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PREFACE

Libraries have always been queer. This is true in a conceptual sense, where philosophers like Foucault (1986) have claimed libraries as heterotopic spaces, meaning portals into other worlds, sites of multiplicity, serendipity, and otherness. But it is also true in a concrete and grounded sense, with libraries as spaces where queer and questioning youth have explored their identities and desires, and where groups on the margins find sites of community and connection. That an enduring image of libraries in mainstream American narratives emphasizes quiet solitude or solid Middle Class normativity only demonstrates the radical capacity of libraries, which are able to support heteronormative visions of the good life while simultaneously offering a lifeline to people on the margins.

This book is also a lifeline. LGBTQ+ Librarianship in the 21st Century collects the scholarship and knowledge of librarians, academics, and activists, with the goal of providing a common vocabulary and a set of strategies to aid the work of queer librarians and patrons. Another goal of the essays gathered here is providing a form of solidarity and support, a multi-faceted message of encouragement and enthusiasm for the work that libraries and librarians can do and are doing in the everyday work of librarianship. Contributors to this volume offer accounts of successes and challenges of providing resources for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer+ (LGBTQ+) health and for supporting archival projects, of contributing to online resources like Wikipedia and developing best practices to support marginalized sexual identities. In the pieces gathered here, authors grapple with the responsibilities of working in libraries as social institutions, and also celebrate the opportunities for radical kinship between librarians, texts, technologies, and patrons.

The stakes are high. Around the world, extremist ideologies are becoming louder and receiving more attention. Hard-fought victories for queer visibility and political agency are at the risk of being walked back, and sanctuaries for queer organizing and education are being threatened, politically, culturally, and economically. In her book Kindred, Octavia E. Butler noted, “Repressive societies always seemed to understand the danger of ‘wrong’ ideas.” In the twenty-first century, libraries must continue to protect the knowledges, histories, and gathering points of the oppressed and marginalized. In the twenty-first century, libraries must fight to stay queer.
THE SOCIAL ROLE OF THE LIBRARY

From an economic standpoint, the public library as a social institution is unusual. As one librarian from the United Kingdom wryly noted,

If someone suggested the idea of public libraries now, they’d be considered insane. If you said you were going to take a little bit of money from every taxpayer, buy a whole load of books and music and games, stick them on a shelf and tell everyone, “These are yours to borrow and all you’ve got to do is bring them back,” they’d be laughed out of government.

(Bathurst, 2011)

Capitalist societies have developed in ways that render libraries suspect and dangerous. The profit-making capacity of the library defies normative accounting. The profit of libraries lies in values allied with queerness: curiosity, community, and exploration. At the same time, academics, policy makers, and activists have increasingly signaled the alarm that libraries represent one of the few remaining public spaces, and are being asked to shoulder more and more social services, from children’s programming to job services, digital literacy to ESL classes (see Mattern, 2014).

Although classic library literature has a tendency to categorize a library’s ethical responsibility in terms of its collection (of books and media), this view narrows the radical capacity of the library. In addition to (and crucially, not instead of) asking whether a library is inclusive because it collects books that speak to marginalized experience, we must also (re)consider lending policies, classification schemes, and staffing demographics. As many of the essays in this collection illustrate, a more expansive view of library politics extends beyond collection development to include policies and programming. Put another way, inclusiveness means thinking about the materials we collect, as well as decisions about staffing, scheduling, and architecture. These are ambitious metrics, bringing challenges in professional development as well as budgeting. Libraries are already being asked to do more with less. But a discussion of queer politics in the library must start with understanding the wants and needs of patrons on the margins, which include but extend beyond the material on the shelves.

AN ACTIVIST AGENDA FOR QUEER LIBRARIANSHIP IN THE 21st CENTURY

Stop me when this sounds familiar:

So you’re a librarian? Let me ask you something.

I already know the question – who needs librarians when we have Google? Who needs libraries when we have ebooks?

When I was working as a librarian, this conversation happened to me many times, in many places. At cocktail parties, on the subway and even at border control as a customs officer was inspecting my passport. As frustrating as these questions are, they are also an opportunity to explain the necessity of libraries, the
ways that libraries are a vital home for parents with young children as well as the elderly, for those with a love of books, films, and comics as well as those searching for answers to sometimes subversive questions.

