

THE CHALLENGES OF  
CORPORATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP  
IN THE DISRUPTIVE AGE

# ADVANCES IN THE STUDY OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP, INNOVATION AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

Series Editors: Donald F. Kuratko and  
Sherry Hoskinson

## Previous Volumes:

- Volume 12: Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth in the American Economy, Gary D. Libecap
- Volume 13: Entrepreneurial Inputs and Outcomes, Gary D. Libecap
- Volume 14: Issues in Entrepreneurship, Gary D. Libecap
- Volume 15: Intellectual Property and Entrepreneurship, Gary D. Libecap
- Volume 16: University Entrepreneurship and Technology Transfer, Gary D. Libecap
- Volume 17: The Cyclic Nature of Innovation: Connecting Hard Sciences with Soft Values, Guus Berkhout, Patrick van der Duin, Dap Hartmann and Roland Ort
- Volume 18: Technological Innovation: Generating Economic Results, Gary D. Libecap and Marie Thursby
- Volume 19: Measuring the Social Value of Innovation: A Link in the University Technology Transfer and Entrepreneurship Equation, Gary D. Libecap
- Volume 20: Frontiers in Eco Entrepreneurship Research, Gary D. Libecap
- Volume 22: Spanning Boundaries and Disciplines: University Technology Commercialization in the Idea Age, Gary D. Libecap, Marie Thursby and Sherry Hoskinson
- Volume 23: A Cross-Disciplinary Primer on the Meaning and Principles of Innovation, Matthew M. Mars and Sherry Hoskinson
- Volume 24: Innovative Pathways for University Entrepreneurship in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, Sherry Hoskinson and Donald F. Kuratko
- Volume 25: The Challenges of Ethics and Entrepreneurship in the Global Environment, Sherry Hoskinson and Donald F. Kuratko
- Volume 26: Technological Innovation Generating Economic Results (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition), Marie C. Thursby
- Volume 27: The Great Debates in Entrepreneurship, Donald F. Kuratko and Sherry Hoskinson

ADVANCES IN THE STUDY OF  
ENTREPRENEURSHIP, INNOVATION AND  
ECONOMIC GROWTH VOLUME 28

# THE CHALLENGES OF CORPORATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN THE DISRUPTIVE AGE

EDITED BY

**DONALD F. KURATKO**

*Indiana University-Bloomington, USA*

**SHERRY HOSKINSON**

*University of Arizona, USA*



United Kingdom – North America – Japan  
India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited  
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2019

Copyright © 2019 Emerald Publishing Limited

**Reprints and permissions service**

Contact: [permissions@emeraldinsight.com](mailto:permissions@emeraldinsight.com)

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

**British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-78754-444-4 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-78754-443-7 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-78754-445-1 (Epub)

ISSN: 1048-4736 (Series)



ISOQAR certified  
Management System,  
awarded to Emerald  
for adherence to  
Environmental  
standard  
ISO 14001:2004.

Certificate Number 1985  
ISO 14001



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

# CONTENTS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>List of Contributors</i>  | vii |
| <i>About the Authors</i>   | ix  |
| <b>Introduction: The Challenges of Corporate Entrepreneurship in the Disruptive Age</b><br><i>Donald F. Kuratko and Sherry Hoskinson</i>                                     | 1   |
| <b>Chapter 1 Unpacking Corporate Entrepreneurship: A Critique and Extension</b><br><i>Minet Schindehutte, Michael H. Morris and Donald F. Kuratko</i>                        | 11  |
| <b>Chapter 2 Entrepreneurial Orientation and Ambidexterity: Literature Review, Challenges, and Agenda for Future Research</b><br><i>Younggeun Lee and Patrick M. Kreiser</i> | 37  |
| <b>Chapter 3 Integrating Corporate Entrepreneurship and Organization Development through Learning and Leadership</b><br><i>Erik Monsen and Alan D. Boss</i>                  | 63  |
| <b>Chapter 4 Corporate Entrepreneurial Leadership: Addressing Critical Challenges in a Disruptive Age</b><br><i>Donald F. Kuratko and Emily Neubert</i>                      | 89  |
| <b>Chapter 5 Corporate Entrepreneurship as a Survival Routine</b><br><i>Robert P. Garrett, Jr. and Tommie Welcher</i>  | 111 |
| <b>Chapter 6 Critical Elements of Team Formation to Enhance Organizational Innovation</b><br><i>Jeffrey S. Hornsby</i>   | 123 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>Chapter 7 Effectively Leveraging Design for Corporate Innovation</b>                        |     |
| <i>Travis J. Brown</i>   | 141 |
| <b>Chapter 8 A Practitioner Review of Technological Firms' Success in Corporate Innovation</b> |     |
| <i>Harrison L. Holt</i>  | 163 |
| <i>Index</i>   | 183 |

# LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <i>Alan D. Boss</i>           | University of Arkansas at Little Rock, USA |
| <i>Travis J. Brown</i>        | Indiana University–Bloomington, USA        |
| <i>Robert P. Garrett, Jr.</i> | University of Louisville, USA              |
| <i>Harrison L. Holt</i>       | Indiana University–Bloomington, USA        |
| <i>Jeffrey S. Hornsby</i>     | University of Missouri–Kansas City, USA    |
| <i>Sherry Hoskinson</i>       | University of Delaware, USA                |
| <i>Patrick M. Kreiser</i>     | University of Wyoming, USA                 |
| <i>Donald F. Kuratko</i>      | Indiana University–Bloomington, USA        |
| <i>Younggeun Lee</i>          | Iowa State University, USA                 |
| <i>Erik Monsen</i>            | University of Vermont, USA                 |
| <i>Michael H. Morris</i>      | University of Florida, USA                 |
| <i>Emily Neubert</i>          | Indiana University–Bloomington, USA        |
| <i>Minet Schindehutte</i>     | Syracuse University, USA                   |
| <i>Tommie Welcher</i>         | University of Louisville, USA              |

*This page intentionally left blank*

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**Alan D. Boss**, PhD, is an Assistant Professor in the College of Business at the University of Arkansas at Little Rock. His research interests focus on entrepreneurial resilience, leadership, and leadership failure. He received his undergraduate degree in Psychology and a master's degree in Organizational Behavior from Brigham Young University. He received his PhD in Organizational Behavior from the University of Maryland.

**Travis J. Brown**, PhD is the Assistant Dean of Innovation, Entrepreneurship, & Commercialization, Executive Director of the Shoemaker Innovation Center, and the Academic Director of the Innovation & Entrepreneurship Program for the School of Informatics, Computing, and Engineering at Indiana University. He has spent his career within the intersection of business and technology, focusing on performance management, entrepreneurship, corporate innovation, business analytics, software development, and interaction design in corporate, small business, and higher education settings.

**Robert P. Garrett, Jr** teaches management and entrepreneurship courses. His research interests include corporate entrepreneurship, technological alliances, and innovation. His specific focus is on internal corporate ventures, defined as the entrepreneurial initiatives that originate within a corporate structure and are intended from their inception as new businesses for the parent corporation.

**Harrison L. Holt** analyzes entrepreneurial and innovative topics at the Kelley School of Business as a Cox Research Scholar. Harrison immerses himself in a variety of cultures through organizations such as TAMID Group and Kelley Student Government Cross Cultural Committee. Eager to pursue a career in international business, Harrison studied cross-cultural management in England, entrepreneurship and innovation in Hong Kong, and interned for Airobotics a drone start-up in Israel.

**Jeffrey S. Hornsby**, PhD, holds the Henry Bloch/Missouri Endowed Chair of Entrepreneurship and Innovation and is the Executive Director of the Regnier Institute for Entrepreneurship and the Chair of the Department of Global Entrepreneurship and Innovation. Dr Hornsby is a prolific author and scholar in entrepreneurship topics.

**Sherry Hoskinson** is a Partner in a private equity firm and currently serves as Chief Executive Officer in a technology company. Hoskinson leads both entrepreneurship and technology commercialization centers at the University of Arizona and is currently a Special Advisor to the University of Delaware.

**Patrick M. Kreiser**, PhD, is the Rile Endowed Chair of Entrepreneurship and Leadership at the University of Wyoming. His primary research interests are entrepreneurial orientation and corporate entrepreneurship.

**Donald F. Kuratko**, PhD, is the Jack M. Gill Distinguished Chair of Entrepreneurship, Professor of Entrepreneurship, Executive and Academic Director of the Johnson Center for Entrepreneurship and Innovation, The Kelley School of Business, Indiana University-Bloomington. Professor Kuratko is considered a prominent Scholar and National Leader in the field of entrepreneurship. He has published over 190 articles on aspects of entrepreneurship and corporate entrepreneurship.

