population of over 430,000 people (National Office of Statistics, 2016). Like other "small states," Malta faces "special handicaps and (is) economically vulnerable to external shocks as a result of (its) small size" (Kisanga, 2004). Small size and insularity expose small states like Malta to particular hardships and "negative impacts." These setbacks arise from their inherent characteristics and trade openness that leaves the small states highly dependent on the outside world (Briguglio, 2015).

Though considered a micro state, Malta "has never resigned itself to smallness" (Pace, 2006, p. 33), and has developed "appropriate economic policies that have been instrumental in enabling ... (it) ... to cope with some aspects of ... (its) ... inherent vulnerability" (Kisanga, 2004). This was done by taking advantage of the requirements for membership into the European Union to restructure the economy and increase efficiency through internal competition, diversification, and updated regulation (Pace, 2006). Though run by a centralized government, Malta's Constitution provides for various checks and balances that promote democracy, and accountability (Pirotta, 2001). Moreover, local firms have developed effective "risk management mechanisms (that) allow for a strong risk culture, defined risk management goals, accountability and continual improvement" (Bezzina, Grima, & Mamo, 2014, p. 587).

The largest in an archipelago of five small islands, Malta is a reputed platform for international businesses and is known to offer "stability, predictability, and security" (Finacemalta, 2014). Malta is said to be among the top six countries to have a "highly stable" banking system that, since 1970 has been both credit abundant and crisis free (Calomiris, 2015). Assets of local banks represented 56.8% of Malta's GDP in late 2015, while those of the core domestic banks accounted for 23.8% of GDP (Grima, Románova, Bezzina, & Chetcuti Dimech, 2016; MFSA, 2016).

After joining the European Union in 2004, Malta "prides itself on being one of the best performing Eurozone economies, registering healthy economic growth and low

unemployment” (Financemalta, 2015, p. 7). Though being the smallest economy in the Union, Malta has been resilient in the face of adversity and global recession. Low debt to GDP ratio, sound financial and banking sectors, good governance, and plenty of flexibility are the factors that make Malta a “self-made,” consistent success story in the resilience index (Briguglio, Gordon Cordina, & Farrugia, 2006; Briguglio, 2015).

Malta stands alone as an oasis of financial prosperity in a Europe desiccated by the financial crisis. From a financial standpoint, Malta is proof that you do not have to be a big country to be a big player. (Finance-Malta, 2015, p. 7)

Malta’s financial market is a key pillar in the island’s economy, accounting for 13% of Malta’s GDP and providing employment for 10,000 people (FinanceMalta, 2015).

The MSE in particular has earned a high reputation for itself and for the country. Currently, processing over 30,000 trades per year, the MSE’s performance over the past 25 years has been more than just positive. Since the turn of the century, MSE annual turnover has doubled and market capitalization has tripled (Table 2.5).

This level of confidence in the market by investors combined with the increasingly entrepreneurial private sector has created an environment that allows the capital market to co-exist with the traditional banking sector. Pace (2016).

MSE Index is said to be one of the world’s best performing indices (Pace, 2016). Thus, it is not surprising that the Maltese financial sector has recently been recognized as the “Best International Financial Centre” for 2017 (FinaceMalta, 2017).

To this author, choosing Malta as the ground for this book was not simply a convenience sampling technique deemed to facilitate work and reduce research costs (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2016). Irrespective of the familiarity and convenience aspect, the author has chosen Malta because it sets an example in financial markets and is a good model for research.

Statement of the problem

Financial services in Malta have been set up in the 1980s with the formation of a financial services center. Actual trading operations as a platform commenced in 1992 with the setting up of the MSE. As young as the Maltese financial market is, local financial risk profiling is a relatively new concept. Existing local literature includes studies associated with pension funds (Aquilina, 2013), hedge funds (Meilak, 2015), protection of the investor (Grech, 2012), investor’s confidence in investment services (Scicluna, 2014), and investor perceptions (Xerri, 2015; Zammit, 2016). Moreover, the existing local studies cover one or two variables at a time, but do not present a holistic profile of the local investor and of his/her willingness to risk. With this research, the author aims to fill this gap by delineating, as much as possible the many factors underlying the local investor’s decisions and by producing a valid, in-depth, and reliable database of results that presents a clear and detailed profile of the Maltese investor trading on the MSE.

Aim and objectives of the book

This book focuses on the investor, his/her choices, the reasons and risks behind these choices, and the effect of these choices on the investor's portfolio. Many of the factors have already been discovered in existing literature, some having been the basis of new theories. The scope will now be to determine which of these known factors pertain to the Maltese investor and to possibly uncover new variables. The book also seeks to find out whether the difficulties faced by investors as depicted in literature are evident with the local investor, particularly in terms of women's participation in financial investment.