As I noted earlier, the library is one of the few, maybe the only, genuinely accessible public spaces for DIY education, community building, and civil discourse. All three of those factors can converge on issues of where technology fits into building a good life, particularly for those who experience forms of marginalization and discrimination on a daily basis. To close this preface and in the spirit of the energizing contributions in this collection, I want to make the case that digital literacy around privacy and surveillance is one of the key agenda items for supporting queer lives and politics.

Surveillance is a queer issue. There is a long history of antagonistic monitoring of queer people, whether as activists or simply in their everyday lives. Libraries have a proud history of defending their patrons’ reading privacy, and LGBTQ+ librarianship in the twenty-first century must equip patrons with the tools to protect their privacy. Much of this work is already happening with librarians producing guides to feminist cybersecurity (Harrington-Johnson, 2015; Radical Reference, 2014) and leading workshops on privacy tools (Carpenter, 2015; Macrina & Glaser, 2014). Alongside classes that teach basic skills in office applications, librarians are increasingly programming workshops on how to manage online privacy in a world of corporate and government surveillance.

This work can go even further. For example, libraries can encourage their communities to be thoughtful about the “Internet of Things,” which refers to a world of ubiquitous computing, where everyday objects are linked and talk to each other. Typical examples include a refrigerator that tells your phone when your food is expired, or an item of clothing that updates your doctor about your biometric data. Before the Internet of Things becomes more of a reality than it already is, librarians could do an incredible amount of awareness raising about the opportunities and obstacles of this shift. Programming around interconnect-edness of devices! Kids programming on cyborgs! Positioning the library as a place where people can turn for questions of how technological change shapes their lives, making them sometimes better and sometimes worse, is one of the best and most vital resources a social institution can play in its community. At times, libraries have been quick to embrace new technologies such as 3D printing (Halsell, 2014) or drones (SD Library, 2018). But new technologies come along with politics that aren’t always obvious at the outset, and can bring real challenges for maintaining privacy. Introducing patrons to new technologies has always been an important role in libraries, but these initiatives must come with a contingent commitment to addressing the ethical implications that come with innovation.

I’m not suggesting that libraries embrace an all-digital future and set aside their print collections. But when people ask questions about why librarians are necessary, they (still, somehow) imagine libraries as full of books and librarians as ladies wielding stamps and shushing people. I’m calling for conversations that imagine something radically different, and it shouldn’t take that much imagination because we’re already part way there.
As librarian and activist Jenna Freedman has argued, “The professional is political.” I’m grateful to the editor and authors for sharing their commitments, experiences, and tactics in LGBTQ+ librarianship. The pages that follow offer advice, encouragements and a guide for radical action. While the stakes are high and the obstacles challenging, the work is also rewarding and vital. This collection makes an important intervention in conversations about librarianship, queerness, and service.

Jessa Lingel,
University of Pennsylvania, USA
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PART I

EMERGING SCOPE
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INTRODUCTION

Bharat Mehra

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning/queer (LGBTQ+) individuals have experienced a long history of human rights denial and persecution around the world (Montague & McKeever, 2017). Nothing new, right? Shockingly, even in today’s modern age, we continue to suffer hate-motivated trials, violence, ridicule, and discrimination owing to enveloping cultural/social norms of heterosexual hegemony, patriarchal taboos, heterosexism, and homophobia (Mehra et al., 2018). Are we surprised? Probably not! In a recent occurrence, on September 6, 2018, the Supreme Court of India unanimously dismantled a colonial legacy of one of the world’s oldest bans on consensual gay sex, and ruled all the protections of the Constitution to LGBTQ+ people moving forward (Gettleman, Schultz, & Raj, 2018; Kidangoor, 2018). Reporting from the operative section of the court’s verdict, Chief Justice of India Dipak Misra indicated that “Social morality cannot be used to violate the fundamental rights of even a single individual .... Constitutional morality cannot be martyred at the altar of social morality,” (Sinha, 2018). It has taken the highest court of the world’s second most populated country, with its long civilized history and self-proclaimed, righteous spiritual and religious tolerance, more than 70 years of freedom from imperialist rule, to awaken from its slumber toward this realization only now in the second decade of the twenty-first century. A joking commentary on the state of affairs if it was not so tragic.