**Younggeun Lee** is a PhD Candidate in entrepreneurship in the Ivy College of Business at Iowa State University. He received his master's degree in innovation management and entrepreneurship in the Fox School of Business at Temple University and bachelor's degree in business administration from Hanyang University, Korea.

**Erik Monsen** is an Associate Professor and the Steven Grossman Endowed Chair in Entrepreneurship at the University of Vermont's Grossman School of Business. His professional life has crossed disciplinary (engineering, management, economics) and geographic (US, Germany, UK) boundaries on his journey from designing better aircraft to designing more entrepreneurial and sustainable organizations.

**Michael H. Morris** is a prolific author and scholar in Entrepreneurship. In addition, Dr Morris has developed curricula and is credited with starting and building industry-changing academic and practical centers for entrepreneurship across the United States.

**Emily Neubert** is a Doctoral Student studying Entrepreneurship in the Kelley School of Business at the Indiana University. Previously, Ms Neubert was an Analyst with Alvarez & Marsal in Dallas, Texas.

**Minet Schindehutte** is an ardent scholar, avid bird watcher, and adventurous traveler. A native South African, Dr Schindehutte has worked both in academia and the private sector.

**Tommie Welcher** is a Doctoral Candidate at the University of Louisville. Tommie is a budding young scholar, who has published in the areas of corporate entrepreneurship and international strategic management. His dissertation focuses on the impact of corporate culture on corporate venture success.

# INTRODUCTION: THE CHALLENGES OF CORPORATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN THE DISRUPTIVE AGE

Donald F. Kuratko and Sherry Hoskinson

## INTRODUCTION

Corporate entrepreneurship (CE) is a term used to describe entrepreneurial behavior inside the established mid-sized and large organizations (Stopford & Baden-Fuller, 1994). Other popular or related terms include organizational entrepreneurship, intrapreneurship, corporate venturing, and strategic entrepreneurship (Kuratko & Audretsch, 2013; Morris, Kuratko, & Covin, 2011; Pinchot, 1985).

Firms that are more entrepreneurial and innovative are better positioned not only to adjust to a dynamic, threatening, and complex external environment, but also to create a change in that environment. Moreover, today's environment is characterized as one that is filled with constant disruptive innovations that are happening at an ever-increasing rate. Firms seeking to adopt CE as a strategy define themselves as "agents of change," creating new customer segments, establishing new markets, and rewriting the rules of the competitive landscape (Morris et al., 2011).

CE has been initiated in established organizations for a host of purposes, including those of profitability (Vozikis, Bruton, Prasad, & Merikas, 1999; Zahra, 1993), strategic renewal (Guth & Ginsberg, 1990), innovativeness (Stopford & Baden-Fuller, 1994), gaining knowledge to develop future revenue streams (McGrath, Venkataraman, & MacMillan, 1994), international success (Birkinshaw, 1997), growth (Zahra, Kuratko, & Jennings, 1999), and the effective configuration of resources as the pathway to developing competitive advantages (Borch, Huse, & Senneseth, 1999; Covin & Miles, 1999; Covin, Slevin, & Heeley, 2000; Kuratko, Covin, & Garrett, 2009). Regardless of the reason a firm decides to engage it,

CE has clearly become a major interest in the strategic planning of most current organizations (Morris et al., 2011; Narayanan, Yang, & Zahra, 2009).

Theoretical and empirical knowledge about the domain of CE and the entrepreneurial behavior on which it is based have gained greater research attention, thus many of the elements essential to construct a theoretically grounded understanding of CE can be readily identified from the extant literature over the last five decades. Critical antecedents that influence managers' willingness to engage in corporate entrepreneurial behavior have also been examined, which enhances understanding of CE practices (Dess et al., 2003; Hornsby, Kuratko, Shepherd, & Bott, 2009; Hornsby, Kuratko, & Zahra, 2002; Kuratko, Hornsby, & Covin, 2014; Kuratko, Hornsby, & Goldsby, 2004).

Importantly, CE as a valid and effective area of research has real and tangible benefits for emerging scholars because their work can significantly impact an emerging strategy. This in turn impacts organizational understanding and behavior. The theoretical and empirical knowledge on CE has evolved over the last 50 years beginning very slowly and growing in importance through the decades. While the inherent value of entrepreneurial action on the part of established organizations has been established, there remains a greater need for further research about CE in a disruptive age. Fortunately, knowledge accumulation on the topic of CE has been occurring at a rapid rate, and many of the elements essential to constructing a theoretically grounded understanding of the domains of CE can now be identified.

