

VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY CHILDLESSNESS

This page intentionally left blank

VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY CHILDLESSNESS: THE JOYS OF OTHERHOOD?

EDITED BY

NATALIE SAPPLETON

Manchester Metropolitan University, UK



United Kingdom – North America – Japan – India – Malaysia – China

Emerald Publishing Limited
Howard House, Wagon Lane, Bingley BD16 1WA, UK

First edition 2018

Copyright © 2018 Natalie Sappleton. Published under exclusive licence.

Reprints and permissions service

Contact: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying issued in the UK by The Copyright Licensing Agency and in the USA by The Copyright Clearance Center. Any opinions expressed in the chapters are those of the authors. Whilst Emerald makes every effort to ensure the quality and accuracy of its content, Emerald makes no representation implied or otherwise, as to the chapters' suitability and application and disclaims any warranties, express or implied, to their use.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 978-1-78754-362-1 (Print)

ISBN: 978-1-78754-361-4 (Online)

ISBN: 978-1-78754-363-8 (Epub)



ISOQAR certified
Management System,
awarded to Emerald
for adherence to
Environmental
standard
ISO 14001:2004.

Certificate Number 1985
ISO 14001



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the participants, both the parents and the childfree, for sharing their stories and experiences with us.

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

List of Figures *xi*

List of Tables *xiii*

List of Contributors *xv*

Introduction: Childlessness through a Feminist Lens
Natalie Sappleton *1*

SECTION I: THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY CHILDLESSNESS

**Chapter 1 From Deviant Choice to Feminist Issue:
An Historical Analysis of Scholarship on Voluntary
Childlessness (1920–2013)**
*Ingrid Lynch, Tracy Morison, Catriona Ida Macleod,
Magdalena Mijas, Ryan du Toit and Simi Seemanthini* *11*

**Chapter 2 What Is It Like Being Involuntarily Childless?
Searching for Ways of Understanding from a Psychological
Perspective**
Megumi Fieldsend *49*

**Chapter 3 Wanting to Want: Constructing the Ambivalent
Childless Self**
Anna Gotlib *71*

SECTION II: STRUCTURE, AGENCY AND CHILDLESSNESS

Chapter 4 Capital in Pronatalist Fields: Exploring the Influence of Economic, Social, Cultural and Symbolic Capital on Childbearing Habitus

Alyssa Mullins 97

Chapter 5 Social Inclusion, Connectedness and Support: Experiences of Women without Children in a Pronatalist Society

Melissa Graham, Beth Turnbull, Hayley McKenzie and Ann Taket 125

Chapter 6 ‘Join The Club’ or ‘Don’t Have Kids’? Exploring Contradictory Experiences, Pressures and Encouragement to Have Children in Pronatalist Social Fields

Alyssa Mullins 147

SECTION III: INTERSECTIONAL PERSPECTIVES ON CHILDLESSNESS

Chapter 7 Are Loneliness and Regret the Inevitable Outcomes of Ageing and Childlessness?

Rose O’Driscoll and Jenny Mercer 173

Chapter 8 Age Identity and Never-married Childless Older Women

Kate de Medeiros and Robert L. Rubinstein 193

SECTION IV: LIVED EXPERIENCES OF CHILDLESSNESS

Chapter 9 The Intentionally Childless Marriage

Laura Carroll 217

Chapter 10 Finding ‘Mr Right’? Childfree Women’s Partner Preferences

Helen Peterson 237

Chapter 11 Understanding the Employment Experiences of Women with No Children	
<i>Beth Turnbull, Melissa Graham and Ann Taket</i>	261
Chapter 12 Gender Congruity, Childlessness and Success in Entrepreneurship: An Intersectional Bourdieusian Analysis	
<i>Natalie Sappleton</i>	283
 SECTION V: NATIONAL PERSPECTIVES ON CHILDLESSNESS	
Chapter 13 Is There Voluntary Childlessness At All in Hungary?	
<i>Ivett Szalma and Judit Takács</i>	309
Chapter 14 Stigma and Childlessness in Historical and Contemporary Japan	
<i>Kimiko Tanaka and Deborah Lowry</i>	337
Chapter 15 The Effects of Childcare Arrangements on Childlessness in Germany	
<i>Nazli Kazanoglu</i>	355
Postscript: Moving Forward Towards a Feminist Understanding of ‘Otherhood’	
<i>Natalie Sappleton</i>	379
 Index	 387