In his speech Tryst of Destiny, the astute politician Jawaharlal Nehru, the first Prime Minister of independent India, noted to the Indian Constituent Assembly in The Parliament, on the eve of the country’s independence on August 15, 1947:

At the stroke of the midnight hour, when the world sleeps, India will awake to life and freedom. A moment comes, which comes but rarely in history, when we step out from the old to the new, when an age ends, and when the soul of a nation, long suppressed, finds utterance. It is fitting that at this solemn moment we take the pledge of dedication to the service of India and her people and to the still larger cause of humanity (“Great Speeches of the 20th Century,” 2010).
I guess LGBTQ+ people have not been considered part of this humanity for a long, long time. Obviously, from law formulation to shaping change in people’s prejudiced behaviors and heterosexist attitudes is another story (Mehra, 2016). Not even a month had passed from the groundbreaking judgment when on September 27, 2018, the Allahabad High Court that has jurisdiction over the most populous state of Uttar Pradesh in India with its more than 200 million inhabitants, turned down a request for police security cover of protection sought by a gay couple from their parents’ intimidations and threats (Pandey, 2018). The roots of heterosexism are long and deep. Indicative of probably a slow and tortuous journey, a potential for educators and librarians, among others, to play an important role to challenge centuries of dogma, patriarchy, stigma, and cultural brainwashing in the traditionalist country (Mehra & Hernandez, 2016).

This scenario, however, reflects a trend all around the world. Even closer home in the United States, though lately LGBTQ+ people have won the battle of marriage equality, yet, they face ongoing and constant threats of political and legal disempowerment as well as hateful rhetoric from right-wing religious factions and conservative vote bank constituencies (Irby, 2017; Montegary, 2018; Sethi, 2018). The reverberating LGBTQ+ implications of targeted political bombast, politics of threats, fake news, and social media attacks in the aftermath of the 2016 American presidential elections at the local, regional, national, and international levels in terms of challenging actualities and potentialities of damage do not look pretty (Byne, 2018; Cahill & Makadon, 2017).

Why do I use the word “shockingly” to capture this present-day moment of LGBTQ+ marginalization in today’s society across every corner of the globe? Because, we assume that in our so-called enlightened cultures that our politicians and leaders do not hesitate to speak about in glorious terms, the reality that a segment of the population marked by their sexual orientations/gender identities has to constantly watch over their shoulders and “walk on eggshells” not knowing when their lives, their securities, and everything of who they are as human beings, can get threatened and taken away, is a phenomenon that is, indeed, shameful and shocking. Nothing for us human beings to be proud of, irrespective of the “growth” and “progress” that we have made. No other way to say it, in my “non-Western” English and all. Indeed, apt even today, from a different time and culture, are the last lines from Robert Frost’s (1922) famous poem “Stopping by Woods on a Snowy Evening.” We still have miles to go before we sleep. Really.

Seems better days of the America of the nineteenth century, represented in the 44 years’ union of Charity and Sylvia (Cleves, 2014), that provided a rare glimpse of an unexpectedly diverse society of the past, more compliant than the contemporary public might choose to imagine. Historically, trailblazers wearing their two hats as librarians, archivists, historians, and other information professionals, on the one hand, embracing their roles as advocates and activists in promoting change on behalf of LGBTQ+ people, on the other, have been few and far between. Sanford Berman, Ann Curry, Israel Fishman, Barbara Gittings, Cal Gough, Ellen Greenblatt, Steven Joyce, Yolanda Retter Vargas, and others, come to mind who have “carried the torch of liberty” in their attempts to get library
and information science (LIS) to open up its privileged doors of inclusivity and acknowledge the existence of the “LGBTQ+” from its margins. In the process, these beacons have significantly extended and mobilized library discourse and practice. And, they did indeed struggle in getting their voices heard, “shouting and screaming” and “doing a jump and dance,” to finally receive some recognition of LGBTQ+ existence in their esteemed mainstream collegiate networks. A bow of gratitude to these LGBTQ+ information movers and shakers throughout history for their continued efforts in poking holes in our moralities and prejudices. We would not be here but for their courage and perseverance.