It is clear that researchers have moved beyond the traditional product and service innovations to pioneering innovation in processes, value chains, business models, and all functions of management (Govindarajan & Trimble, 2005), and that research impacts firms. Organizations today are facing a new global reality requiring innovation, courage, risk-taking, and entrepreneurial leadership (Kuratko & Morris, 2013). As Kuratko (2009) pointed out, organizations must realize "the entrepreneurial imperative of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century" is now at hand. Ireland, Covin, and Kuratko (2009) emphasized that to simultaneously develop and nurture today's and tomorrow's competitive advantages, advantages that are grounded in innovation, firms increasingly rely on CE.

Firms that exhibit CE are typically viewed as dynamic, flexible entities prepared to take advantage of new business opportunities when they arise (Kuratko, Goldsby, & Hornsby, 2012). Yet, despite the fact that entrepreneurship and innovation are highly touted as a most viable strategy for successful results in today's corporations, the fact remains that successful implementation of corporate innovation is quite elusive for most companies (Kuratko, Covin & Hornsby, 2014).

## THE EVOLVING CONCEPT OF CE

As the research has, the concept of CE has evolved over the last five decades, and the definitions have varied considerably over time. Research in the 1970s focused on teams and how entrepreneurial activities inside existing organizations could be developed (Hanan, 1976; Hill & Hlavacek, 1972; Peterson & Berger, 1972). This early

research was sparse, mostly focused on the marketing aspects, and the concept was not widely acknowledged as a strategy within established corporations.

In the 1980s, some researchers concluded that entrepreneurship and bureaucracies were mutually exclusive and could not coexist (Duncan, Ginter, Rucks, & Jacobs, 1988; Morse, 1986). However, there arose far more researchers who embraced the idea of corporate entrepreneurial activity and conceptualized CE as embodying entrepreneurial behavior requiring organizational sanctions and resource commitments for the purpose of developing different types of value-creating innovations (Alterowitz, 1988; Burgelman, 1983a, 1983b, 1984; Kanter, 1985; Pinchot, 1985; Schollhammer, 1982). So, for the most part in the 1980s, CE was defined simply as a process of organizational renewal (Sathe, 1989), yet it was acknowledged in the entrepreneurship and strategy literatures.

During the 1990s, more comprehensive research of CE began to take place that focused on CE as re-energizing and enhancing the firm's ability to develop the skills through which innovations could be created (Borch et al., 1999; Jennings & Young, 1990; Merrifield, 1993; Zahra, 1991). Developing new ventures within existing organizations and the transformation of ongoing organizations through strategic renewal were proposed as two major forms of CE (Guth & Ginsberg, 1990). CE could entail formal or informal activities aimed at creating new businesses in established companies or entrepreneurial innovations through product, process, or market initiatives. These innovations could take place at the corporate, division (business), functional, or project levels (Zahra, 1991). Demonstrating that the two major forms of CE introduced at the beginning of the decade still dominated the landscape, Sharma and Chrisman (1999, p. 18) suggested that CE "is the process whereby an individual or a group of individuals, in association with an existing organization, create a new organization or instigate renewal or innovation within that organization."

As these forms of CE became more accepted in the strategic literature, the twenty-first century scholars linked CE to firms' efforts to establish sustainable competitive advantages as the foundation for profitable growth (Hornsby et al., 2009; Kuratko, Ireland, Covin, & Hornsby, 2005; Kuratko, Ireland, & Hornsby, 2001). Many of the elements essential to constructing a theoretically grounded understanding of the domains of CE can now be identified. For example, Kuratko and Audretsch (2013) attempted to provide a clear understanding of what comprises the domain of CE. Outlining the depiction used by Morris et al. (2011), CE can be manifested in companies either through *corporate venturing* or *strategic entrepreneurship*.