This page intentionally left blank

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Figure 1	Number of Articles Published per Decade from the 1970s Onwards..	19
----------	---	----

Chapter 15

Figure 1	Distribution of the Actors of the Policy (Re)-making Process.	369
----------	--	-----

This page intentionally left blank

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Table 1 Summary of Main Coding Categories. 18

Table 2 Theoretical Frameworks Used 22

Table 3 Methodologies Used 23

Table 4 Cross-tabulation of Decade and Method (for Empirical Articles Relying on Participant Data). 24

Table 5 Cross-tabulation of Methodology of Empirical Articles by Author Location. 24

Table 6 Cross-tabulation of Author Location and Theoretical Framework, Indicating the Most and the Least Used. . . 25

Table 7 The Main Focus of Articles. 28

Table 8 Cross-tabulation of Main Focus and Decade: Indicating the Most and the Least Researched Topics. 31

Chapter 4

Table 1 Sample Characteristics of Temporarily and Voluntarily Childless Adults (*N* = 972). 108

Table 2 Nested Logistic Regression: Odds Ratios of Voluntarily Childlessness, Demographic and Capital Predictors. . . . 113

Chapter 7

Table 1 Profile of Participants for Preliminary and Main Study. . 179

Chapter 10

Table 1 Summary Table of the Respondents.. . . . 242

Chapter 12

Table 1 The Implications of the Intersections of Role (In)Congruity and Parental Status for Women Entrepreneurs' Experiences. 291

Table 2 Demographic and Firm Characteristics. 295

Table 3	Gender, Role Congruity and Parental Status.	297
Table 4	Gender, Role Congruity, Parental Status and Success in Acquiring Resources.	297
Table 5	Summary of Linear Regression Analysis.	300
Table 6	Summary of the Intersections of Role (In)Congruity and Parental Status for Women Entrepreneurs' Success Rates.	301

Chapter 13

Table 1	Categories of Childlessness Among Women Aged 30–45 and Men Aged 33–50 in 2001.	316
Table 2	Proportion of Parenthood and Childlessness by Categories, Among Men Aged 35–50 and Women Aged 30–45 in 2001.	316
Table 3	Becoming a (Non-)Parent by 2008 According to the Different Childlessness Categories of 2001.	318
Table 4	Impacts of Different Variables on the Types of Childlessness in 2001.	320
Table 5	Sample Composition of Male Interviewees.	325
Table 6	Sample Composition of Female Interviewees.	325

Chapter 15

Table 1	Overview of Familialisation/De-familialisation Indicators Regarding Childcare	363
---------	--	-----

List of Contributors

Laura Carroll is an expert and leading voice on the intentionally childless choice. She is the author of *Families of Two: Interviews with Happily Married Couples without Children by Choice* (2000), which received international recognition. Since its publication, Laura (MS Psychology) has continued to conduct qualitative research on the intentionally childless, and is currently conducting a longitudinal study on intentionally childless women. Laura is also the author of *The Baby Matrix: Why Freeing Our Minds from Outmoded Thinking about Parenthood & Reproduction Will Create a Better World* (2012), which examines and challenges long-held social and cultural assumptions about parenthood and reproduction.

Megumi Fieldsend is a PhD student in Psychology at Birkbeck University of London, UK, working with Professor Jonathan A. Smith. She is currently conducting her research using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), exploring the experience of women living with involuntary childlessness. She is particularly interested in the dynamics of experiential adult development, meaning reconstruction, self and identity and the psychological impact on people living without the children they hoped for.

Anna Gotlib was born in the Soviet Union and immigrated to the United States with her family. She is currently an Assistant Professor of Philosophy at Brooklyn College, City University of New York, USA. Before joining the faculty at Brooklyn College, she was an Assistant Professor of Philosophy at Binghamton University (SUNY). Previous to her academic career, she was employed as an Attorney, specialising in International Law and Labor Law, working in the United States and abroad. Her recent research has appeared in the *International Journal for Feminist Approaches to Bioethics*, *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, *Humana Mente* and several volumes and collections. She is the Editor of *The Moral Psychology of Sadness* as well as *The Moral Psychology of Regret* (Rowman & Littlefield International, 2017, 2018).