Previous studies revolve around the Maltese investor's risk appetite and tolerance and/or the investor's portfolio. With this research, the author aims to take a more holistic approach into the study of the Maltese investor by analyzing his/her profile, habits, behavior, needs, fears, expectations, tolerance, and perceptions. In combining the aspect of the investor as a "human," to the analysis of his/her "monetary" portfolio, this research will be providing a better understanding of the investor's preferences (Kahneman & Tversky, 1982); his/her risk profile and the resulting outcome on the investor's performance and on the local trading market.

Another objective of this book is to address directly and solely the Maltese investor. By addressing active investors, the researcher will be seeking answers directly from the "source." In addition, this strategy aims at reducing the fallouts of replies by non-investors, whose replies would distort the results and interfere with the scope of the research: namely to delineate the profile of the Maltese investor.

This research will possibly determine how far a Maltese investor is likely to go in terms of investment risk and also how s/he relies on the guidance of his/her financial advisor. The research shall consider the perceptions of the individual investor on the current advisory services, to then question how financial advisors and brokers are using their privileged position.

Another aim of this book is to change the focus from the normative view of investor finance (what an investor should do) to a positive perspective showing what the Maltese investor actually does in this current age and why she/he does so (De Bondt & Thaler, 1994; Jensen, 1993; Kourtidis, Sevic, & Chatzoglou, 2011; Nofsinger, 2014).

The research questions

- (1) What are the determinants behind the Maltese investor's buy-and-sell decisions and what impact do they have on the investor's risk appetite and tolerance?
- (2) How does the role of the financial advisor effect the financial decisions of the Maltese investor?

Propositions

The following are a number of propositions the author will use to uncover some of the factors underlying the investor's risk appetite and influencing the investor's decision-making.

- (1) Expectations and Performance
Investors have high, but unrealistic expectations in terms of financial returns (Barber & Odean, 2001). Actual returns are usually less than those expected (Barber & Odean, 2011).

(2) Disposition and Trading behaviour

- (a) Investors sell winners and retain losers (Barber & Odean, 2011; Odean, 1999; Shefrin & Statman, 1985, p. 788).
- (b) Investors are risk averse when they stand to gain money but are risk seekers when there is a chance of losing money. This indicates that investors are more loss averse than risk averse (Rabin, 1998; Tekce, Yilmaz, & Bildik, 2016; Tversky & Kahneman, 1979, 1992).
- (c) Overconfidence leads to excessive trading and excessive trading leads to lower returns (Barber & Odean, 2011).

(3) Financial literacy

- (a) Information at the hand of individual investors is mostly promotional (Cervellati, et al., 2014; La Blanc & Rachlinski, 2005).
- (b) Individual investors lack knowledge on investment in general and on their own investments. They also have little insight on the issuing companies (Damodaran, 2012).
- (c) Increased financial literacy invokes more financial maturity and confidence in investors. It also instigates participation and helps to reduce risk aversion, minimize unnecessary risks (Klement, 2015; Miller, 1977), and encourage diversified portfolios. Males are prone to be more overconfident than females (Allie, West, & Willows, 2016; Barber & Odean, 1970; Rabin, 1998; Willows & West, 2015).

(4) Other factors

Investors' decisions are influenced by various factors. Most dominant being (not necessarily in this order):

- Demographics (age, status, and income).
- Financial advisor and financial advice (Strategy, investors' expectations, impact) (Allie et al., 2016; Kaufmann, Weber, & Haisley, 2013).
- Past experiences (success, failure) (Kaufmann et al., 2013; Raab, 2003).
- Other behavioral traits (fear, herding, familiarity, and home bias) (Harvey & Gray, 1997; Pompian, 2016).
- Costs (transaction costs; commissions, after sales services, regulations such as MIFID II) (Barber & Odean, 2011; Cervellati, et al., 2014; Pompian, 2016).