*Corporate venturing* approaches have, as their commonality, the addition or development of new businesses (or portions of new businesses via equity investments) within the corporation. This can be accomplished through three implementation modes – internal corporate venturing and external corporate venturing (McGrath, Keil, & Tukiainen, 2006; Miles & Covin, 2002). With *internal corporate venturing*, new businesses are created and owned by the corporation. These businesses typically reside within the corporate structure but, occasionally, may be located outside the firm and operate as semiautonomous entities. Among internal corporate ventures that reside within the firm's organizational boundaries, some may be formed and exist as part of a preexisting internal organization structure

and others may be housed in newly formed organizational entities within the corporate structure (Kuratko et al., 2009). *External corporate venturing* refers to entrepreneurial activity in which the firm invests in new businesses created by parties outside the corporation (via the assumption of equity positions) or acquired by the corporation. These external businesses are typically very young ventures or early growth-stage firms that offer the acquiring corporation access to a new technology or product line that is currently not being pursued internally (Keil, 2004; Schildt, Maula, & Keil, 2005). In practice, new businesses might be developed through a single venturing mode or a combination of the two venturing modes. Therefore, a firm's total venturing activity is equal to the sum of the ventures enacted through the internal and external modes. With corporate venturing, creating an entirely new business is the main objective (Covin & Miles, 2007).

By contrast, *strategic entrepreneurship* approaches have, as their commonality, the exhibition of highly consequential internal innovations that are adopted in the firm's pursuit of competitive advantage. Strategic entrepreneurship corresponds to a broader array of entrepreneurial initiatives, which do not necessarily involve new businesses being added to the firm. Strategic entrepreneurship involves simultaneous opportunity-seeking and advantage-seeking behaviors (Ireland, Hitt, & Sirmon, 2003; Hitt, Ireland, Camp, & Sexton, 2001). This means firms take actions to "exploit" their knowledge for current opportunities in the environment while also "exploring" new innovations that may benefit them in the future (Hitt, Ireland, Sirmon, & Trahms, 2011). The innovations that are the focal points of strategic entrepreneurship initiatives represent the means through which opportunity is capitalized upon. These are innovations that can be developed in any of five areas – the firm's strategy, product offerings, markets served, internal organization (i.e., structure, processes, and capabilities), or business model (Morris et al., 2011). By emphasizing an opportunity-driven mindset, management seeks to achieve and maintain a competitively advantageous position for the firm.

Strategic entrepreneurship can take one of five forms – *strategic renewal* (adoption of a new strategy), *sustained regeneration* (introduction of a new product into an existing category), *domain redefinition* (reconfiguration of existing product or market categories), *organizational rejuvenation* (internally focused innovation for strategy improvement), and *business model reconstruction* (redesign of existing business model) (Covin & Miles, 1999; Hitt, Ireland, Camp, & Sexton, 2001; Ireland et al., 2003; Ireland & Webb, 2007; Morris et al., 2011).

With these explanations of the currently accepted forms of CE, it is clear that a "venturing" focus or a "strategic" focus are the two major lenses through which CE is studied. In applying either approach, examining the research that focuses on the antecedents of a CE strategy may be an important element in understanding why the role of middle managers becomes critical.

## THE PURPOSE OF THIS SPECIAL VOLUME

Ongoing scholarly work has also raised new and important research questions and identified further theoretical avenues requiring exploration. Still, the field

needs more rigorous and unique research to understand the moderators, mediating processes, and what constitutes relevant CE outcomes, as well as determining the economic impact of CE activity. Therefore, despite the recent expansion in CE research, the theoretical and empirical knowledge about the domain of CE and the entrepreneurial behavior on which it is based are still key issues that warrant a deeper understanding. Ongoing scholarly work has also raised new and important research questions and identified further theoretical avenues requiring exploration. The purpose of this volume is to introduce some significant and unique approaches to examining the issues involved with CE within the current disruptive innovation age.

The volume begins with the chapter, “Unpacking Corporate Entrepreneurship: A Critique and Extension,” by Minet Schindehutte of Syracuse University, Michael H. Morris from the University of Florida, and Donald F. Kuratko of Indiana University – Bloomington. The study examines entrepreneurship in established firms holistically and critically by reviewing previous research and highlighting a variety of definitional, conceptual, methodological, contextual, and temporal factors that have been confounding research. The chapter then presents a multidimensional framework that specifies a more nuanced picture of the determinants, motives, activities, and consequences of corporate in established firms. Conceptual, methodological, and practical implications are discussed.