Melissa Graham is an Associate Professor in the College of Science, Health and Engineering at LaTrobe University, Australia. She supervises honours, master's and PhD research students in the area of

women's health. She is the Deputy Director, Centre for Health through Action on Social Exclusion (CHASE), a multi-disciplinary research centre which works collaboratively to promote social inclusion, in the School of Health and Social Development, Faculty of Health at Deakin University. Her research focuses on the exploration of the lives of women who do not have children, the role of policy on reproductive health, reproductive decision-making and the experiences of social inclusion/exclusion. These research areas are interconnected and each consider and draw attention to the implications for health and well-being.

Nazli Kazanoglu is a third-year PhD student in the School of Social Policy at Ulster University, UK. Her current thesis is 'Europeanization Patterns of Gender Equality within Work and Family Life Reconciliation Policies in Germany and Turkey'. She received her bachelor's degree in Sociology from Middle East Technical University, her master's degree in Sociology of Mass Media and Communication Systems from Istanbul Bilgi University in Turkey and did her replacement in UC Berkeley, USA. Her research interests lie in the area of Europeanisation studies, comparative welfare regime analysis, new-institutionalism theory, gender equality, women's employment and reconciliation of work and family life.

Deborah Lowry is an Associate Professor of Sociology at the University of Montevallo, USA, where she teaches courses on social problems, ageing, environment, China and social change. Her research interests lie in rural sociology, East Asia, and the scholarship of teaching and learning. Lowry was an NIH (National Institutes of Health) Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of Michigan's Population Studies Center. She holds a BA degree from Grand Valley State University, an MA from Western Michigan University and a PhD from the Michigan State University.

Ingrid Lynch is a Senior Research Specialist at the Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC), in the Human and Social Development programme. She is also an Honorary Research Associate in the Critical Studies in Sexualities and Reproduction research programme at Rhodes University, South Africa. Her research interests include critical feminist approaches to genders and sexualities; queer family-making and social belonging; and sexual and reproductive justice. She is editor of the forthcoming book, *Queer Kinship: Perspectives on Sexualities, Families, and Reproduction in South Africa*, along with Tracy Morison and Vasu

Reddy, funded by the DST/NRF Centre of Excellence in Human Development.

Catriona Ida Macleod is a Professor of Psychology and SARChI Chair of the Critical Studies in Sexualities and Reproduction research programme at Rhodes University, South Africa. Her major scholastic contributions have been in two main areas: sexual and reproductive health, and feminist theory in psychology. She has written extensively in national and international journals in relation to teenage pregnancy, abortion, sexuality education, pregnancy support, feminist psychology and postcolonialist and poststructural theory. She is author of the multi award-winning book *'Adolescence', Pregnancy and Abortion: Constructing a Threat of Degeneration* (Routledge, 2011) and co-author (with Tracy Morison) of the book *Men's Pathways to Parenthood: Silence and Heterosexual Gendered Norms* (HSRC Press, 2015). She is Editor-in-Chief of the international journal *Feminism & Psychology*. She oversaw the formation of the Sexual Violence Task Team that was set up in response to the #RURferenceList protests in South Africa. She is on the steering committee of the Sexual and Reproductive Justice Coalition and is an Executive Committee Member of the International Society of Critical Health Psychology and the International Society of Theoretical Psychology. She is the recipient of the VC's Distinguished Senior Research Award (2015) and the VC's Community Engagement Award (2015).

Hayley McKenzie is a Lecturer in the School of Health and Social Development, Faculty of Health at Deakin University, Australia. Dr McKenzie's research focuses on family and social policy, and exploring the inequities experienced by particular social groups who are reliant on social and institutional policies, including a specific focus on women's health and well-being. Dr McKenzie teaches at the undergraduate and postgraduate level and has supervised honours and master's students exploring social policy and the impacts on women's health and well-being.

Kate de Medeiros is an Associate Professor of Gerontology at Miami University, Ohio, USA. Her research includes narrative approaches to understanding later life; friendships among people with dementia; flourishing, suffering, precarity and frailty; and humanistic approaches to studying old age.