Conceptual framework

The conceptual framework of this book reflects the evolution of theories and thoughts in existing literature, as understood by the author. Value and wealth, monetary or otherwise, are relative to the person's circumstance (Bernoulli, 1954), and although people's choices might not always be rational (Hodges, 2015; Rabin, 1998), every individual will always try to maximize his/her expected utility (Friedman & Savage, 1948; Nagy & Obenburger, 1994; Von Neumann & Morgenstern, 1944). In doing so, one inevitably faces a degree of risk: the greater the probability of risk, the greater the expectations of utility or returns (Warneryd, 1996). Thus, the expectations of a person are crucial in this study as they play a big role in determining the person's behavior, and hence, the outcome of the decisions taken (Merton, 1969). When faced with uncertainty, people make different choices based on the way they perceive the risks they face and also on the weight they give such risks (Black & Scholes, 1973; Tversky &

Kahneman, 1979). Furthermore, in the light of so many investment opportunities, investors make their decision based on a number of variables, and each variable contributes in part to the maximization of expected utility to be derived by the purchase or sale.

Thus, in order to understand the decisions made by investors, one has to necessarily consider a combination of economical, psychological, and behavioral aspects that all together condition the experiences, perceptions, and expectations of the investor (Barber & Odean, 2008; Rabin, 1998).

The author has also considered different case studies to uncover the most inspiring findings relating to those variables that might have an impact on the abovementioned aspects of decision-making. Four particular peer-reviewed journal papers, namely Tversky & Kahneman (1979), Barber and Odean (2011), Cervellati et al. (2014), and Allie et al. (2016) served as focal studies for the propositions of this study.

Backed by the theoretical background and the relative case studies, this author has extracted what, in her opinion, are the most recurring aspects of financial decision-making. Through a mixed approach, the researcher will seek to answer the research questions by absorbing the recurring aspects she uncovered into a number of propositions for this study. Purposive sampling is used to conduct self-reporting surveys and interviews. Statistical analysis using means, percentages, and constructs is used to examine the survey results, while a thematic analysis is adopted to evaluate and interpret the replies of the financial advisors. The researcher will then use the evidence obtained from both methods to test, and possibly confirm said propositions on the case of Malta, keeping an open eye for any new aspects of factors arising from the findings.

Significance of the study

This research provides a movement from the normative view of investor finance (what an investor should do) to a positive view showing what the Maltese investor actually does in this current age and why s/he does so (Cavezzali & Rigoni, 2012; Kourtidis et al., 2011; Toma, 2015). Moreover, the study links investment finance to the investor's participation in the local stock market (Rooji, Lusardi, & Alessie, 2007).

To the author's knowledge, this research is the first in-depth case study of its kind for the Maltese financial market. The findings of this book should help financial advisors, stock brokers, and issuing companies by providing a critical analysis of the main characteristics making up the profile of the Maltese investor. The book shall present evidence on the dominant factors that affect the decisions of Maltese investors trading on the MSE. This evidence could be used by financial advisors, issuing bodies, and regulatory bodies as a tool for effective decision-making. Alternatively, it can be used by the investors themselves as a guide in managing their capital and understanding their risk circumstances better.

Overall, this book should help the reader, understand better the Maltese investor, his/her behavior, choices, preferences, and risk levels. It should also shed light on the investor's expectations and risk tolerance. Understanding the investor's expectations could help issuing companies in anticipating the investor's decisions in terms of risk avoidance and anticipated returns to then hopefully issue adequate investments

according to the investor's needs, requirements and risk appetite. Furthermore, through the evidence provided by this research, financial advisers should be in a better position to look out for, and incorporate the most important issues when addressing the concerns of their clients. Government, commercial institutions, and risk managers could also use this book for the development of investments that best reflect the profile, risk appetite, needs, and expectations of Maltese investors and investments that provide better cash management to the same entities. Moreover, results and findings can be used as value analysis in the planning of interest rates, investment terms and longevity of bonds.

On another level, financial advisors and the MFSA, Malta's financial regulating body, would both benefit from this study. Given the perceptions and expectations of the investor as resulting from the evidence of this study, regulatory bodies could review the current financial standards and practices and in the process, determine their level of effectiveness.

Lastly, this research will provide a database upon which to focus future studies, shedding light on various profile aspects and some statistical information as yet unpublished.

Contents

The first section of this book deals with the rationale, addressing the problem and outlining the approach of this research. Chapter 2 presents the existing literature on the subject matter, while Chapter 3 defines the conceptual and theoretical frameworks adopted in this research. Having studied the literature and analyzed the methods used in the development of relative theories, this author designed her own framework and course of action. Both shall be explained and displayed together with a brief insight on the case of Malta. The chapter shall extend to the methods of data collection and data analysis, with the author explaining the reasons for her choice and describing the various phases of the study. In Chapter 4, the data collected from participants (respondents and interviewees) are examined and the results correlated to the existing literature and to the propositions of this research. In the last chapter, the author gives the conclusions drawn from this research and the recommendations thereon, followed by some ideas for future studies on the matter.