Youngeun Lee and Patrick M. Kreiser of Iowa State University then present the chapter, “Entrepreneurial Orientation and Ambidexterity: Literature Review, Challenges, and Agenda for Future Research.” They examine the main effect of entrepreneurial orientation (EO) – a firm’s strategic entrepreneurial posture – on balancing exploration and exploitation in the form of organizational ambidexterity. Resource-constrained firms face an imperative to conduct innovative activities, survive hostile environments, and compete with larger and more resource-rich firms. The authors contend that firms can address these potential impediments through achieving ambidexterity via dynamic capabilities, firm-specific resources, and institutional factors. The chapter discusses the most prominent scales and measures of EO, exploration, and exploitation, as well as the operationalizational challenges that should be considered when conducting EO-ambidexterity research.

“Integrating Corporate Entrepreneurship and Organization Development through Learning and Leadership” is a chapter authored by Erik Mosen of the University of Vermont and Alan D. Boss of the University of Arkansas at Little Rock. They take a fresh look at questions of learning and leadership from the perspective of organization development, a field which has long studied questions of planned and emergent change. This alternate perspective adds to the knowledge and understanding of the role of individuals and teams in CE and presents opportunities to integrate learning and leadership. In particular, the organization development literature provides multilevel measurement methods and tools to better analyze the employee and team level-of-analysis to better explain the interaction between CE strategic orientation and the performance of corporate venturing employees and teams.

Donald F. Kuratko and Emily Neubert of Indiana University – Bloomington present a chapter entitled, “Corporate Entrepreneurial Leadership: Addressing

Critical Challenges in a Disruptive Age.” They examine the key challenges that must be addressed by today’s corporate entrepreneurial leaders in this age of disruptive innovation. As research on corporate innovative activity has evolved, numerous researchers have acknowledged the importance of particular leadership activities to enhance the effectiveness of corporate entrepreneurial activity. These include framing the innovation, developing the internal architecture, coordinating the managerial levels, integrating design thinking, recognizing the grief associated with project failure, and demanding ethical standards.

“Corporate Entrepreneurship as a Survival Routine” is then presented from Robert P. Garrett, Jr. and Tommie Welcher of University of Louisville. In this chapter, they conceptualize CE as a mental model that allows firms to adapt to new competitive landscapes by facilitating the development of new cognitive scripts and schemas. Explaining what it means for a firm to be competitively bewildered, or lost, in a rapidly changing competitive domain, they map the attributes of an entrepreneurial firm – adaptability, speed, flexibility, aggressiveness, and innovativeness – to stages of the bewilderment process wherein they may be most helpful to realign competitive realities and entrepreneurial scripts and schemas. The chapter concludes with proposed contributions resulting from conceptualizing CE as a bewilderment schema, representing a novel perspective.

Jeffrey S. Hornsby from the University of Missouri-Kansas City then discusses how innovation teams often suffer because management fails to develop a change strategy and prepare managers and participants to work in teams in his chapter entitled, “Critical Elements of Team Formation to Enhance Organizational Innovation.” His chapter provides a discussion of the critical elements needed to prepare team member including reviewing the team development process and offering insights on how to manage communication, conflict resolution, creative problem solving, and decision-making to enhance team performance. Additionally, research on the use of innovation teams is presented along with some recommendations for management to implement innovation teams in organizations.

Focusing on the practical considerations for organizations when endeavoring to invest in design, Travis J. Brown of Indiana University – Bloomington presents a chapter on “Effectively Leveraging Design for Corporate Innovation.” He shows how designers and their organizations should view their profession for the benefit of corporate innovation. Interviewing strategic designers from across the United States to solicit their opinions on *design thinking*, *strategic design*, and *design strategy*, he demonstrates the relationship between those concepts and how they could be, and should be, reflected in practice. This chapter explores the relatively nascent profession of *strategic design* (distinguished from *design strategy*) to provide guidance regarding how design and designers should be viewed and supported by the leadership of their organizations to fully empower them to support innovation.

The final contribution takes a more practical view of today’s technological companies are finding success in reaching for the future. “A Practitioner Review of Technological Firms’ Success in Corporate Innovation” presented by Harrison R. Holt of Indiana University examines some of the factors that influence an organization’s willingness to initiate and sustain an innovation strategy. Reviewing the latest

innovative developments with the technological sector since they are regarded as leading this disruptive age, this chapter examines the most recognized companies in the technological space and discusses their newest explorations. The chapter concludes with two frameworks that illustrate the similarities and differences in the technology firms' approaches to corporate innovation activity.