Jenny Mercer is a Principal Lecturer at Cardiff Metropolitan University, UK. She is a Social Psychologist, with a strong interest in qualitative

research methods. Her work to date involves a range of qualitative approaches to understanding human experience in applied settings (e.g. thematic analysis, grounded theory, phenomenology and participatory designs). These have often been used to address unconventional areas such as coming to terms with death, the impact of having a traumatic childbirth experience and women who choose not to have children. It is hoped that such research is one way of raising awareness of topics which are not always widely discussed.

Magdalena Mijas is a psychologist specializing in the fields of Sexology and LGBTQ Psychology. She is currently pursuing her PhD in the field of health sciences from Jagiellonian University Medical College. Her research focuses on stigma and health disparities among LGBTQ populations. She is also involved in LGBTQ rights activism in Poland. She is the lead author of 'Language, Media, HIV: The Picture of Infection and Seropositive People in Newspaper Articles' (Mijas, Dora, Brodzikowska, & Żoładek, 2016) and co-author of 'Introduction to LGB Psychology' (Iniewicz, Mijas, & Grabski, 2012) and 'Human Sexuality: Selected Issues' (Iniewicz & Mijas, 2011).

Tracy Morison is a Social and Health Psychology Lecturer in the School of Psychology at Massey University, New Zealand, and an Honorary Research Associate in the Critical Studies in Sexualities and Reproduction research programme at Rhodes University, South Africa. Tracy's research focus falls within the broad area of sexual and reproductive health, with a particular interest in reproductive 'choice', stigma and marginalised identities. She works with critical feminist theories and qualitative methodologies. She is the co-author of *Men's Pathways to Parenthood: Silence and Heterosexual Gendered Norms* (Morison & Macleod, 2015, HSRC Press).

Alyssa Mullins is a PhD Graduate and Data Analyst at the University of Central Florida in Orlando, Florida, USA. Her research interests include relationships, family life, public health and policy initiatives and community programmes. Her current research focuses on experiences of voluntary childlessness in social arenas.

Rose O'Driscoll is a former Senior Lecturer in the School of Health Sciences at Cardiff Metropolitan University, UK. Her main areas of teaching were sociology, social care and mental health. She also worked as an Associate Lecturer with the Open University. Prior to this, she worked with people with learning disabilities, homeless women, older people and mental health service users in Ireland, England and Wales.

Her sociological research on why women choose not to have children was undertaken for her PhD. She continues to explore lesser-known areas of women's lives on a freelance basis.

Helen Peterson is Associate Professor in Sociology and Senior Lecturer in Work Science at the Department of Sociology and Work Science at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden. Her pioneering research on voluntary childlessness, funded by the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare, was the first sociological study on the subject in Sweden. She co-authored the first anthology about voluntary childlessness in the Nordic countries, published in 2010 (written in Swedish). Since its publication, her research has earned international recognition and has been published in several distinguished journals, such as *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, *Women's Studies International Forum*, the *European Journal of Women's Studies*, and *Feminist Media Studies*.

Robert L. Rubinstein is a Professor of Anthropology at the University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC), USA. He has carried out research on Alzheimer's disease and dementia, assisted living and many other topics related to ageing and old age.

Natalie Sappleton is a Senior Lecturer at Manchester Metropolitan University, UK. Her research interests are in the intersections between social networks, gender segregation and gender stereotyping in the context of entrepreneurship. Her research programmes have included Women Audio Visual Engineers (WAVE), Women in North West Engineering and numerous investigations into sex discrimination at the Equality and Human Rights Commission. Natalie received her MA (Hons.) in Economics and Politics at the University of Glasgow and her master's in Research from Manchester Metropolitan University. Her PhD thesis investigates the role of gender role (in)congruency on the ability to acquire resources among New York City entrepreneurs.

Simi Seemanthini (PhD) is a Consultant Clinical Psychologist (Registered with the RCI & NZPB). Prior to this she taught in the Department of Psychiatry at Kasturba Medical College, India. She completed her PhD in 2014 at Mangalore University, India.