Only now, as a profession with our diversities and varieties of form in the delivery of information-related work, are we moving forward, cynically stated, thanks to the globally widespread climate of fear and repression of LGBTQ+ people (and others), coming out in the face of hostilities that have become so blatant due to the political directives emerging even from the highest office in the United States (Brown & Keller, 2018; Ng & Rumens, 2017). So, we are beginning to see in recent years of the twenty-first century, the progressive LIS professional embracing a more proactive role as a social justice advocate, venturing out of their institutional bastions of privilege and power to promote fairness, justice, equality, equity, and activism on behalf of LGBTQ+ people (Hill & McGrath, 2018; Lymn, 2015). The silver lining of the recent politicized pomposity of hate targeted at LGBTQ+ people (and others) has brought diversity and inclusion towards the center of attention in our short-term cultural memory span. This is reflected at least based on the ubiquitous “lip service” verbiage across institutions we are beginning to see. These are also gaining self-congratulatory representations in becoming part and parcel of our mainstream LIS professions that have historically tried to get by with their cursory and feeble past attention, however well-meaning their intentions (Hennessey, 2017). Unfortunate, in that we had to reach such a state of political siege for our consciousness in the mainstream LIS professions to even awaken to this need. Well, better late than never, I say.

As a result, there have been some passionate and strong convictions in the last few decades integrating LGBTQ+ to newly acknowledged and re-envisioned constructs and core beliefs in LIS related to diversity and inclusion, freedom of speech, information ethics and social justice, intellectual freedom, human rights advocacy, and human information behaviors, to name a few. We are also now observing more libraries and information agencies actively acknowledge, identify, represent, and integrate LGBTQ+ needs, wants, expectations, behaviors, and practices in the design and development of many of their programs, services, systems, resources, and collections, among other efforts, as compared to the isolated few of our historical past. This small collection provides a glimpse of some of the new kinds of alliances involving the LIS professional in community engagement that are getting forged and consolidated across all forms of individual, social, organizational, and institutional boundaries. It represents “out-of-the-box” collaborations, partnerships, and relationship-building with a variety of stakeholders to further support and inclusion of LGBTQ+ content, issues, concerns, and representations.
Additionally, LGBTQ+ is getting acknowledged in the core mission of mainstream librarianship surrounding the information creation–organization–management–dissemination processes that has got re-invented in traditional library settings (i.e., academic library, public library, school library, and special library – medical, corporate/business, law) with the integration of new technologies and social media, increased user involvement and user-centered design, and positive service responses to the community’s changing demographic characteristics. The “LGBTQ” is also now emerging from the dark realms of “invisibility” in core librarianship as it has expanded in diverse non-traditional information environments including cultural heritage memory institutions (museums, archives, historical societies, and special collections), organizations in the public and private sectors, research centers and educational institutions, non-profit community agencies, and others. Interdisciplinary convergences of information studies (including librarianship) are helping us better incorporate LGBTQ+ elements as re-strengthened ties emerge to psychology and sociology, communication and public relations, advertising and journalism, computer science and software development, science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) disciplines, business and organizational management, education and public administration, among others. We will continue to witness cross-linkages, semantic mapping overlaps, and inclusion of the “LGBTQ+” as part of these vivacious conversations in the coming years.

This edited collection of just a few gems represents select newly emerging and exciting directions of thought and praxis, policy and education, case studies, and best practices in diverse information environments that are reflective of some changing social realities and cultural conditions of the twenty-first century. The work highlights how library professionals, information practitioners, educators, students, policy makers, managers and administrators, public officials, community activists, and others can develop and adopt innovative approaches to better represent and serve LGBTQ+ patrons/users/clients/customers (variously defined) via information-related work in diverse information settings.

As a contemporary collection on LGBTQ+ topics in diverse information environments, this book builds on past scholarly literature drawing upon theory, frameworks, concepts, practice models, best practices, and case studies in LIS and other disciplines. LGBTQ+ issues and concerns are briefly covered in relation to the kind of work currently engaged in the width and depth of the LIS professions, including LIS practice, research, management, and education. Insights from both the library science and information science traditions and information content from other disciplinary sources related to the LGBTQ+ (e.g., queer or LGBTQ+ studies, women’s studies, social work/sociology, psychology, etc.) are touched upon in the reflective narratives on how we can continue toward enhancing more inclusiveness of content support delivery to effectively serve our LGBTQ+ patrons and user communities.