## CONCLUSION

We are deeply grateful to all of the scholars who contributed their significant work for this volume. While the chapters have taken different perspectives on CE and innovation, it is clear that in this disruptive age these topics are on the leading edge of the field. The contributions presented in this volume reflect new and important research questions and identify further theoretical avenues requiring exploration. It is our hope that the unique perspective and scholarly results on CE presented in this issue will be viewed as a significant contribution to an emerging field.

## REFERENCES

- Alterowitz, R. (1988). *New Corporate Ventures*. New York: Wiley.
- Birkinshaw, J. (1997). Entrepreneurship in multinational corporations: The characteristics of subsidiary initiatives. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18, 207–229.
- Borch, O. J., Huse, M., & Senneseth, K. (1999). Resource configuration, competitive strategies, and corporate entrepreneurship: An empirical examination of small firms. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, 24(1), 49–70.
- Burgelman, R. A. (1983a). A process model of internal corporate venturing in the major diversified firm. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 28(2), 223–244.
- Burgelman, R. A. (1983b). Corporate entrepreneurship and strategic management: Insights from a process study. *Management Science*, 23, 1349–1363.
- Burgelman, R. A. (1984). Designs for corporate entrepreneurship in established firms. *California Management Review*, 26(3), 154–166.
- Covin, J. G., & Miles, M. P. (1999). Corporate entrepreneurship and the pursuit of competitive advantage. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, 23(3), 47–64.
- Covin, J. G., & Miles, M. P. (2007). Strategic use of corporate venturing. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, 31(2), 183–207.
- Covin, J. G., Slevin, D. P., & Heeley, M. B. (2000). Pioneers and followers: Competitive tactics, environment, and firm growth. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 15, 175–210.
- Dess, G. G., Ireland, R. D., Zahra, S. A., Floyd, S. W., Janney, J. I., & Lane, P. J. (2003). Emerging issues in corporate entrepreneurship. *Journal of Management*, 29(3), 351–378.
- Duncan, W. J., Ginter, P. M., Rucks, A. C., & Jacobs, T. D. (1988). Intrapreneuring and the reinvention of the corporation. *Business Horizons*, 31(3), 16–21.
- Govindarajan, V., & Trimble, C. (2005). Building breakthrough businesses within established organizations. *Harvard Business Review*, 83(5), 58–68.
- Guth, W. D., & Ginsberg A. (1990). Corporate entrepreneurship. *Strategic Management Journal*, 11(Special Issue), 5–15.
- Hanan, M. (1976). Venturing corporations – Think small to stay strong. *Harvard Business Review*, 54(3), 139–148.
- Hill, R. M., & Hlavacek, J. D. (1972). The venture team: A new concept in marketing organizations. *Journal of Marketing*, 36, 44–50.
- Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., Camp, S. M., & Sexton, D. L. (2001). Strategic entrepreneurship: Entrepreneurial strategies for wealth creation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(Special Issue), 479–491.

- Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., Sirmon, D. G., & Trahms, C. A. (2011). Strategic entrepreneurship: Creating value for individuals, organizations, and society. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 25(2), 57–75.
- Hornsby, J. S., Kuratko, D. F., & Zahra, S. A. (2002). Middle managers' perception of the internal environment for corporate entrepreneurship: Assessing a measurement scale. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 17, 49–63.
- Hornsby, J. S., Kuratko, D. F., Shepherd, D. A., & Bott, J. P. (2009). Managers' corporate entrepreneurial actions: Examining perception and position. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 24(3), 236–247.
- Ireland, R. D., Covin, J. G., & Kuratko, D. F. (2009). Conceptualizing corporate entrepreneurship strategy. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 33(1), 19–46.
- Ireland, R. D., & Webb, J. W. (2007). Strategic entrepreneurship: Creating competitive advantage through streams of innovation. *Business Horizons*, 50(1), 49–59.
- Ireland, R. D., Hitt, M. A., & Sirmon, D. G. (2003). A model of strategic entrepreneurship: The construct and its dimensions. *Journal of Management*, 29(6), 963–998.
- Jennings, D. F., & Young, D. M. (1990). An empirical comparison between objective and subjective measures of the product innovation domain of corporate entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 15(1), 53–66.
- Kanter, R. M. (1985). Supporting innovation and venture development in established companies. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 1, 47–60.
- Keil, T. (2004). Building external corporate venturing capability. *Journal of Management Studies*, 41(5), 799–825.
- Kuratko, D. F., Ireland, R. D., Covin, J. G., & Hornsby, J. S. (2005). A model of middle-level managers' entrepreneurial behavior. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(6), 699–716.
- Kuratko, D. F., Goldsby, M. G., & Hornsby, J. S. (2012). *Innovation acceleration: Transforming organizational thinking*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson/Prentice Hall.
- Kuratko, D. F., Hornsby, J. S., & Goldsby, M. G. (2004). Sustaining corporate entrepreneurship: A proposed model of perceived implementation/outcome comparisons at the organizational and individual levels. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 5(2), 77–89.
- Kuratko, D. F., & Audretsch, D. B. (2013). Clarifying the domains of corporate entrepreneurship. *International Entrepreneurship & Management Journal*, 9(3), 323–335.
- Kuratko, D. F., Covin, J. G., & Garrett, R. P. (2009). Corporate venturing: Insights from actual performance. *Business Horizons*, 52(5), 459–467.
- Kuratko, D. F., Hornsby, J. S., & Covin, J. G. (2014). Diagnosing a firm's internal environment for corporate entrepreneurship. *Business Horizons*, 57(1), 37–47.
- Kuratko, D. F., Covin, J. G., & Hornsby, J. S. (2014). Why implementing corporate innovation is so difficult. *Business Horizons*, 57(5), 647–655.
- Kuratko, D. F., & Morris, M. H. (2013). *Entrepreneurship and leadership*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Kuratko, D. F., Ireland, R. D., & Hornsby, J. S. (2001). The power of entrepreneurial outcomes: Insights from Acordia, Inc. *Academy of Management Executive*, 15(4), 60–71.
- McGrath, R. G., Keil, T., & Tukiainen, T. (2006). Extracting value from corporate venturing. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 48(1), 50–56.
- McGrath, R. G., Venkataraman, S., & MacMillan, I. C. (1994). The advantage chain: Antecedents to rents from internal corporate ventures. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 9(5), 351–369.
- Merrifield, D. B. (1993). Intrapreneurial corporate renewal. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 8, 383–389.
- Miles, M. P., & Covin, J. G. (2002). Exploring the practice of corporate venturing: Some common forms and their organizational implications. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 26(3), 21–40.
- Morris, M. H., Kuratko, D. F., & Covin, J. G. (2011). *Corporate entrepreneurship & innovation* (3rd ed.). Mason, OH: South-Western/Thomson Publishers.
- Morse, C. W. (1986). The delusion of intrapreneurship. *Long Range Planning*, 19(6), 92–95.
- Narayanan, V. K., Yang, Y., & Zahra, S. A. (2009). Corporate venturing and value creation: A review and proposed framework. *Research Policy*, 38(1), 58–76.
- Peterson, R., & Berger D. (1972). Entrepreneurship in organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 16, 97–106.
- Pinchot, G. (1985). *Intrapreneurship*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Sathe, V. (1989). Fostering entrepreneurship in large diversified firm. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(1), 20–32.

- Sharma, P., & Chrisman, J. J. (1999). Toward a reconciliation of the definitional issues in the field of corporate entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, 23(3), 11–28.
- Schildt, H. A., Maula, M. V. J., & Keil, T. (2005). Explorative and exploitative learning from external corporate ventures. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(4), 493–515.
- Schollhammer, H. (1982). Internal corporate entrepreneurship. In C. Kent, D. Sexton, & K. Vesper (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of entrepreneurship* (pp. 209–229). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Stopford, J. M., & Baden-Fuller, C. W. F. (1994). Creating corporate entrepreneurship. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(7), 521–536.
- Vozikis, G. S., Bruton, G. D., Prasad, D., & Merikas, A. A. (1999). Linking corporate entrepreneurship to financial theory through additional value creation. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, 24(2), 33–43.
- Zahra, S. A. (1991). Predictors and financial outcomes of corporate entrepreneurship: An exploratory study. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 6(4), 259–286.
- Zahra, S. A. (1993). Environment, corporate entrepreneurship and financial performance: A taxonomic approach. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 8(4), 319–340.
- Zahra, S. A., Kuratko, D. F., & Jennings, D. F. (1999). Entrepreneurship and the acquisition of dynamic organizational capabilities. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, 23(3), 5–10.