Ivett Szalma holds a PhD in Sociology from the Corvinus University of Budapest. She is Researcher at the Institute of Sociology, Centre for Social Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences (<http://www.tk.mta>).

hu/kutato/szalma-ivett). Previously, she worked at the Swiss Centre of Expertise in the Social Sciences (FORS) as Postdoctoral Researcher. Her research topics include childlessness, work-life conflicts, the measurement of homophobia, adoption by same-sex couples and fatherhood practices. She is the Head of the Family Sociology Section of the Hungarian Sociological Association. Her most recent publications have featured in *Values and identities in Europe. Evidence from the European Social Survey* (2017, Routledge) and *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 45(7), (2016).

Judit Takács is a Research Chair at the Institute of Sociology, Centre for Social Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, and is responsible for leading research teams and conducting independent research on family practices, work-life balance issues and childlessness as well as the social history of homosexuality, social exclusion/inclusion of LGBTQ+ people and HIV/AIDS prevention. Her most recent publications include 'Trans Citizenship in Post-Socialist Societies' (in *Critical Social Policy*; with R. Kuhar and S. Monro, 2018), 'Social Attitudes toward Adoption by Same-Sex Couples in Europe' (in the *Archives of Sexual Behavior*; with I. Szalma and T. Bartus, 2016) and 'Disciplining Gender and (Homo)Sexuality in State Socialist Hungary in the 1970s' (published in the *European Review of History*, 2014). Currently, she works as a seconded National Expert at the ECDC in Stockholm.

Ann Taket is the Director of Centre for Health through Action on Social Exclusion (CHASE), School of Health and Social Development, Faculty of Health at Deakin University, Australia. Professor Taket has over 30 years' experience in public health-related research. Her research has included studies of a wide variety of issues in health policy, service planning and service evaluation, and health-related education in the UK, Australia and other countries. These studies have involved a wide range of different methodologies, both qualitative and quantitative. She has particular interests in research directed at understanding the complex interactions between social exclusion and health, prevention and intervention in violence and abuse and the value of human rights-based approaches in policy and practice.

Kimiko Tanaka is an Associate Professor of Sociology at the James Madison University, USA. Her research focuses on issues related to family, gender and health in Japan's past and present. She has authored papers in the area of demography, family and public health. Dr Tanaka was NIA (National Institute on Aging) Postdoctoral Research Fellow

at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Previously, she has taught at the Rochester Institute of Technology. She holds a BA degree from International Christian University and an MA and PhD in Sociology from the Michigan State University, East Lansing.

Ryan du Toit is a PhD Candidate at Rhodes University, South Africa. His research explores how pre-termination of pregnancy counselling is conducted in the South African context, specifically in the Eastern Cape. Ryan is also registered as an Intern Research Psychologist with the Health Professions Council of South Africa (HPCSA) and is an Editorial Assistant for Feminism and Psychology. He conducts post-graduate quantitative research seminars and is a Facilitator for the gender and sex project. Ryan's research interests include pre-termination of pregnancy, discursive psychology, conversation analysis, pornography and media representation of sexuality and the psychology of the internet.

Beth Turnbull is a PhD Candidate in the School of Health and Social Development, Faculty of Health, Deakin University, Australia. Her research takes quantitative and qualitative approaches to understand the social connection and exclusion in different areas of life of women and men with no children. Beth's PhD seeks to explore the extent and quality of women's and men's resources and participation in employment based on whether they have children.

This page intentionally left blank

Introduction: Childlessness through a Feminist Lens

Natalie Sappleton

Childlessness and Feminism

The issue of childlessness is now firmly on the academic agenda and is receiving equally intense scrutiny in media commentary. Doubtless, this unprecedented level of focus is being driven by the remarkable upsurge in childlessness, and especially voluntary childlessness, that has been witnessed virtually across the globe since around the 1980s (Kreyenfeld & Konietzka, 2017). In the United States, there has been an increase in childlessness among women aged between 40 and 44 from 10.2% in 1976 to 15.1% in 2012 (Monte & Mykyta, 2016). In Spain, Austria and the United Kingdom, more than one-fifth of women aged between 40 and 44 are childless (OECD, 2015). In India, the proportion of women between the ages of 35 and 39 that are not mothers doubled from 3.86% in 1981 to 7.28% in 2011 (Sarkar, 2016). It has been predicted that the next Russian census, due to be undertaken in 2020, will reveal that the proportion of women passing reproductive age without bearing children will reach 10% for the first time (Fakhrislamova, 2016). In fact, one analysis of childlessness trends in 35 OECD countries between the mid-1990s and 2010 revealed that only four countries – Slovenia, Turkey, Chile and Luxembourg – had witnessed a fall in childlessness rates over that time period (OECD, 2015).