The purpose of this book is to present a collection that is current with the times in providing the LIS professional a top-tier model of excellence based on empirical knowledge and research relevant to the contemporary context. The term “LGBTQ+” in this text recognizes a fluidity that exists in the nature and representation of human sexuality, sexual orientations, gender, gender identities,
and other “non-conforming” behaviors, attractions, and intersecting dimensions of human experience and understanding. The “+” is a symbolic marker of the dynamic and ever-emerging nature and representations of sexuality in the psychosexual and psycho-behavioral aspects of the human experience. Select authors have used variants of the acronym to reflect nuanced aspects, especially in the international context. The connecting thread tying the collection in its definitional scope of the “LGBTQ+” represents a “non-heterosexual” reality of these constructs, internalized, externalized, or both. It challenges the stifling, hegemonic, patriarchal, chauvinist, and related narrow characteristics that are associated with the term “heterosexual” that categorizes human feelings, thoughts, actions into a black box with the inclusion of the word “sex” and its allusion to only the physical aspects.

The content and coverage of LGBTQ+ Librarianship is rich in representing contemporary aspects of advocacy and community engagement across a variety of information settings. Each chapter is a prized jewel that underwent rigorous review and feedback was collected from at least two external peers who provided critical assessment of the content and constructive directions for strengthening the deliverable product. In some instances, based on reviewer’s permission an excerpt of their evaluative critique is intentionally included to spotlight the value of the work. Thanks to our reviewer colleagues for their timely and attentive feedback that has only strengthened the collection. A special note of appreciation to the support team at the Advances of Librarianship Series and Paul Jaeger for recognizing the possible value of the topic and collection.

Specific themes emerged as important threads weaving through the different voices in the book. Readers will notice a few that connect the chapters together and logically string them as part of this collection in semantically and/or symbolically linking the content to the terms included in the title. Both “advocacy” and “community engagement” are action-oriented active nouns that go beyond the neutral passive bystander role that librarians and information researchers (among others) have traditionally been proud of. Hence, the inclusion of the chapters related to the twenty-first century, as compared to a bygone past and some of its outdated practices and values. Social justice and social equity form the spirit driving these narratives even though the authors might not explicitly use the words. “Emerging” captures the ongoing, dynamic nature and journey development progression representing Heidegger’s always “being possible,” a.k.a. the process of becoming, and connected to the nature of doing (Krell, 1977). Generating socially relevant outcomes via information-related activities is also intertwined with another significant thread across the works, namely, the development of a “deliverable” take-away product for readers (Mehra, 2015). Examples such as a strategic action plan or a mapping schema illustrate this concrete deliverable in some chapters.

One collateral damage as a result of historical positivist paradigms in academic research and scholarly publication business has led to a step-brotherly treatment toward qualitative research that also lingers in preconceived notions toward community advocacy and community engagement (Mehra, Bishop, & Partee II, 2018). There are also many poorly written examples of qualitative advocacy efforts in LIS. An often cited reason we hear for marginal documentation has been the professional’s immersion in the “doing” of information activities to
generate community impact. This might very well be a myth or the truth though an unfortunate consequence is seen in the suffering of the writing via formal publication channels that has been detrimental in a limited inclusion of such efforts to the body of world knowledge. As a result, an intentional thread across this collection has been for authors to strongly consider articulating their “methodology” to explain the systematic protocols followed to generate the findings and experiences presented in their narratives. In some instances, authors did not follow traditional “research methods.” Yet, they inform readers about the systematic approach in data collection and data analysis they adopted to identify the contributions in their chapter. The agenda is that the chapter should not come across as based on a random anecdotal approach. In articulating and describing their strategy, authors, thus, add value to their contributions and address critiques of past work in this genre. Also, such a strategy might help others interested to pursue similar efforts.

Chapters are grouped into five sections. Fig. 1 visualizes the sections and their chapters to present a conceptualization of the content.

The first section of the book, “Emerging Scope,” introduces the coverage and subject with a contribution by Bharat Mehra that highlights the interdisciplinary nature of understanding thoughts, feelings, and actions that are associated with the LGBTQ+. Donna Braquet provides a selective glossary of LGBTQ+ terms that an information professional should know in the twenty-first century as well as a glimpse of select scenarios and strategies and current web-based resources with brief annotations.

![Fig. 1. Visualization of Book Sections and Their Chapters Representing a Conceptualization of the Content.](image-url)