Yet childlessness is far from a new phenomenon. For instance, Sobotka's (2017) European-wide cohort analysis reveals that childlessness levels have been as high as 25% among French women born around the turn of the twentieth century, Belgian woman born around 1910 and Irish women born during the inter-war period. May reports that childfree lifestyles were more common in the 1930s in North America than they are today (May, 1997). Fakhrislamova's (2016) historical analysis of patterns of childlessness in Russia reveal that in spite of the enforcement of the steep *nalog na bezdetnost* ('childlessness tax') in the Soviet Era, many women of childbearing age still opted to remain

childfree. The media and scholarly attention that childlessness is currently attracting can therefore not entirely be attributable to the escalation in the numbers and proportions of the childfree, but perhaps to their increased visibility (Furstenberg, 2014).

Feminism and its adherents have undoubtedly played a key role in illuminating the childfree from the societal shadows. Second wave (largely white, colonial) feminists, whose campaigns intensified in the 1960s and 1970s, placed reproductive rights and sexual freedom at the heart of their crusade, and associated with the subjugation of women with domestic roles and normative heterosexuality carved out within a patriarchal order. Consciousness-raising and grassroots-level campaign groups were established to theorise their ideologies, promote and solicit support for their ideas, and to defend individual rights. For example, the National Organization for Non-Parents (NON), America's first childfree activist group was established in 1972 (Healey, 2016). From the 1990s onwards, third-wave feminists (even those that reject the label), informed by post-modern ideologies, sought to subvert sexist cultures and to destabilise constructs of heteronormativity and universal womanhood and to transverse and celebrate differences across multiple lines of identity – class, sexual orientation and ethnicity, as well as gender. In this, the decision (or not) to remain childless should be seen as a form of empowerment and a means for women to reify themselves as active subjects.

It is perhaps unsurprising then, that a recent cacophony of calls to address growing levels of childlessness, lays the blame for the phenomenon squarely on the shoulders of those that promote and adhere to the feminist project. In the American conservative news portal, *The Daily Wire*, and under the headline, 'Feminism Is Leaving a Wake of Unhappy, Unmarried, and Childless Women in Its Path', for instance, Amanda Prestigiacomo writes that modern, childless women are 'unhappy and alone' and in 'sadness and isolation', and suggests that feminism 'is to blame for this onslaught of college-educated yet terribly empty women'. Such viewpoints are not restricted to the right-wing press, however. Several recent tomes and critics have propagated this blame narrative, attributing the alleged growing unhappiness of women, the fertility crisis and the denigration of motherhood on feminists who told young women that they could 'have it all' (see e.g. Dux & Simic, 2008; Szalai, 2015). Wood and Newton (2006, p. 347), for instance, contend that 'of perhaps most import is the failure of feminist approaches to really challenge motherhood, and in fact their tendency to valorize women's procreative potential and mothering practices'. As Campo

(2005) has argued, this narrative has become so dominant in public and scientific discourse to the extent that alternative explanations have been overlooked.

Also sidelined is the theoretical and empirical work being undertaken by researchers of different stripes who are using feminist epistemology, feminist theories and feminist frameworks to explain, account for, and understand the consequences of childlessness. Researchers from a wide range of scholarly disciplines, including, but not limited to, psychology, sociology, gender studies, social work and social policy have concerned themselves with a range of issues relevant to childlessness, such as the impact it has on lives and emotional states, the implications for personal and relational networks and occupational mobility, and the way in which it is represented and depicted in popular culture. This volume brings together these myriad perspectives under the unifying umbrella of a feminist perspective. By providing an in-depth and interdisciplinary overview of the state-of-the-art of the field, the tome hopes to illuminate how feminism as a method of scientific enquiry, rather than a mere political movement, is contributing to knowledge production in the area of childlessness.

This Volume

Fifteen original chapters make up this volume. The collection is structured around five key principles of feminist enquiry: the pursuit of the theoretical; consideration to the intertwining of processes of structure and agency; intersectionality; a concern for understanding lived experiences and efforts to ‘unsilence’ the marginalised.

Section 1: Theoretical Perspectives on Voluntary and Involuntary Childlessness

The three chapters in the opening section of the book serve as an introduction to key theories and concepts within the broader topic of childlessness. In Chapter 1, Ingrid Lynch and colleagues trace developments in research in the field of voluntary childlessness from 1920 to 2013. The chapter highlights the transdisciplinary nature of research enquiry, as well as methodological diversity, but points out the dominance of the Global North as a site of enquiry. In Chapter 2, Megumi Fieldsend offers a similar analysis of the field of knowledge

production, but this time pertaining to involuntary childlessness and focusing on the psychological and psychosocial perspectives. Aspects examined include the competing psychological emotions of desire and regret, as well as the impact that involuntary childlessness has on self and identity and personal relationships. Drawing on a philosophical feminist value theory, Anna Gotlib philosophises on the concept of free will in Chapter 3, exploring the way in which voluntarily childless women grapple with internal narratives of desiring their choices to remain childfree.

Section 2: Structure, Agency and Childlessness

A tension exists in the feminist literature between those that highlight the importance of social structures in constraining and shaping action, opportunity and choice and those that emphasise the importance of individual agency in the struggle against dominant societal forces (Clegg, 2006). It is, of course, beyond the scope of this collection to seek to resolve that debate. Rather, the chapters that are presented in Section 2 are designed to reflect the complex overlappings of structure and agency in determining outcomes of motherhood and ‘otherhood’. First, taking a Bourdeusian approach, in Chapter 4, Alyssa Mullins constructs pronatalism as a field in which the habitus of childbearing is driven by consideration to Bourdieu’s four forms of capitalism. Continuing the theme of pronatalism, and focusing on one particular type of capital – social capital – in Chapter 5, Melissa Graham and colleagues examine how childfree women experience social networks, social connectedness and support. Chapter 6, also by Alyssa Mullins, emphasises the contradictions that women face in pronatalist societies.

Section 3: Intersectional Perspectives on Childlessness

Gender is not the only source of individuals’ social identities. Intersectionalist feminist scholars are united by their rejection of the feminist metonymic fallacy; their belief that the category ‘woman’ does not adequately describe a common experience of oppression, and the need to include multiple axes of identity and experience in order to develop a fuller understanding of women’s lived experiences (McCall, 2005). The two chapters in this section represent a response to that call, by examining how gender and age intersect in the production of narratives and outcomes relating to childlessness. In Chapter 7, Rose

O'Driscoll and Jenny Mercer report on the perceptions and insights of women in Britain, over the age of 45, who have made the decision not to have children. In Chapter 8, Kate de Medeiros and Robert L. Rubinstein adopt a similar focus, exploring narratives of ageing among American women and including race and ethnicity as a specific intersection.

Section 4: Lived Experiences of Childlessness

Lived realities and everyday experiences play a crucial role in shaping individuals' multiplex, complex and layered personal and social identities. Therefore, investigating and accounting for individuals' lived experiences is critical for feminist research. This is reflected in Stanley and Wise's (1993, p. 146) argument that knowledge can be progressed by developing a language of experience, and 'this must come from our exploration of the personal, and the everyday, and what we experience – women's lived experiences'. In that spirit, the four chapters in Section 4 present accounts of the experiences of the childfree within structural and institutional frameworks such as marriage, employment and entrepreneurship. In Chapter 9, Laura Carroll reflects on agency and decision-making processes within the context of the voluntary childless marriage. The chapter exposes how voluntarily childless heterosexual couples arrive at the decision to not have children, and the response that they receive from society. Chapter 10, authored by Helen Peterson, and referring to the Swedish context, looks at a hitherto under-investigated decision-making process – the way in which women who would prefer to remain childfree seek out partners for love, companionship and marriage. The employment arena is the focus of the next two chapters. In Chapter 11, Beth Turnbull and colleagues explore how women who have no children navigate employment and occupational arenas. In Chapter 12, the focus of attention turns to entrepreneurship. Natalie Sappleton explores how remaining childfree is a way for gender-role violating women entrepreneurs to gain access to the resources that they need for business survival and success.

Section 5: National Perspectives on Childlessness

Feminists espousing an intersectionalist epistemology emphasise the importance of privileging the voice of the 'subaltern' (Spivak, 1988). It

is important to adopt the discourse and experiences of the marginalised 'other', especially those beyond the current dominant geopolitical structures of knowledge production. Therefore, the final section of the book presents three chapters that examine childlessness within specific national contexts. In Chapter 13, Ivett Szalma and Judit Takács examine childlessness in the context of Hungary, which has a curiously low fertility rate. Drawing on both quantitative and qualitative sources of data, and with specific reference to men and women, the authors explore the extent to which there is a voluntary dimension to childlessness in that geographical context, and what the drivers of that choice might be. A different approach is adopted by Kimiko Tanaka and Deborah Lowry in Chapter 14. This chapter presents a theoretical and historical chronicle of childlessness in Japan, beginning in the Tokugawa period, and concluding with a discussion of the contemporary period. Although the stigma that often accompanies childlessness is found in Japan as in many other regions of the world, this chapter demonstrates historical flux and development in terms of social expectations placed upon the Japanese woman. In Chapter 15, Nazli Kazanoglu explores developments in childcare welfare policy in Germany, examining the link between public policy and Germany's high rate of childlessness. This chapter explores how ideology-driven policy produces and reinforces processes of de-familialisation as well as familialisation.

This book represents the start of a transdisciplinary conversation on an issue that has wide implications and ramifications for individual lives, societies and economies. Since it is only possible to touch upon just a small subset of the research questions childlessness creates, we end this book with a call to action for feminist researcher and scholars.

References

- Campo, N. (2005). 'Having it all', or had enough? Rethinking feminism: A review essay. *Lilith: A Feminist History Journal*, 14, 105–113.
- Clegg, S. (2006). The problem of agency in feminism: A critical realist approach. *Gender and Education*, 18(3), 309–324.
- Dux, M., & Simic, Z. (2008). *The great feminist denial*. Melbourne: Melbourne University Publishing.
- Fakhriislamova, R. T. (2016). The phenomenon of childlessness in Russia: Historical and social background. *Sociological Research*, 55(6), 430–449.
- Furstenberg, F. F. (2014). Fifty years of family change: From consensus to complexity. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 654(1), 12–30.

- Healey, J. (2016). Rejecting reproduction: The national organization for non-parents and childfree activism in 1970s America. *Journal of Women's History*, 28(1), 131–156.
- Kreyenfeld, M., & Konietzka, D. (2017). *Childlessness in Europe*. Cham: Springer.
- May, E. T. (1997). *Barren in the promised land: Childless Americans and the pursuit of happiness*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McCall, L. (2005). The complexity of intersectionality. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 30(3), 1771–1800.
- Monte, L. M., & Mykyta, L. (2016). The occupational attainment of mid-career childless women, 1980–2012. Paper presented at the Work and Family Researchers Network (WFRN) Conference, June 23, Washington, DC.
- OECD. (2015). *OECD family database*. Retrieved from <http://www.oecd.org/els/family/database.htm#structure>
- Sarkar, K. (2016). Fertility transition in India. Emerging significance of infertility and childlessness. In A. R. B. K. Singh (Ed.), *India 2016: Population transition* (pp. 87–102). Bhopal: MLC Foundation.
- Sobotka, T. (2017). Childlessness in Europe: Reconstructing long-term trends among women born in 1900–1972. *Childlessness in Europe: Contexts, causes, and consequences* (pp. 17–53). Berlin: Springer.
- Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In: L. Grossberg & C. Nelson (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271–313). Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Stanley, L., & Wise, S. (1993). *Breaking out again*. London: Routledge.
- Szalai, J. (2015). The complicated origins of ‘having it all’. *The New York Times*, p. 2.
- Wood, G. J., & Newton, J. (2006). Childlessness and women managers: ‘Choice’, context and discourses. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 13(4), 338–358.